Development and Characterization of Microsatellite Primers for Zanthoxylum schinifolium (Rutaceae)

Authors: Kim, Young Mi, Jo, Aruna, Jeong, Ji Hee, Kwon, Yong Rak, and Kim, Ho Bang

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 5(7)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1600145

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, Downloaded From: https://saging.pipone.org/journals/Applications-in-Plant-Sciences on 13 Jan 2025 Terms of USU Septimental Sciences on 13 Jan 2025 PRIMER NOTE

DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MICROSATELLITE PRIMERS FOR ZANTHOXYLUM SCHINIFOLIUM (RUTACEAE)¹

YOUNG MI KIM², ARUNA JO², JI HEE JEONG^{2,4}, YONG RAK KWON², AND HO BANG KIM³

²Seed and Seedling Management Division, National Forest Seed and Variety Center, Chungju 27495, South Korea; and ³Life Sciences Research Institute, Biomedic Co. Ltd., Bucheon 14548, South Korea

- *Premise of the study:* Polymorphic microsatellite markers of *Zanthoxylum schinifolium* (Rutaceae), a promising medicinal plant with effective antibacterial, anticancer, and anti-inflammatory compounds, were developed and evaluated for further genetic studies based on genetic variation among individuals or populations.
- *Methods and Results:* Following the selective hybridization method, microsatellite-enrichment libraries were constructed. Using these libraries, we obtained 15 polymorphic and three monomorphic microsatellite markers for *Z. schinifolium*. The number of alleles observed in each of the 15 polymorphic loci ranged from two to eight, and the observed and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.070 to 0.677 and from 0.093 to 0.688, respectively. Eleven of these developed markers were successfully amplified for *Z. piperitum*, a related species.
- *Conclusions:* These microsatellite markers can be valuable tools for further genetic studies of *Z. schinifolium*, such as genetic resource conservation for maintaining breeding material and individual identification for breeding program improvement and variety management.

Key words: economically important plants; microsatellite; Rutaceae; Zanthoxylum schinifolium.

The genus *Zanthoxylum* L. (Rutaceae) includes approximately 200 or more species around the world (Wu et al., 2008), but only about six species occur in Korea. Two of these species, *Z. schinifolium* Siebold & Zucc. and *Z. piperitum* (L.) DC., are the most representative common species in this genus in Korea. *Zanthoxylum schinifolium* is a deciduous shrub distributed in China, Japan, Taiwan, and Korea (Hassler, 2016). This species has a unique aromatic flavor on its fruits and leaves and has therefore been traditionally used as an edible or medicinal plant. Recently, significant effectiveness in the antibacterial and anticancer activity of some of the compounds of this species has been scientifically confirmed (Choi et al., 2008; Li et al., 2013). Consequently, this species is attracting attention as a promising medicinal plant.

In many cases, the increasing economic value of a plant species can lead to drastic reductions in wild populations because of extensive use; thus, conservation efforts of genetic resources as breeding materials should be increased (Shippmann et al., 2003). *Zanthoxylum schinifolium*, a medicinal species that breeders have recently started to cultivate, still has ample natural populations. Therefore, it can be used as a model species to identify the impact of harvest pressure on the genetic diversity patterns of wild populations of medicinal plants. However, most studies on this species have focused on the identification of medicinal compounds. In our review of the literature, the only genetic studies

¹Manuscript received 19 November 2016; revision accepted 25 January 2017.

This work was supported by the Research Project of the National Forest Seed and Variety Center, Chungju, South Korea.

⁴Author for correspondence: florajh@korea.kr

doi:10.3732/apps.1600145

found for the species were studies of molecular identification based on ribosomal DNA sequence information (Sun et al., 2010) and phylogenetic relationships with cpDNA markers (Feng et al., 2016). However, these markers are unsuitable for analyzing the genetic variation of the species for conservation and management (Wan et al., 2004). Microsatellite markers are preferred in studies of genetic variation of individuals or populations due to their high level of polymorphism, codominance, biparental inheritance, and reproducibility of results (Varshney et al., 2005).

We have developed and evaluated microsatellite markers for further genetic studies of *Z. schinifolium*, and tested their crossamplification in the related species *Z. piperitum*.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Sample collection and DNA extraction—A total of 102 samples of Z. schinifolium from three natural populations were collected to develop and validate new microsatellite primers for this species. To identify cross-amplification of the markers to other related species in the same genus, 30 samples of Z. piperitum were collected from one population. Detailed information on all of the samples collected for this study is provided in Appendix 1. Total genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated from fresh leaves using Biomedic Plant gDNA Extraction Kit (Biomedic, Bucheon, Gyeonggi, South Korea). The DNA and leaf samples collected for this study were stored in the Gene Bank of the National Forest Seed and Variety Center (NFSV, Chungju, South Korea).

Construction of a microsatellite enrichment library—A microsatellite enrichment library was constructed according to the magnetic bead hybridization method of Glenn and Schable (2005) using one sample of *Z. schinifolium* collected from Chungju-si, Chungcheongbuk-do, South Korea. To obtain DNA fragments ranging from roughly 0.3 to 1 kbp, total gDNA was digested using the restriction enzyme *Rsa*I and then ligated with SuperSNX linkers containing a GTTT PIG-tail. DNA molecules in the ligation products were hybridized with

Applications in Plant Sciences 2017 5(7): 1600145; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2017 Kim et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0), which permits unrestricted noncommercial use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited and the new work is distributed under the same license as the original.



3'-biotinylated microsatellite probes and then subsequently isolated using streptavidin-coupled (M-280) Dynabeads (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). PCR amplification was performed on the collected DNA molecules with Super-SNX-24 primers. This enrichment step was repeated once. The DNA fragments highly enriched with microsatellites were cloned into pGEM-T vectors (Promega Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) using *Escherichia coli* DH5 α competent cells. Recombinant clones were identified by colony PCR using M13 forward and reverse primers. The PCR products were purified, and then directly sequenced using the ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). After the trimming of vector and linker sequences, 182 nonredundant contig sequences (GenBank accession no. KU884701–KU884883) were obtained from the assembly process using Lasergene SeqMan (version 7.0.0; DNASTAR, Madison, Wisconsin, USA).

Microsatellite primer design and validation—Putative microsatellites were mined using MISA software (Thiel et al., 2003) based on the following criteria: more than three repeats for dinucleotides to hexanucleotides and a gap within 100 bp in composite types. Amplicon size (85-350 bp) and annealing temperature $(57-60^{\circ}\text{C})$ were the main consideration in primer design. A total of 104 primer sets were synthesized by Biomedic Co. Ltd. (www.ibiomedic.co.kr; Bucheon, South Korea) and used for preliminary screening. The preliminary screening of markers was performed by conventional PCR using the gDNA from eight samples of *Z. schinifolium* as templates to identify putative loci. Then, these PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose gel.

Finally, 20 microsatellite marker candidates, which produced amplicons at putative single loci, were selected to validate polymorphism and crossamplification. PCR was performed using an ABI 2720 Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems) in a 11- μ L reaction volume containing 3 μ L of template DNA (3 ng/ μ L), 1.1 μ L of 2 mM dNTPs, 0.22 μ L of 10 μ M 6-FAM fluorescent dyelabeled forward primer and reverse primer, 0.15 μ L of NeoTherm *Taq* DNA polymerase (5 U/ μ L; GeneCraft, Köln, Germany), 1.1 μ L of 10× reaction buffer (containing 25 mM MgCl₂; GeneCraft), and 5.21 μ L of distilled water. The PCR was performed with an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; followed by 34 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 57–64°C for 1 min (Table 1), and 72°C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. After PCR amplification, 0.2 μ L of the fluorescent PCR products were mixed with 9.8 μ L of Hi-Di Formamide (Applied Biosystems) and 0.2 μ L of GeneScan 500 ROX Size Standard (Applied Biosystems). The mixture was denatured at 95°C for 5 min and placed on ice. The amplified fragments were separated by capillary electrophoresis on an ABI 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Each of the individual genotypes was scored using GeneMapper 4.1 software (Applied Biosystems).

Of the 20 candidate primers, 18 (90%) were successfully amplified for *Z. schinifolium.* Of these 18, 15 produced polymorphic DNA fragments, and the remaining three primers produced monomorphic amplicons (Table 1). The percentage of amplification was 61.1% (11/18) for *Z. piperitum.* Out of these 11, six primers showed polymorphism with two or more alleles, but the remaining five primers had only one allele in the 30 samples analyzed. In most primers (Zs3027, Zs3038, and Zsm3029) showed completely different size ranges between the two species, so these markers could be used to distinguish between the two species.

Evaluation of genetic properties for use as polymorphic markers—The genetic properties of the 15 polymorphic primers for *Z. schinifolium* were evaluated using 102 samples from three populations (Table 2). Population genetic

TABLE 1.	Characteristics of 15 polymore	phic and three monomor	phic loci develope	ed for Zanthoxvi	<i>lum schinifolium</i> and	cross-amplified in Z. <i>piperitum</i> .

			Allele size r	ange (bp)		GenBank
Locus	Primer sequences $(5'-3')$	Repeat motif	Z. schinifolium	Z. piperitum	$T_{\rm a}$ (°C)	accession no.
Zs3069*	F: CACGTTCACCTTCATAACCCA	(TTGT) ₄	282–360	—	62	KU884789
7 1021	R: GGCTTCAGGCACACTGACTT		100		(2	171 100 401 2
Zs4034	F: TTGACTTCCCAGAGCTTCACT	$(ATGT)_4$	188	—	62	KU884813
-	R: GTCATTGTATTGTCGCCTCAAA	(1.1.6.1)			(2)	
Zs3005*	F: GGAGATCAAGGTTGGTTGGTT	(AAGA) ₁₀	222-250	222-226	62	KU884748
	R: CACTTCTGTCAAATTCCTCGCT				-	
Zs3006-1*	F: TGCATCTCTGTTTTCGCAAC	(TGTT) ₄	314–323	—	58	KU884749
	R: TCAATCAACTTCCCGTTTCA					
Zs3006-2*	F: TGGTCTGGGTTTGTGTATGTTT	(TTGA) ₅	196-202	202	62	KU884749
	R: AGCAGAGTCCAAAAGAAGGC					
Zs3026*	F: TTTGAGGACCCTGCAGAACT	(TGTC) ₅	186-190	174-190	57	KU884763
	R: TGCAACAACCCCAACATAAA					
Zs3027*	F: TTGGGACTAAGCAAAGTGGG	(GATT) ₅	318-322	341-349	62	KU884764
	R: GGAAGCCATAGCCCTGATCT					
Zs3035*	F: CTCCTCCTCCATTCACTCACTC	$(GGAATC)_4$	164–188	182-188	64	KU884769
	R: TCAATCACTGTAGCTCGCTTTC					
Zs3038*	F: ACAAACCCAGAAACCTTGTGAT	(TTTC) ₆	163-183	128	62	KU884770
	R: ATCGTGGCTCAACAACTTACCT					
Zs4007*	F: TTTAGGAGGATCCAGCCAAGT	$(GACA)_4$	207-211	—	62	KU884793
	R: AATCCCAGTTCGTGAAGCAG					
Zsm2010*	F: GCTTTCTCTAATGTGGAATGTG	(TTG) ₆	223-251	—	62	KU884844
	R: CAAGTTCAATCCAACCCTAA					
Zs3042	F: CACGCATCAAGTAAATCAGTGC	$(TCAC)_5$	177	_	64	KU884774
	R: GCCGCTAGTATAAAATGTGTTGC					
Zsm3011*	F: GCGAAGAAAAGGGGAAATAA	$(AGTG)_4$	193–197	189–193	62	KU884856
	R: CCATAGAAGCATAATTGAAGCC					
Zsm4032	F: GCCGAATAAAAGCCTCTCCT	$(AAAC)_5$	146	146	62	KU884883
	R: ATCGGGAAGTGATTGTTTGC					
Zsm3029*	F: CCATCGTTACCCCCAATAAA	$(TCAA)_5$	251-259	155	58	KU884866
	R: TCATCGAATGGCTTCAACAA					
Zsm4023*	F: AGAAATAGAACCCTAGCCCCTG	(TTG) ₄	109-118	118	64	KU884878
	R: AAAGATGACGCAGAGGAAAATG					
Zs2011*	F: CCAAGAAACATGATAAGAGGGG	(CT) ₁₂	232-250	233-246	64	KU884709
	R: GGGCCTAACAACAGAAGACAGA					
Zs2032*	F: CAGCCCTAGTTAGTTTTCCGAC	(TC) ₁₂	161-183	_	64	KU884722
	R: CACAGAACTCATCAACATAGACAGG					

Note: — = information not available; T_a = annealing temperature.

*Polymorphic microsatellite loci.

										Z	Z. schinifolium	folium	1											Z. pip	Z. piperitum	
		U U	hungju	Chungju $(N = 36)$				Jincheon	n ($N = 34$)			Nan	nyangji	Namyangju ($N = 32$)				Toté	Total $(N = 102)$	2)			Your	ngchec	Youngcheon $(N = 30)$	
Locus	и	Α	A_{e}	$H_{ m o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	и	Α	$A_{ m e}$	$H_{ m o}$	$H_{ m e}$	и	Α	$A_{ m e}$	$H_{ m o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	и	Α	A_{e}	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	PIC	u	Α	A_{e}	$H_{ m o}$	$H_{\rm e}$
Zs3069	33	ю	2.1	0.394	0.509	32	4	2.1	0.375*	0.515	30	ю	2.2	$0.300^{*\ddagger}$	0.555	95	4	2.1	0.358	0.532	0.486					
Zs3005	34	4	2.6	0.706	0.617	32	9	3.2	0.688	0.690	30	5	2.8	0.633*	0.640	96	×	3.1	0.677	0.681	0.626	26	4	1.3	0.077*	0.211
Zs3006-1	36	Э	1.1	0.083	0.081	32	0	1.1	0.125	0.117	32	ŝ	1.2	0.156	0.147	100	З	1.1	0.120	0.114	0.108					
Zs3006-2	36	З	1.2	$0.056^{*\ddagger}$	0.156	33	З	1.3	$0.091^{*\ddagger}$	0.244	32	0	1.1	0.094	0.089	101	З	1.2	0.079	0.166	0.157	20		I		
Zs3026	35	0	1.7	0.457	0.408	32	0	1.2	0.094*	0.144	30	0	1.3	0.300	0.255	76	0	1.4	0.289	0.289	0.249	26	З	2.2	0.962^{*}	0.536
Zs3027	35	0	1.4	0.200	0.265	33	0	1.2	0.152	0.190	32	0	1.2	0.156	0.144	100	0	1.3	0.170	0.204	0.186	25	0	1.7	0.480	0.403
Zs3035	31	0	1.9	0.419	0.481	32	З	2.2	0.563*	0.541	32	ŝ	1.8	0.438	0.439	95	4	2.1	0.474	0.520	0.404	30	0	2.0	1.000*	0.500
Zs3038	36	4	2.3	0.556^{*}	0.564	34	З	2.2	0.618	0.538	32	ŝ	1.9	0.438	0.480	102	S	2.2	0.539	0.540	0.501	24		I		
Zs4007	36	0	1.4	0.333	0.278	34	-	1	0.000^{+}	0.000	32	-	1	0.000°	0.000	102	0	1.1	0.118	0.111	0.103			I		
Zsm2010	36	4	3.3	$0.528^{*\ddagger}$	0.699	33	ŝ	2.7	0.545*	0.634	32	ŝ	2.3	0.438	0.565	101	S	б	0.505	0.667	0.618			I		
Zsm3011	36	0	1.1	0.083	0.080	34	0	1	0.029	0.029	32	0	1.2	0.188	0.170	102	0	1.1	0.098	0.093	0.087	23	З	1.5	0.391	0.334
Zsm3029	36	З	1.7	0.278*	0.392	32	0	1.8	0.375	0.451	32	ŝ	1.8	0.313*	0.432	100	З	1.8	0.320	0.430	0.364	30		I		
Zsm4023	31	З	1.4	$0.156^{*\ddagger}$	0.293	30	З	1.5	0.267	0.346	31	ŝ	1.7	$0.161^{*\pm}$	0.414	92	З	1.5	0.194	0.354	0.305	30				
Zs2011	36	9	3.7	$0.056^{*\ddagger}$	0.711	34	ŝ	б	$0.118^{*\ddagger}$	0.667	30	4	2.9	$0.033^{*\pm}$	0.659	100	9	3.2	0.070	0.688	0.650	28	4	1.9	0.607	0.480
Zs2032	36	5	1.5	0.306	0.318	29	5	2.4	$0.241^{*\ddagger}$	0.580	32	9	2.6	$0.281^{*\ddagger}$	0.616	76	8	2.1	0.278	0.518	0.494					
Note: A	= nun	ther c	of alle	Note: A = number of alleles per locus; A_e = number of effective alleles per locus; H_e = expected heterozygosity; H_0 = observed heterozygosity; N = number of individuals sampled; n = number of	is; $A_{\rm e} = I$	quint	er of	effecti	ve alleles p	per locus;	$H_{\rm e} = \epsilon$	expec	ted he	terozygosi	ty; $H_0 = 0$	obser	ved h	eteroz	ygosity;	N = num	ber of in	divid	als se	umple	d; n = nun	nber of
individuals	geno	typec	ł; PIC	individuals genotyped; PIC = polymorphism information conter	phism ir	uform	ation	conte	nt.																	
^a Localit	y and	vouc.	her in	^a Locality and voucher information are available in Appendix	ure avail:	able i	n Apl	pendix	1.																	
* Signifi	cant c	leviat	ion fro	* Significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P	-Weinber	ıbə â.	idiliu		< 0.05).																	

Applications in Plant Sciences 2017 5(7): 1600145 doi:10.3732/apps.1600145

diversity parameters (i.e., number of alleles [A], number of effective alleles [A_e], and observed $[H_0]$ and expected $[H_e]$ heterozygosities) were estimated using GenAlEx version 6.41 software (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). The Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) at each locus for each population was tested based on χ^2 tests using GenAlEx version 6.41 software (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Polymorphic information content (PIC) and nonexclusion probability (NEI; identity) were calculated by CERVUS version 3.0.3 (Kalinowski et al., 2007). The test for null allele presence was performed using MICRO-CHECKER (van Oosterhout et al., 2004). Over all samples, A ranged from two to eight, A_e ranged from 1.1 to 3.2, and PIC values were calculated as 0.087 to 0.650. H_0 and H_e ranged from 0.070 to 0.677 and 0.093 to 0.688, respectively. The near-zero NEI value (0.0000005) indicated that the developed markers in this study are useful for individual identification. A ranged from one to four for Z. piperitum, and H_0 and $H_{\rm e}$ at six polymorphic loci were in the respective ranges 0.077–1.000 and 0.211–0.536. Significant deviations (P < 0.05) from HWE were detected for some primers within each population. Because agreement with HWE depends on certain assumptions including an infinite population size, simple Mendelian inheritance in a diploid organism, discrete generations, and random mating, the test results could not be interpreted without information such as the mating system in the tested populations. Unexpected genotype patterns in the microsatellite data set were reported, of which null allele presence has been frequently mentioned as an explanatory cause (Dakin and Avise, 2004). The null test results indicated a significant possibility of the presence of null alleles at some loci in some populations (Table 2). In particular, Zs2011 showed a significant possibility of the presence of null alleles in all three tested populations; this locus should be used carefully in further genetic studies. Additional testing of known parentoffspring relationships should be used to confirm these results, as they might be affected by the presence of null alleles.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, 15 polymorphic and three monomorphic microsatellite markers were developed for *Z. schinifolium*. In the cross-amplification test of the developed markers for *Z. piperitum*, a related species in the same genus, 61% (11/18) were successfully amplified. Three of the 11 cross-amplified primers could be useful for distinguishing between the two species because the amplified fragments have completely different size ranges. These developed markers can be useful for individual identification within species as well as for conservation and management of the genetic resources of *Z. schinifolium*.

LITERATURE CITED

CHOI, S. I., K. M. CHANG, W. S. LEE, AND G. H. KIM. 2008. Antibacterial activity of essential oils from *Zanthoxylum piperitum* A.P. DC. and *Zanthoxylum schinifolium. Food Science and Biotechnology* 17: 195–198.

Monomorphic microsatellite loci within each population. Significant possibility of presence of null alleles detected by MICRO-CHECKER (van Oosterhout et al., 2004)

- DAKIN, E. E., AND J. C. AVISE. 2004. Microsatellite null alleles in parentage analysis. *Heredity* 93: 504–509.
- FENG, S., Z. LIU, L. CHEN, N. HOU, T. YANG, AND A. WEI. 2016. Phylogenetic relationships among cultivated Zanthoxylum species in China based on cpDNA markers. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* 12: 45.
- GLENN, T. C., AND N. A. SCHABLE. 2005. Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. In E. A. Zimmer and E. H. Roalson [eds.], Methods in enzymology, vol. 224: Molecular evolution: Producing the biochemical data, Part B, 202–222. Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.
- HASSLER, M. 2016. World plants: Synonymic checklists of the vascular plants of the world (version Feb 2016). *In* Y. Roskov, L. Abucay, T. Orrell, D. Nicolson, T. Kunze, C. Flann, N. Bailly, et al. [eds.], Species 2000 & ITIS Catalogue of Life, 27 June 2016. Naturalis, Leiden, The Netherlands. Website http://www.catalogueoflife.org/ col/details/species/id/e62d334e7baca5ba1969920e9622a82b [accessed 19 July 2016].
- KALINOWSKI, S. T., M. L. TAPER, AND T. C. MARSHALL. 2007. Revising how the computer program CERVUS accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular Ecology* 16: 1099–1106.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps

Genetic properties of 15 polymorphic microsatellite loci of Zanthoxylum schinifolium and Z. piperitum.^a

TABLE 2.

- LI, W., Y. N. SUN, W. T. YAN, S. Y. YANG, E. J. KIM, H. K. KANG, AND Y. H. KIM. 2013. Coumarins and lignans from *Zanthoxylum schinifolium* and their anticancer activities. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 61: 10730–10740.
- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2006. GenAlEx 6.41: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- SHIPPMANN, U., D. J. LEAMAN, AND A. B. CUNNINGHAM. 2003. Impact of cultivation and gathering of medicinal plant on biodiversity: Global trends and issues. FAO, vol. 312: Biodiversity and the ecosystem approach in agriculture, forestry and fisheries, 142–167. Inter-departmental Working Group on Biological Diversity for Food and Agriculture, Rome, Italy.
- SUN, Y. L., W. G. PARK, O. W. KWON, AND S. K. HONG. 2010. Ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 1 and internal transcribed spacer 2 regions as targets for molecular identification of medically important Zanthoxylum schinifolium. African Journal of Biotechnology 9: 4661–4673.
- THIEL, T., W. MICHALEK, R. K. VARSHNEY, AND A. GRANER. 2003. Exploiting EST databases for the development and characterization of gene-derived SSR-markers in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 106: 411–422.
- VAN OOSTERHOUT, C., W. F. HUTCHINSON, D. P. M. WILLS, AND P. SHIPLEY. 2004. MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4: 535–538.
- VARSHNEY, R. K., A. GRANER, AND M. E. SORRELLS. 2005. Genic microsatellite markers: Features and applications. *Trends in Biotechnology* 23: 48–55.
- WAN, Q. H., H. WU, T. FUJIHARA, AND S. G. FANG. 2004. Which genetic marker for which conservation genetics issue? *Electrophoresis* 25: 2165–2176.
- WU, Z. Y., P. H. RAVEN, AND D. Y. HONG. 2008. Flora of China, vol. 11. Science Press, Beijing, China, and Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, Missouri, USA.

APPENDIX 1. Locality information and accession numbers of Zanthoxylum schinifolium and Z. piperitum samples used in this study.^a

Species	Locality	Geographic coordinates	Ν	Accession no. (DNA)	Voucher accession no.
Zanthoxylum schinifolium Siebold	Chungju-si, Chungcheongbuk-do,	36°52′22.86″N, 127°58′17.34″E	36	0300-13-070792-0300-13-070827	0300-06-04679-0300-06-04681
& Zucc.	South Korea Jincheon-gun, Chungcheongbuk-do, South Korea	36°49′22.07″N, 127°29′46.14″E	34	0300-13-070828-0300-13-070861	0300-06-04682-0300-06-04684
	Namyangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, South Korea	37°43′50.00″N, 127°10′21.00″E	32	0300-13-070862-0300-13-070893	0300-06-04685-0300-06-04687
Zanthoxylum piperitum (L.) DC.	Youngcheon-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do, South Korea	35°59'41.49"N, 128°46'34.86"E	30	0300-13-070894-0300-13-070923	0300-06-04688-0300-06-04690

Note: N = number of samples.

^aAll DNA, leaf samples, and plant vouchers were deposited in the Gene Bank of the National Forest Seed and Variety Center (NFSV), Chungju, South Korea.