

# Repellent Properties of $\delta$ -Octalactone Against the Tsetse Fly, Glossina morsitans Morsitans

Authors: Mwangi, Martin T., Gikonyo, Nicholas K., and Ndiege, Isaiah O.

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 8(43): 1-4

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1673/031.008.4301



## Repellent properties of $\delta$ -octalactone against the tsetse fly, Glossina morsitans morsitans

Martin T. Mwangi <sup>1,2,a</sup>, Nicholas K. Gikonyo <sup>2,3,b</sup> and Isaiah O. Ndiege <sup>2,3,c</sup>

Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa. 423 CB, Iowa City, IA. 52241. USA

<sup>2</sup> Behavioural and Chemical Ecology Department. International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology. P.O. Box 30772-00506, Nairobi, Kenya

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, School of Pure and Applied Sciences, Kenyatta University. P.O. Box 43844, Nairobi 00100, Kenya

#### **Abstract**

 $\delta$ -octalactone, produced by several Bovidae, has been suggested as a potential repellant of tsetse fly attack. Racemic  $\delta$ -octalactone was synthesized via an abbreviated route. The product was assayed against 3-day old starved teneral female tsetse flies, Glossina morsitans morsitans Wiedemann (Diptera: Glossinidae), in a choice wind tunnel and found to be a potent tsetse repellent at doses  $\geq$ 0.05 mg in 200 μl of paraffin oil (0.05 >p >0.01).

 $\textbf{Keywords:} \ \text{allomone, bioassay, choice wind tunnel, olfaction, racemic } \delta\text{-octalactone synthesis}$ 

Correspondence: amartin-mwangi@uiowa.edu, bngikonyo@yahoo.com, cindiege@icipe.org

Received: 22 August 2007 | Accepted: 3 October 2007 | Published: 27 May 2008

**Copyright:** This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.

ISSN: 1536-2442 | Volume 8, Number 43

#### Cite this paper as:

Mwangi MT, Gikonyo NK, Ndiege IO. 2008. Repellent properties of  $\delta$ -octalactone against the tsetse fly, Glossina morsitans morsitans. 4pp. Journal of Insect Science 8:43, available online: insectscience.org/8.43

#### Introduction

Avoidance of host-vector contact has been recommended as a method of choice for the control of vector borne diseases (WHO, 1996). This can only be achieved by use of chemical repellents and/or physical screens (nets, curtains, and clothing). Although the use of repellents (synthetic or natural) is appealing, their effect on the skin and the associated micro-fauna is a cause for concern and needs more careful and thorough studies. The amount of chemical repellents required for efficacy may be well above the toxic levels. Most organisms produce certain chemicals (allelochemicals) in minute quantities to ward off pests, vectors and parasites, as part of the natural defense mechanism system. Such chemicals are considered environmentally benign and may not interfere with the skin, micro-ecosystem or have toxic effects on the subject. Several efforts have culminated in identification of a few tsetse fly repellents from host animals, such as 2methoxyphenol and lactic acid (Vale, 1980; Hargrove, 1991). Some were accidentally discovered from tsetse fly hosts while searching for attractants (Hassanali et al., 1986; Owaga et al., 1988; Bursell et al., 1988).

The tsetse fly shows differential host selection that is not dependent on their relative populations in an ecosystem (Weitz, 1963; Snow et al, 1988; Clausen et al., 1998). This phenomenon inspired the investigation of the semiochemical basis of the differential selection between ox, buffalo and waterbuck (Gikonyo et al., 2000, 2002, 2003). The feeding behavior of the tsetse fly, Glossina morsitans morsitans Wiedemann (Diptera: Glossinidae), on membranes treated with non-preferred (waterbuck) or preferred (ox and buffalo) hosts sebum indicated the presence of allomones in the former (Gikonyo et al., 2000). Investigation of the skin associated chemicals from the three animals led to identification of several EAG active compounds (Gikonyo et al., 2002, 2003). Semiochemical differences between the preferred and non-preferred hosts were established from GC-MS analysis of the sebum and trapped volatiles. The non-preferred hosts also contained the kairomonal aspects found in the preferred hosts except for a few EAG active compounds that differentiated them (Gikonyo et al., 2003). δ-Octalactone, guaiacol, 3-isopropyl-6-methylphenol and a series of  $(C_8-C_{13})$  methylketones were found to differentiate the body surface chemistry of the waterbuck (non-preferred) from that of the ox and buffalo (preferred). From the volatiles of the waterbuck,  $\delta$ -octalactone was characterized among other EAG active compounds (Gikonyo et al, 2002). Bioassay of a blend of all volatile components that differentiated the waterbuck from the ox and buffalo ( $\delta$ -octalactone included) showed repellent properties against G. m. morsitans in a choice wind tunnel (Gikonyo et al. 2002). However, through the above mentioned studies, the repellent activity of  $\delta$ -octalactone alone or its contribution to the repellent blend was not established.

We hypothesized that  $\delta$ -octalactone could be a potent tsetse repellent emitted by the waterbuck to ward off the pestiferous flies. Consequently, we developed an improved, high yield synthetic route to  $\delta$ -octalactone. A simple achiral synthesis of  $\delta$ -octalactone was developed and the lactone was assayed in a choice wind tunnel for repellency against 3-day-old teneral G. m. morsitans.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Synthesis of $\delta$ -octalactone

δ-octalactone was prepared from cyclopentanone according to the scheme in Figure 1. The reaction of cyclopentanone with *n*-propyl magnesium bromide followed by acid work up gave 1-propylcyclopentanol. Dehydration (*in situ*) gave *n*-propylcyclopentene. The olefin was oxidized to give 2-(*n*-propyl)cyclopentanone. Bayer-Villiger oxidation of the resulting ketone gave the target racemic δ-octalactone, (1.65 g, 11.62 mmol, 96.8% yield). MS *m/z* 142 (5%), 114 (10%), 99 (100%); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 0.9 (3H, t), 1.24 – 2.01 (8H, m), 2.39–2.58 (2H, m), 4.29 (1H, m); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 13.85 (C-8), 18.17 (C-7), 18.49 (C-3), 27.77 (C-2), 29.46 (C-4), 37.86 (C-6), 80.36 (C-5), 176.52 (C-1). Spectral data agreed with literature (Gikonyo et al., 2002)

#### **Insects**

G. m. morsitans pupae were supplied by the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) in Nairobi and the

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) in Vienna and maintained in an insectary at 12 hrs light per day,  $26\pm1$  °C,  $70\pm5\%$  relative humidity until adult eclosion. Newly hatched flies were sorted out according to sex and experimental groups were starved while the rest were fed on pig blood through artificial membranes. The experimental group was transferred to a cylindrical cage and kept for three days before being used.

Behavioural assays were carried out in a cylindrical plexiglass choice wind tunnel, as previously detailed (Gikonyo et al., 2002, 2003). The wind speed in the tunnel was adjusted to 10 cm/sec, while the bioassay room was maintained at 26±1°C and 65±5% RH. The test odor consisted of the synthetic racemic  $\delta$ -octalactone (2.5, 1, 0.5) and 0.1 mg) or an attractant (1 mg of a mixture of p-cresol, acetone and 1-octen-3-ol, in 4:100:1) in 200 µl of neat paraffin oil (Owaga et al. 1988; Paynter & Brady 1993; Gikonyo et al., 2003). A control containing 200 µl of neat paraffin oil dispensed within the alternate arm of the tunnel was included. Odor dispensers were made of clean black pieces of cloth tied on the open end of a plexiglass tube. The test odor in 200 µl paraffin oil was pipetted onto the cloth on one dispenser while the control dispenser was treated with 200 µl of paraffin oil. The wind tunnel was allowed to equilibrate for one minute, with all windows tightly closed and the fan on, before the test fly was introduced. Experiments were conducted in the late afternoon or early mornings to correspond with the natural host seeking rhythm. Behaviors monitored included: activation, initial direction of flight, distance covered during anemotaxis, walking and grooming.

Statistical analysis of differences in observed behaviors were performed non-parametrically using a  $\chi^2$  test (SAS version 8e, 2000). Comparisons of proportions of tsetse fly distribution between the treated and the control arms of the wind tunnel were done using  $\chi^2$ . The percent of flies showing preference was calculated from those that were activated and not from the original number that were used in the experiment.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Analysis of the initial direction of flight (Table 1) revealed no significant differences in fly distribution between the control and treated arms for blank, 0.1, 1.0, and 2.5 mg of δ-octalactone in 200 μl of paraffin oil. More flies initially opted for the control (69.23%, p< 0.05) arm at 0.5 mg of the  $\delta$ -octalactone in 200  $\mu$ l of paraffin oil. The initial direction of flight was dependent on the chemical dispensed ( $\chi^2$  26.1, p < 0.05) suggesting that preference was influenced by the odor. However, the occurrence of a maximum in the number of flies avoiding the treated tunnel at 0.5 mg/200 µl suggests that there could be several factors influencing the choice of initial direction of flight. The effect of wind alone may induce positive anemotaxis by flies, but the presence of the  $\delta$ -octalactone in the treated arm of the wind tunnel counteracted this effect. The observed trend indicates that the two factors have a maximum bias in favor of the repellent at 0.5 mg of the  $\delta$ -octalactone in 200  $\mu$ l of paraffin oil.

The final distribution of the flies on exposure to  $\delta$ octalactone (Table 1) showed dose dependency as indicated by the gradual increase in the proportion of flies

**Table 1.** Glossina morsitans moreitans movement in a choice wind tunnel in the presence of  $\delta$ -octalactone or attractant

Dispensed odor	Dose (mg)	N	% flies activated	% flies leav- ing midsection	% Flies showing pref- erence in choice tunnel				Average maximum dis- tance of upwind flight	
					Initial fly choice		Final resting position		(mm±s.e)	
					С	Т	С	Т	С	Т
Α	ı	72	83.3	70.8	27.6	72.4 <sup>*</sup>	58.8	41.2	321.1±13	294.1±15.8
В	0	84	71.4	64.3	52.9	47.I	55.5	44.5	299.6±12.5	312.8±11.6
0	0.1	65	75.4	60	64	36	66.7	33.3	312.1±14.2	309±15.6
0	0.5	62	82.3	66. I	69.2	30.8*	75	25*	307.1±13.1	307.9±15.5
0	ı	61	<b>77.</b> I	50.8	63.6	36.4	77.8	22.2**	314.6±11.7	307.3±13.3
0	2.5	69	73.9	65.2	59.1	40.9	95.8	4.2***	321.8±13.1	295.3±17

A = attractant blend, B = blank, O = $\delta$ -octalactone, C = control arm T = test/treated arm. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001 Attractant, p-cresol, acetone and 1-octen-3-ol, at 4:100:1 in 200  $\mu$ l of paraffin oil Inhibitor, synthetic racemic  $\delta$ -octalactone in 200  $\mu$ l of paraffin oil

showing preference for the control as the  $\delta$ -octalactone dose increased. Significantly (\$\psi < 0.05\$) more flies finally rested in the control arm when  $\geq 0.5$  mg of  $\delta$ -octalactone in 200 µl of paraffin oil was dispensed. High amounts (1.0 and 2.5 mg) of dispensed δ-octalactone resulted in increase in the repellency. The final distribution of the flies was dependent on the amount dispensed ( $\chi^2$  20.054, p <0.05). This is consistent with the presence of an allomonal component in the choice wind tunnel (Gikonyo et al., 2002, 2003). For the attractant, the final distribution between the control and the treated was not significantly different (p >0.05). This may be explained by the absence of a host or an arrestant, prompting the flies to redistribute themselves randomly regardless of the odors emanating from both arms probably due to "frustration" in failing to get a host. At 0.1 mg of the  $\delta$ -octalactone in 200 µl of paraffin oil, there were no significant differences (p >0.05) in initial direction of flight and final fly distribution suggesting that this dose has no significant repellency.

Parametric analysis of the maximum upwind distances covered by the flies using t-test (SAS version 8e, 2000) showed no significant differences between the control and treated arms irrespective of the odor dispensed (Table 1).

Analysis of these results show the potential repellent activity of the racemic  $\delta$ -octalactone. The  $\delta$ -octalactone was shown to be a tsetse fly repellent at  $\geq 0.5$  mg in 200  $\mu$ l paraffin oil. However, significantly large amounts (1.0 or 2.5 mg per 200  $\mu$ l) of  $\delta$ -octalactone are required to make the flies stay away from the dispenser. This is consistent with earlier observations, when allomonal blends were dispensed in a choice wind tunnel bioassay and compared with kairomones and synthetic attractants (Gikonyo et al, 2002, 2003).

Although there can be varied differences between field and laboratory assays or between single components and blends, these data demonstrate that  $\delta$ -octalactone elicit allomonal responses in the tsetse fly in a choice wind tunnel assay. Further work to confirm these results in the field is underway.

### **Acknowledgements**

Thanks to J. Kabata (ILRI), the late R. Agan (ICIPE), O. Wanyama (ICIPE) and A. G. Parker (IAEA) for logistic support. MTM thanks the ICIPE for a DRIP scholarship.

#### References

- Bursell E, Gough AJE, Beevor PS, Cork A, Hall DR, Vale GA. 1988. Identification of components of cattle urine attractive to tsetse flies, Glossina spp. (Diptera: Glossinidae). Bulletin of Entomological Research 78: 281-291.
- Clausen PH, Adeyemi I, Bauer B, Breloeer M, Salchow F, Staak C. 1998. Host preferences of tsetse flies (Diptera: Glossinidae) based on bloodmeal identifications. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology* 12: 169-180.
- Gikonyo NK, Hassanali A, Njagi PGN, Saini RK. 2000. Behaviour of Glossina morsitans morsitans Westwood (Diptera: Glossinidae) on waterbuck, Kobus deffassa Ruppel, and feeding membranes smeared with waterbuck sebum indicates the presence of allomones. Acta Tropica 77: 295-303.
- Gikonyo NK, Hassanali A, Njagi PGN, Gitu PM, Midiwo JO. 2002. Odor composition of preferred (buffalo and ox) and non-preferred (waterbuck) hosts of some savanna tsetse flies. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 28: 969-981.
- Gikonyo NK, Hassanali A, Njagi PGN, Saini RK. 2003. Responses of Glossina morsitans morsitans to blends of electro-antennographically active compounds in the odors of its preferred (buffalo and ox) and non-preferred (waterbuck) hosts. Journal of Chemical Ecology 29: 2331-2345.
- Hargrove JW. 1991. Ovarian ages of tsetse flies (Diptera: Glossinidae) caught from mobile and stationary baits in the presence and absence of humans. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 81: 43
- Hassanali A, McDowell ML, Owaga MLA, Saini RK. 1986. Identification of tsetse attractants from excretory products of a wild host animal, Syncerus caffe. Insect Science and its Application 7: 5-9.
- Owaga ML, Hassanali A, McDowell PG. 1988. The role of 4-cresol and 3-n-propylphenol in the attraction of tsetse flies to buffalo urine. Insect Science and its Application 6: 561
- Paynter Q, Brady J. 1993. Flight responses of tsetse flies (Glossina) to octenol and acetone vapour in a wind tunnel. Physiological entomology 18: 102-108.
- Snow WF, Tarimo SA, Staak C, Butler L. 1988. The feeding habits of the tsetse, *Glossina pallidipes* Austen on the south Kenya coast, in the context of its host range and trypanosome infection rates in other parts of East Africa. *Acta Tropica* 45: 339-349.
- Vale GA. 1983. The effects of odors, wind direction and wind speed on the distribution of *Glossina* (Diptera: Glossinidae) and other insects near stationary targets. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 73: 53-64.
- Vale GA. 1980. Field studies of the response of tsetse flies (Glossinidae) and other Diptera to carbon dioxide, acetone and other chemicals. Bulletin of Entomological Research 70: 563-570.
- Weitz B. 1963. The feeding habits of Glossina. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 29: 711-729.
- WHO 1996. Fighting Diseases Fostering Development. Report of the Director General. WHO. Geneva.