

Genetic diversity among wild and cultivated germplasm of the perennial pasture grass *Phalaris aquatica*, using DArTseq SNP marker analysis

Authors: Gapare, Washington J., Kilian, Andrzej, Stewart, Alan V., Smith, Kevin F., and Culvenor, Richard A.

Source: Crop and Pasture Science, 72(10) : 823-840

Published By: CSIRO Publishing

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1071/CP21112>

Genetic diversity among wild and cultivated germplasm of the perennial pasture grass *Phalaris aquatica*, using DArTseq SNP marker analysis

Washington J. Gapare^{A,B}, Andrzej Kilian^C, Alan V. Stewart^D, Kevin F. Smith^E, and Richard A. Culvenor^{A,F} 

^ACSIRO Agriculture and Food, GPO Box 1700, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia.

^BPresent address: Grains Research and Development Corporation, PO Box 5367, Kingston, ACT 2604, Australia.

^CDiversity Arrays Technology, Building 3, Level D, University of Canberra, Monana St., Bruce, ACT 2617, Australia.

^DPGG Wrightson Seeds Ltd, Lincoln, New Zealand.

^EFaculty of Veterinary and Agricultural Sciences, University of Melbourne, Private Bag 105, Hamilton, Vic. 3300, Australia.

^FCorresponding author. Email: Richard.Culvenor@csiro.au

Abstract. *Phalaris aquatica* L. (phalaris) is a cool-season perennial grass originating from the Mediterranean Basin, north-west Africa and Middle Eastern regions that is used for livestock agriculture mainly in temperate areas with dry summers. It has been the subject of breeding programs in Australia, South America, New Zealand and the USA. Increased knowledge of relationships between wild and cultivated germplasm through use of molecular markers has the potential to facilitate future breeding gains. For this purpose, we conducted an analysis of *P. aquatica* by using 3905 polymorphic DArTseq SNP markers. Genetic diversity as measured by expected heterozygosity was similar for wild ($H_E = 0.14$; $n = 57$) and cultivated ($H_E = 0.13$; $n = 37$) accessions. Diversity in wild germplasm was generally continuous in nature, largely related to geographical location, with a division at the broadest scale into eastern and western clades, with more admixture in the western than the eastern clade. Structure analysis of wild germplasm indicated six subpopulations consistent with country of origin, with some admixture among subpopulations likely resulting from natural and human influences. There were nine subpopulations among wild and cultivated accessions combined. This population structure should be considered if genomic selection is applied in *P. aquatica*. Analysis of molecular variance indicated that 71% of the genetic variation occurred within subpopulations and 29% among subpopulations. Genetic distances were low among cultivated germplasm from most countries except the USA, which was more distinct. Evaluation of material from the US pool by breeding programs in other countries, and additional material from the less utilised eastern clade, may be worthwhile.

Keywords: AMOVA, bulbous canary grass, DArTseq, Diversity Arrays Technology, genetic diversity, genotyping-by-sequencing, *Phalaris aquatica*, perennial grass, wild ecotype.

Received 15 February 2021, accepted 24 May 2021, published online 14 September 2021

Introduction

Phalaris aquatica L. (phalaris, Hardinggrass, Toowoomba canary grass, bulbous canary grass) is a cool-season perennial grass belonging to the Old World group of species in the genus *Phalaris* whose centre of origin and present natural distribution resides in the Mediterranean Basin (Baldini 1993; Voshell *et al.* 2011; Voshell and Hilu 2014). As a commercial forage grass, *P. aquatica* is valued for its high production and nutritive quality in the

autumn–winter–spring period, and for its high persistence through regular summer droughts and exceptional drought events too severe for reliable use of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) (Carlson *et al.* 1996; Oram *et al.* 2009). *P. aquatica* is one of the most important forage species for livestock agriculture in southern Australia, where its value as a broadacre, sown pasture grass was first recognised (Oram *et al.* 2009). It is also a commercial species in several South American countries, notably Argentina, and

has been used in New Zealand, USA, South Africa and, to limited extent, in northern Africa and southern Europe. *P. aquatica* has been studied as a renewable biofuel for Mediterranean regions due to its high potential for biomass production (Karapatsia *et al.* 2014; Pappas *et al.* 2014).

P. aquatica is an outcrossing species that is largely wind pollinated. It is considered to be a segmental allotetraploid ($2n = 4x = 28$) (Putievsky *et al.* 1980). Baillie *et al.* (2017) reported that the genome of *P. aquatica* is likely to be large and complex but similar in size to that of *P. arundinacea*, due to a prevalence of moderately to highly repetitive DNA and the likelihood of significant homoeologous (between sub-genomes) and paralogous (between duplicated gene copies) sequence variation (e.g. Kaur *et al.* 2012). The size of *P. arundinacea* was reported as 4009.8 Mbp/C (4.1 pg in 978 Mbp/pg equivalent; Dolezel and Bartos 2005). Information about genome size is important for designing molecular markers and genetic maps. Some meiotic irregularities have been observed in crosses between widely separated ecotypes of *P. aquatica* but not to the extent of preventing recombination for breeding purposes (Putievsky *et al.* 1980). This suggests that *P. aquatica* is in the process of speciation, a point noted by taxonomists, considering that ecotypes from north-west Africa with hairy glumes previously have been described as var. *hirtiglumis* (Anderson 1961) or even as a separate species (*P. elongata* Braun-Blanq.; Baldini 1995). Oram *et al.* (2009) argued against this being a separate species, in part because cultivars bred in Australia and elsewhere are based on populations created by intercrossing ecotypes from both *P. aquatica* and var. *hirtiglumis*/*P. elongata*.

P. aquatica is one of the few species in the genus *Phalaris* that has been subjected to selection and breeding. The first commercial cultivar of the species, now called cv. Australian, is believed to be an Italian ecotype (Trumble 1935; Oram *et al.* 2009). However, uncertainty surrounds its origin (Scurfield and Biddiscombe 1966; McWilliam *et al.* 1971) and some early workers even hypothesised a hybrid origin (Jenkin and Sethi 1932; Anderson 1961). Although variation for agronomic characters such as flowering date and seedling growth rate is present in cv. Australian (Latter 1965), the greater variation observed in studies of wild ecotypes (Cooper and McWilliam 1966) resulted in Australian breeders pursuing the creation of breeding populations based on diverse accessions. McWilliam and Latter (1970) describe the top-crossing of 26 ecotypes from northern Africa, southern Europe and Israel to the adapted cv. Australian and a morphologically similar ecotype from Turkey (CPI 15022). This broadly based population became the basis for the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) *phalaris* breeding program and the release of so-called 'winter-active' cultivars Siroso, Sirolan, Holdfast and Landmaster. Later cultivars bred for specific stress tolerances, Advanced AT for acid soils and Holdfast GT for grazing tolerance, are also related to the early broad populations. The CSIRO program also released several more summer-dormant cultivars for dry marginal areas (Sirocco, Atlas PG and

Horizon) based on largely Moroccan germplasm including the US cultivar Perla Kolegrass, itself bred from a Moroccan ecotype (Adams *et al.* 1974).

An important domestication step in the CSIRO program was the discovery of a rare mutant in cv. Australian that completely held its seed. This 'intact rachilla' mechanism of seed retention was thought to be controlled by four recessive genes (McWilliam and Gibbon 1981). The mutant was used to form a cultivar (cv. Uneta) and later to introduce intact-rachilla seed retention into the main winter-active breeding pool through several cycles of outcrossing seed-retaining plants to winter-active germplasm to reduce inbreeding and regain the winter-active phenotype such as in cv. Holdfast (Oram and Schroeder 1992). All CSIRO cultivars released since cv. Holdfast possess this trait.

Cultivar Australian was exported to the USA and South America where further selection for local adaptation gave rise to cultivars such cv. Hardinggrass and probably cv. TAM Wintergreen in the USA, and cv. Pergamino El Gaucho in Argentina (Oram *et al.* 2009). Several lines from Pergamino, Argentina, also form the basis of the New Zealand cultivar, Grasslands Maru (Rumball 1980), and cv. Seedmaster was selected from cv. El Gaucho in Australia (McWilliam and Schroeder 1965). Part of the breeding pool in several countries is therefore likely to be related through the cv. Australian pool.

Cultivars based on a much broader range of ecotypes have also been produced in the USA, such as cv. AU Oasis and the germplasm AU1 for Alabama and similar environments (Pedersen *et al.* 1983, 1984). More recently, The Noble Foundation screened a wide range of ecotypes and introductions under heavy grazing pressure for adaptation to the southern Great Plains environment, which resulted in adapted populations formed from plants in persistent accessions (Mian *et al.* 2005; Hopkins *et al.* 2006). Several cultivars of *P. aquatica* have been produced in Argentina and Uruguay (Oram *et al.* 2009). At least one, cv. Maté, resulted from cycles of selection in the Australian winter-active cultivar Siroso for productivity under local conditions, whereas another, cv. Estanzuela Urunday, was bred from local and Mediterranean ecotypes (Oram *et al.* 2009).

It appears that the Australian, US and South American breeding pools of *P. aquatica* share historical relationships but selection has been conducted for local adaptation in isolation from the environment in other countries. The relationships of these pools to each other and to wild germplasm, and the extent to which they have diverged from each other, are of interest for future breeding.

Studies of population genetic diversity based on molecular markers are valuable in exploring relationships between germplasm within and among species and provide important knowledge for planning effective plant breeding programs. A species closely related to *P. aquatica*, *P. arundinacea* L., was the subject of a detailed molecular diversity study (Jakubowski *et al.* 2011), and a comparative analysis of *P. aquatica* and *P. arundinacea* based on the chloroplast genome has been published (Xiong *et al.* 2020). A reference transcriptome of *P. aquatica* was published by Baillie *et al.* (2017). Mian *et al.* (2005) conducted a genetic diversity study in *P. aquatica* using

AFLP markers and concentrating on 22 populations displaying persistence and vigour under evaluation in Oklahoma, USA. Wild accessions from Morocco, Algeria, Italy, Greece and Turkey were included with two cultivars and four breeding populations. Moroccan accessions were found to cluster separately from the remaining germplasm, suggesting the presence of structure in the species based on geographic location.

The present study in *P. aquatica* examined diversity in a much larger number ($n = 57$) of wild accessions than used in the study of Mian *et al.* (2005). These accessions covered much of the natural range of the species and therefore allow more robust examination of the relationship between genomic variation and geographical distribution. 'Wild' in this sense indicates that an accession was collected in the natural range of the species and was unlikely to be a sown cultivar or landrace. This does not preclude the possibility that an accession arose from naturalised material. The study also included a much wider range of cultivated germplasm from the main breeding pools worldwide, including Australia, USA, South America and New Zealand.

The study is the first to utilise DArTseq markers to explore genomic variation in *P. aquatica*. DArTseq markers are a relatively low-cost but high density and throughput marker technology, and have been used successfully in diversity studies of many organisms (e.g. Sansaloni *et al.* 2011; Kilian *et al.* 2012; Cruz *et al.* 2013; Raman *et al.* 2014; Edet *et al.* 2018; Farahani *et al.* 2019; Abbasov *et al.* 2020). The specific objectives of this study were (i) to examine the relationships among wild and cultivated germplasm, and between the main breeding pools; and

(ii) to examine population genetic structure of the *P. aquatica* material. The knowledge generated will be beneficial to traditional breeding, and when forming training populations for genomic selection should greater resources become available for phalaris breeding in the future.

Materials and methods

Germplasm

In total, 94 wild and cultivated (cultivars, breeding populations) accessions of *P. aquatica* were included in the study. All 57 wild accessions originated from the Mediterranean and Middle Eastern homeland of the species (Table 1, Fig. 1). Accessions were sourced from the CSIRO collection, Margot Forde Germplasm Centre, Agriculture Victoria (Cunningham Collection; Cunningham *et al.* 1997) and New South Wales Department of Primary Industries (Graeme Sandral Collection, now in the Australian Pastures Genebank), or direct from institutions and companies. Cultivated germplasm ($n = 37$) was represented by 27 cultivars and eight commercial breeding populations from five countries, and one New Zealand ecotype probably arising from a commercial line (Table 1). Cv. Australian was entered twice, using seed from 1971 and 2003, to check for stability. Information on the cultivated germplasm is presented in Appendix 1.

DNA extraction and SNP genotyping

A bulking strategy was employed to reduce the cost of sampling the high level of genetic variation among individuals expected in an outcrossing tetraploid species

Table 1. Wild and cultivated germplasm in the study, showing country of origin for wild germplasm and country where bred for cultivated germplasm

See Appendix 1 for information on cultivated germplasm. Abbreviated names for wild accessions are prefixed 'w' and cultivated accessions are prefixed 'c' in Figures

Country	Accessions
<i>Wild germplasm</i>	
Morocco	CPI14693, CPI14696, CPI19305, CPI19331, M51, M91, M123, M170, M178, M231
Algeria	CPI14495, CPI14498, CPI19275, CPI19280, CPI19289, CPI19299
Tunisia	T39
Portugal	CPI14418, CPI14419, CPI19344, CA108
Spain	CPI15220, CA126
Sardinia	S33, S58, S61, S96, S99, 468, 478, 491
Italy	CPI14072, CPI19357
Greece	CPI14279, CPI19350, CPI19351, CPI19353, S190
Turkey	CPI15021, CPI15022
Israel	CPI15311, CPI15591, CPI19264, CA329
Jordan	CPI198202, CPI198203, CPI198204, CPI198206
Syria	CPI198207, CPI198208, CPI198209, CPI198210
Iraq	CPI198211, CPI198212, CPI198213
Azerbaijan	CPI168369, CPI168370
<i>Cultivated germplasm</i>	
Australia	Australian (1971), Australian (2003), Uneta, Australian II, Fosterville, Seedmaster, General Select, Siroso, Sirolan, Holdfast, Landmaster, Holdfast GT, Advanced AT, Sirocco, Atlas PG, Horizon, PA1(11), PA13(13)
USA	TAM Wintergreen, Perla Koleagrass, AU Oasis, AU1, NF4001, NF4010, NF5001
Argentina	Pergamino El Gaucho, Castelar INTA, El Serena, Tapalque, Maté, Lawson/BarLaris
Uruguay	Estanzuela Urunday
New Zealand	Grasslands Maru, Confederate, CA1113, Pa701 × LM, Pa701 × AdvAT



Fig. 1. Sample locations of wild *Phalaris aquatica* accessions.

such as *P. aquatica*. Bulking has been shown to give similar results to sampling individuals in diversity studies of outcrossing species as long as bulks of at least 20–30 individuals are formed (Guthridge *et al.* 2001; Kopecký *et al.* 2011; Bolibok-Brągoszewska *et al.* 2014; Liu *et al.* 2018). Detection of rare alleles is reduced in bulks of this size but is enhanced by sampling individuals or small bulks (Liu *et al.* 2018). For each accession, we therefore randomly sampled bulks of size $n = 30$ supplemented with three separate individuals.

DNA extraction, sequencing and quality analysis of markers were performed by using procedures developed by Diversity Arrays Technology Pty Ltd (DArT PL, Canberra, ACT). Individual seeds and bulks of 30 seeds were pulverised in a Geno/Grinder (SPEX SamplePrep, Metuchen, NJ, USA) and the DNA was extracted using the NucleoMag (MACHEREY-NAGEL, Düren, Germany) system. After lysis of the flour for 2 h, the lysate was mixed with beads, gently agitating the reservoir at all times. The deep well plate was then transferred to a Tecan 100 robot (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) where the final extraction steps (washing and elution into elution buffer) were performed by using a 96 tip head and DArT PL proprietary script.

Based on testing several enzyme combinations for complexity reduction, the *SbfI*–*HpaII* method was selected for *Phalaris*. DNA samples were processed in digestion/ligation reactions principally as per Kilian *et al.* (2012). The *SbfI*-compatible adaptor was designed to include a flow cell attachment sequence (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), sequencing primer sequence and ‘staggered’ varying-length barcode regions, similar to the sequence reported by Elshire *et al.* (2011). Only ‘mixed fragments’ (*SbfI*–*HpaII*) were effectively amplified in 30 rounds of PCR. PCR equimolar amounts of amplification products from each sample were then bulked and applied to the cBot (Illumina) bridge PCR followed by sequencing on Illumina HiSeq2500. Sequences generated from each lane were processed by using proprietary DArT PL analytical pipelines. Outputs were used in a secondary pipeline for proprietary SNP and SilicoDArT (presence/absence of

restriction fragments in representation) calling algorithms (DArTsoft14). For SNP calling, all tags from all libraries included in the DArTsoft14 analysis were clustered by using DArT’s C++ algorithm at the threshold distance of 3, followed by parsing of the clusters into separate SNP loci using a range of technical parameters, especially the balance of read counts for the allelic pairs. Multiple samples were processed from DNA to allelic calls as technical replicates, and scoring consistency was used as the main selection criterion for high quality/low error rate markers. Calling quality was assured by high average read depth per locus (average across all markers was >20 reads/locus).

Two types of data are generated by DArTseq: SNP and SilicoDArT. SNP markers are nucleotide polymorphisms present in the restriction fragments that are co-dominant, whereas SilicoDArT markers are dominant. In this study, we used only SNP markers because dominant markers add little information for population genetics studies. Prior to quality control measures, 28 020 SNPs were identified with call rates ranging from 0.20 to 1. The dataset was filtered for minor allele frequency (MAF) <0.1 and for missing data >10% (e.g. Alam *et al.* 2018; Farahani *et al.* 2019). Quality of SNP markers was determined by the parameters ‘reproducibility ≥ 0.95 ’ and ‘call rate at 70%’. Overall, 3905 SNP markers remained for analysis of genetic diversity and population structure with 99% reproducibility. The large number of markers that are simultaneously assayed by the DArT platform provides a high level of resolution in genetic diversity studies (Kilian *et al.* 2005). Preliminary analysis of genetic diversity and population structure showed consistent results between datasets from individuals and bulks. Subsequent analysis was based on the combined data, considering the data from the bulks as a fourth sample.

Quality analysis of marker data

Quality analysis of the markers included tests for call rate (%), reproducibility (%), one ratio and polymorphism information content (PIC). We used the package ‘dartR’ in R (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna: <https://www>.

R-project.org/) for the quality control measures at thresholds of 70% for the call rate and one ratio. The one ratio constitutes the proportion of the samples for which genotype scores equalled 1. This resulted in reproducibility ranging from 0.95 to 1. PIC is the degree of diversity of the marker in the population. Based on a call rate of 70%, initially, 6744 SNP markers were returned, and after quality control (discarding of markers with >30% missing values and a MAF <0.05, as well as filtered monomorphic loci, which do not provide information for population structure), 3905 SNPs remained for analysis with 99% reproducibility.

Population analyses

Genetic relationships among accessions, population structure and genetic diversity analysis

Genetic relationships among accessions were investigated by using a method that assesses allele sharing (Gondro 2015). The allele sharing for two individuals is the average of the absolute difference between all of their SNPs. The function 'as.dist' in R was used to convert the allele sharing matrix into a genetic distance. In order to perform hierarchical clustering of the distance matrix, we used the 'hclust' function in R, using the clustering algorithm 'complete', which finds more similar clusters. Weighted neighbour-joining dendrograms were constructed and clade strength in the dendrograms was tested by 20 000 bootstrap analyses. The 'genlight' function 'ibd' in dartR was used to compute the correlation between inter-population genetic distance (Nei's distance; Nei 1978) and geographical distance using the Mantel test (9999 permutations).

Population genetic statistics including expected heterozygosity (H_E), pairwise differentiation between populations (F_{ST}) and analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) were estimated using the functions 'adegenet' and 'hierstat' in R. The F_{ST} statistic measures the distribution of genetic variation within and among populations (e.g. Frankham *et al.* 2002). We also examined the inbreeding coefficient F_{IS} , which measures the reduction in heterozygosity due to inbreeding (Wright 1978; Hartl and Clark 2007). AMOVA to measure the proportions of genetic variation within and among populations was also implemented in R using the function 'varcomp.glob'.

The genetic structure of the germplasm was analysed by using the cross-entropy criterion in the 'LEA' package in R (Frichot and Francois 2015). The R package LEA implements two classical approaches for the estimation of population genetic structure, principal component analysis (PCA) and admixture analysis (Patterson *et al.* 2006; Pritchard *et al.* 2000), using sparse non-negative matrix factorisation. The function can handle missing data in population structure analysis, eliminating the need for imputation. The LEA function PCA was used to compute the scores of a PCA for the genotypic matrix. PCA explains the genetic distances among the accessions. To infer the probable number of subpopulations, we used the LEA function 'sNMF' in R, which estimates ancestry coefficients comparable to those obtained with STRUCTURE or ADMIXTURE (Frichot *et al.* 2014). It provides an interesting alternative to

STRUCTURE software because it does not require that populations are in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and can handle large sets of data without using parallel processing software (Frichot *et al.* 2014). The algorithms were implemented in the program sNMF based on sparse non-negative matrix factorisation (NMF) and least-squares optimisation (Kim and Park 2011). Runs of sNMF were performed for values of the number of clusters set to $K = 2–10$ for the wild accessions, and $K = 2–19$ for wild and cultivated accessions. Each run was replicated 50 times. The number of ancestral populations, K , was then based on the cross-entropy criterion curve, which exhibits a monotonic decrease and plateaus (Frichot *et al.* 2014). The minimum cross-entropy value denotes the possible number of clusters in a population.

Results

Quality analysis of SNP markers

In total, 28 020 SNPs were identified, with an average of 99% reproducibility. The call rate varied from 19% to 100%. Almost 76% of SNP markers showed a <70% call rate and were excluded from the study. However, most of the excluded 'missing data' were due to presence of the null alleles (restriction fragments missing in representations of samples and bulks in the analysis), rather than insufficient sequencing volume applied, because there was limited correlation between marker read depth and call rate. Such a high level of null alleles is not surprising given the generally very high level of DNA sequence diversity in this material. Not considered in the analysis were 2839 SNP markers with extremely low one ratio (<0.05). In total, 3905 SNP markers were returned, with an average call rate of 98% and an average one ratio of 0.42. These markers were determined to be highly informative with an average PIC value of 0.21 and a median of 0.19. Of the markers, 11% were in the lowest PIC value range (0–0.05) and 12% in the highest PIC value range (0.40–0.50) (Supplementary materials Fig. S1, available at the journal's website). The remaining PIC value groups (0.05–0.10, 0.10–0.20, 0.20–0.30, 0.30–0.40) exhibited an approximately similar marker frequency value ranging from 18% to 23% each.

Genetic diversity parameters

Four parameters were estimated for the populations of wild and cultivated accessions separately and the groups combined and are compared in Table 2. Genetic diversity for the panel

Table 2. Genetic diversity estimates for wild, cultivated and combined populations of *Phalaris aquatica* accessions

H_O , Observed heterozygosity; H_E , expected heterozygosity calculated by averaging all SNPs; F_{ST} , pairwise differentiation between populations; F_{IS} , average inbreeding coefficient

Population	No. of accessions	No. of individuals	H_O	H_E	F_{ST}	F_{IS}
Wild	57	243	0.10	0.14	0.36	0.18
Cultivated	37	147	0.11	0.13	0.05	0.12
Combined	94	390	0.10	0.15	0.29	0.17

was explained through estimation of the expected heterozygosity (H_E), which showed similar values for the whole panel and wild and cultivated populations (0.13–0.15; Table 2). Observed heterozygosity (H_O) values were also similar for all population categories and were lower than H_E values, possible evidence of inbreeding. This was supported by positive F_{IS} values (mean $F_{IS} = 0.17$). F_{ST} as a measure of differentiation among accessions was much higher among wild accessions (0.36) than among cultivated accessions (0.05), suggesting a high degree of genetic relatedness in the cultivated pool.

Genetic relationships among accessions

Wild germplasm

Genetic relationships were first examined among the 57 wild accessions, using a dendrogram based on hierarchical clustering of the distance matrix. The dendrogram (Fig. 2a, b) shows that, with only a few exceptions, accessions from the same country and broader geographical region clustered together. A clear east–west pattern of relationship was evident, the two major clades splitting the germplasm into eastern (Greece, Israel, Jordan, Syria, Iraq, Azerbaijan) and western (Italy, Sardinia, Portugal, Syria, Iraq, Azerbaijan) and western (Italy, Sardinia, Portugal,




Fig. 2. Weighted neighbour-joining dendrograms based on SNP markers for wild accessions: (a) accession identity, and (b) country of origin. Clade strength in the dendrogram was tested by 20 000 bootstrap analyses. Bootstrap values for the first division was 98 on both right and left clade and for the second division (85 and 76 on the right clade; 61 and 57 on the left clade).

Spain, Algeria, Tunisia, Morocco) clades. Only a small sample of two Turkish accessions located in the western clade did not adhere to this general pattern. In the eastern clade, two smaller clades were resolved. The first contained Israeli and Jordanian accessions in one sub-clade and all Greek accessions in another. The second contained all accessions from the eastern extremity of the sampled distribution of *P. aquatica* (Syria, Iraq, Azerbaijan) with a single Israeli accession and one Syrian accession slightly separated. In the western clade, the first branch separated five of six Algerian accessions and one Turkish accession from other north-west African (Morocco, Tunisia) and the south-west European (Portugal, Spain, Italy, Sardinia) accessions, which clustered separately. One Spanish (CPI15220) and two Portuguese (CPI14418, CPI14419) were separated from other south-west European accessions and closer to north-west African accessions. In the south-west European sub-clade, all Sardinian accessions grouped together next to mainland Italy, then with Spanish and Portuguese accessions and one Algerian accession. The sub-clade of Moroccan accessions was itself split into two smaller sub-clades: one, with a Tunisian accession, deriving from central and southern Morocco, and the other from northern Morocco.

Population structure analysis of wild accessions was performed to partition the genome of each accession into a user-defined number of subpopulations (K). Assuming only two subpopulations ($K = 2$) matched the east and west clades of the dendrogram (Fig. 3a). Within the eastern clade (red), accessions from Greece (including Crete) and Israel showed clear evidence of admixture from the western clade, whereas accessions from Jordan, Syria, Iraq and Azerbaijan showed little or no admixture. The western clade (blue) showed admixture from the eastern clade mainly in accessions from Turkey, Algeria, Italy, Portugal, Spain and Sardinia. Accessions from Morocco (nos 7, 8, 45–50) and Tunisia (no. 57) showed no admixture from the eastern clade at this scale.

The number of ancestral populations, K , from the cross-entropy criterion curve plateaued at $K = 6$ (Fig. S2, cross-entropy = 0.2570), suggesting that six genetic subpopulations best described the wild *P. aquatica* germplasm as shown in Fig. 3b. Admixture among subpopulations generally involved geographically close subpopulations but not always. Three subpopulations were identified in north-west Africa, one (dark blue) from Algeria with one Turkish accession, showing admixture mainly from southern Europe (green), and two in Morocco–Tunisia. One of the Moroccan subpopulations (pale blue), from central-southern Morocco, showed lower levels of admixture than the second from northern Morocco (salmon), both showing admixture mainly from each other, Algeria and from southern Europe. The more admixed Moroccan subpopulation also contained an accession from each of Portugal and Spain, the Spanish accession (w-15220) also showing admixture from an eastern Mediterranean subpopulation (yellow). A large western European subpopulation (green), also containing two accessions from Greece and one accession from each of Turkey and Algeria, showed relatively diverse admixture from north-west Africa, Israel–Greece, Algeria and Syria–Iraq–Azerbaijan. Of the

two remaining subpopulations, one (yellow) was from Greece–Israel–Jordan with considerable admixture from western Europe in Greek and Israeli accessions, and with admixture from the second Syria–Iraq–Azerbaijan subpopulation (red) in Jordanian accessions.

Wild and cultivated germplasm combined

Genetic relationships between wild and cultivated accessions, and between cultivated accessions, were investigated in the combined wild plus cultivated dataset. The dendrogram based on the distance matrix (Fig. 4a, b) again showed two major clades with a general east–west grouping among wild accessions but with the difference that most south-west European accessions (one from Spain, two from Portugal, and the Italian and Sardinian accessions) grouped with the eastern clade (Greece and Middle Eastern countries). The original introduction to Australia (cv. Australian), thought to be an essentially wild accession and included as two separate accessions from 1971 (c-Aust1971) and 2003 (c-Aust2003), grouped in this clade along with numerous cultivars likely to have originated from it in Australia and the Americas. Thus, this clade represented mainly wild accessions other than those from north-west Africa, or cultivated material derived closely from wild accessions. That the two accessions of cv. Australian clustered next to each other demonstrates the power of the SNP array to reveal known or cryptic pedigree structure precisely. Wild accessions that grouped most closely with c-Aust1971 and c-Aust2003 were w-19344 from Portugal, w-19299 from Algeria and w-15022 from Turkey.

The second clade contained all north-west African (Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia) accessions except one from Algeria, two from Portugal and one from Spain previously noted as grouping with Moroccan accessions, and cultivated material either developed in breeding programs from broad-based populations that included north-west African accessions or based entirely on north-west African accessions (Fig. 4). Cultivated populations from the USA, apart from the Moroccan-derived cv. Perla, grouped in the eastern clade even if they were broad-based (e.g. cvv. AU1, Oasis). This is probably related to the origin of accessions on which they were based; for example, Oasis is the only cultivar recorded to contain material from Iraq (Hoveland *et al.* 1982). Relationships between cultivars in the western clade were consistent with known breeding history. For example, c-GenSelect was the first broad-based population created in the CSIRO breeding program, c-Sirosa the first cultivar bred from this, and c-GMate was bred from c-Sirosa in Argentina. All grouped very closely in Fig. 4. Similarly, a forerunner generation of c-AtlasPG contributed over half the parentage of c-HoldfastGT; both grouped together in Fig. 4.

The entropy criterion-based population structure showed a clear minimum in the scree plot at $K = 9$ (Fig. S3), suggesting that nine genetic subpopulations best described the combined wild plus cultivated germplasm as shown in Fig. 5. The genetic diversity within each subpopulation was explained through the estimation of expected heterozygosity (H_E), which varied from 0.06 (Pop5, Syria–Iraq–Azerbaijan) to 0.17 (Pop4, broad-




Fig. 3. Q-plots showing clustering of 57 wild phalaris accessions based on analysis of genotypic data by using admixture analysis for: (a) $K = 2$, and (b) $K = 6$. Each accession is represented by a vertical bar. The proportion of each bar that is of a specific colour indicates the probability that the individual belongs to the cluster of that colour. Cultivar names and corresponding individual numbers (1–57) as shown on the x-axis are provided in Appendix 2.

based cultivated without intact-rachilla seed retention), with all but Pop5 being similar in heterozygosity (Table 3). The proportion of membership of each population ranged from 0.06 (Pop1) to 0.31 (Pop9) of the total accessions for these estimates (Table 3, Fig. 5). The genetic divergence among the populations revealed by Nei's net nucleotide distance indicated that distance was highest (0.442) between Pop5 and Pop7 (five Algerian accessions, one Turkish, NF4010) (Table 3). Very low distances included those between Pop1 (southern Morocco) and Pop4, and Pop2 (northern Morocco) and Pop8 (seed-retaining, broad-based cultivated), indicating strong influence of Moroccan germplasm in the broad-based

cultivated groups. Of interest was the low value between Moroccan Pop2 and southern European Pop9. The inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) of subpopulations ranged from -0.13 (Pop3) to -0.33 (Pop5), suggesting that the subpopulations are highly outbred (Table 3). AMOVA showed that a large proportion of genetic variation segregates within (71%) rather than between (29%) the subpopulations (AMOVA, $P < 0.001$; Supplementary Table S1).

The same six subpopulations revealed among wild-only accessions were present in the combined analysis, except that the Greece–Israel–Jordan subpopulation in the wild analysis




Fig. 5. Q-plots showing clustering of 94 wild and cultivated phalaris accessions based on analysis of genotypic data using admixture analysis for $K = 9$. Each accession is represented by a vertical bar (subpopulations: Pop1 (red), Pop2 (light red), Pop3 (green), Pop4 (dark green), Pop5 (dark red), Pop6 (yellow), Pop7 (light yellow), Pop8 (blue), Pop9 (dark blue)). The proportion of each bar that is of a specific colour indicates the likelihood/probability that the individual belongs to the cluster of that colour. Cultivar names and corresponding individual numbers (1–94) as shown on the x-axis are provided in Appendix 2.

Table 3. Genetic divergence among (net nucleotide distance) and within (expected heterozygosity) subpopulations identified in the structure analysis of wild and cultivated accessions combined, fixation index, and the proportion of membership of the subpopulation samplesValues in italics indicate P -value = 1; H_E , expected heterozygosity; F_{IS} , inbreeding coefficient

	Net nucleotide distance								H_E	F_{IS}	Prop. of membership
	Pop2	Pop3	Pop4	Pop5	Pop6	Pop7	Pop8	Pop9			
Pop1	0.032	0.064	<i>-0.004</i>	0.404	0.206	0.109	0.077	0.016	0.15	-0.27	0.07
Pop2		<i>0.002</i>	0.039	0.326	0.123	0.104	<i>-0.002</i>	<i>-0.001</i>	0.14	-0.21	0.07
Pop3			0.075	0.266	0.096	0.117	0.012	0.032	0.15	-0.13	0.09
Pop4				0.377	0.191	0.086	0.081	0.029	0.17	-0.24	0.10
Pop5					0.130	0.442	0.325	0.323	0.06	-0.33	0.09
Pop6						0.237	0.147	0.168	0.13	-0.31	0.10
Pop7							0.126	0.111	0.13	-0.23	0.07
Pop8								0.028	0.14	-0.29	0.11
Pop9									0.15	-0.20	0.31

Table 4. Pairwise comparison of genetic distance (F_{ST} values) among phalaris populations based on country of origin

Mean value indicates the mean of the country in column head with all other countries

	Mor.	Tun.	Alg.	Port.	Spain	Sard.	Italy	Gre.	Turk.	Israel	Jordan	Syria	Iraq	Az.	Aust.	Arg.	Ur.	NZ	USA
Morocco																			
Tunisia	0.02																		
Algeria	0.16	0.30																	
Portugal	0.12	0.38	0.05																
Spain	0.03	0.23	0.05	0.01															
Sardinia	0.28	0.64	0.18	0.13	0.25														
Italy	0.12	0.51	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.11													
Greece	0.26	0.45	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.25	0.09												
Turkey	0.10	0.24	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.24	0.01	0.13											
Israel	0.25	0.40	0.20	0.19	0.15	0.32	0.15	0.11	0.15										
Jordan	0.37	0.63	0.37	0.41	0.39	0.54	0.43	0.28	0.39	0.09									
Syria	0.34	0.47	0.33	0.35	0.29	0.49	0.31	0.24	0.29	0.10	0.16								
Iraq	0.40	0.66	0.41	0.47	0.45	0.61	0.51	0.35	0.44	0.25	0.29	0.10							
Azerbaijan	0.37	0.70	0.39	0.47	0.44	0.64	0.54	0.36	0.42	0.25	0.36	0.16	0.10						
Australia	0.15	0.31	0.10	0	0.01	0.10	0.02	0.17	0.07	0.20	0.36	0.34	0.41	0.41					
Argentina	0.12	0.34	0.10	0.02	0.03	0.21	0.06	0.19	0.07	0.21	0.42	0.36	0.47	0.47	0.02				
Uruguay	0.09	0	0.02	0.01	0.11	0.34	0.15	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.47	0.3	0.53	0.59	0.02	0.02			
New Zealand	0.15	0.38	0.11	0.02	0.04	0.17	0.06	0.18	0.08	0.22	0.42	0.37	0.48	0.47	0.02	0	0.04		
USA	0.10	0.2	0.03	0.01	0	0.11	0	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.24	0.20	0.28	0.26	0.03	0.02	0.13	0.03	
Mean	0.19	0.38	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.31	0.18	0.21	0.16	0.19	0.37	0.29	0.4	0.41	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.10

distances between countries were calculated by Wright's F_{ST} index (Table 4). Distances between accessions grouped by countries ranged from 0 to 0.70. The overall population differentiation estimate (F_{ST}) among countries was 0.29 (Table 2) and highly significant ($P < 0.0001$). For the wild accessions, there was a correlation between genetic and geographical interpopulation distance (Mantel test, $r = 0.5368$, $P = 0.004$). The highest genetic distance between countries was between Tunisia and Azerbaijan. Countries with the highest average genetic distances (most isolated) from the overall population were the far eastern group of Azerbaijan, Iraq, Syria and Jordan in the eastern clade, and Sardinia and the single accession from Tunisia in the western clade. Genetic distances among countries with only cultivated accessions (Australia, Argentina, Uruguay, New Zealand, USA) were very low (0–0.13, mean 0.03) suggesting that a

closely-related cultivated pool was being used by all countries. Distances between the cultivated pool of countries and other countries were lowest for Portugal, Spain, Italy, Turkey and Morocco; distances were slightly higher for Tunisia, Sardinia, Israel and Greece, indicating less representation from these countries in the cultivated pool. Cultivated material from the USA was on average closer to the far-eastern group (0.20–0.28) than was material from other countries with cultivated germplasm (0.34–0.59). This difference between USA and other countries with cultivated accessions is clear in a dendrogram based on the matrix of F_{ST} values (Fig. S4).

The PCA analysis of combined wild and cultivated germplasm confirmed the patterns indicated by other analyses (Fig. 6). The general east–west pattern starting at Morocco extending systematically through southern Europe




Fig. 6. Principal component analysis plot of PC1 against PC2, illustrating population structure in the phalaris diversity panel genotyped with SNP markers. Points are shown for all individuals and bulks.

eastwards is clear. Both east and west extremes in this distribution were somewhat isolated. Some accessions from Portugal, Spain and Turkey grouped nearer to Morocco as noted previously. Algerian accessions clustered apart from Moroccan accessions towards southern European accessions. The PCA makes clear the division of most cultivated material between the cv. Australian-type cultivars, which clustered among wild accessions from southern European countries at the top of PC2 axis, and the more broadly based cultivars from Australia, Argentina, Uruguay, New Zealand and USA, which clustered between north-west African and southern European wild accessions. Most US cultivated accessions clustered apart from cultivated accessions from other countries.

Discussion

This marker-based study provides a consistent description of genetic diversity in a broad sample of *P. aquatica* germplasm that included wild accessions with a wide geographical range and cultivated germplasm from the main breeding pools in Australia, USA, South America and New Zealand. We obtained strong evidence of population structure in the wild species, with significant genetic distance among population substructures mainly related to geographical distribution with some admixture from mainly proximal and occasionally more distant sources. The ability of markers to group cultivated germplasm accurately where relationships were known provides confidence in using this platform based on DArTseq SNP markers to clarify relationships among wild and cultivated accessions and potentially to facilitate plant breeding.

Genetic diversity estimates indicated that the wild and cultivated populations of accessions have maintained almost similar levels of expected heterozygosity (H_E), suggesting that there has not been much divergence from centres of origin during cultivation. This generally agrees with the theory that breeding populations do not lose genetic diversity *per se*, but that artificial selection in a small population, such as a plant breeding population, is expected to increase the frequency of favourable alleles, along with the chance fixation of other less desirable and selectively neutral alleles (Fu *et al.* 2003; Fu 2015). One plausible explanation for similar levels of genetic diversity in wild and cultivated material is that a considerable proportion of the cultivated material was bred by crossing accessions from diverse locations followed by crossing to facilitate recombination in Australia and the USA (McWilliam and Latter 1970; Oram *et al.* 2009). Most phalaris cultivars are based on a considerable number of clones, apparently enough to maintain a level of diversity typical of unrestricted material. Maintenance of heterozygosity also likely reflects the short selection history in *P. aquatica* as well as a history of relatively low selection intensity.

Positive values for F_{IS} (Table 2) suggest a level of inbreeding in this group of accessions and cultivars and this was supported by a deficiency of heterozygotes relative to Hardy–Weinberg expectations ($H_O < H_E$). Some inbreeding may have arisen from inadequate sampling numbers during original collection or seed increase. Owing to scarcity, seed was sometimes collected from very small numbers of

plants in some expeditions (e.g. Neal-Smith 1955), although later expeditions specified 50–100 plants from areas of 1000–10000 m² (Cunningham *et al.* 1997).

Relationships among countries may have been slightly affected by the number of accessions tested. More sampling of accessions from Turkey is required to confirm the placing of two Turkish accessions with countries considerably further west rather than closer to Greece and the Middle East. According to passport data, one of the Turkish accessions was collected on a field station and both were collected near major population centres, and it is possible that they derived from elsewhere.

Our finding of a much higher proportion of diversity within (71%) than among (29%) the nine subpopulations identified in our analysis of structure is strikingly similar to values found within and among accessions in other studies of *Phalaris*. Thus, the study of Mian *et al.* (2005) on *P. aquatica* germplasm found that 74% of the genetic diversity was within accessions and 26% among accessions. Similarly, within-accession variation accounted for 74.5% of genetic variation in wild accessions and 84.4% of variation in cultivated accessions in the related species, *P. arundinacea* (Jakubowski *et al.* 2011). These values are typical of outcrossing species (Nyblom 2004). Our analysis clearly showed plentiful diversity both within and between subpopulations for recurrent selection schemes.

Centres of origin

Analysis of marker data employing dendrogram, cluster analysis, PCA and the pairwise genetic distant matrix presented a consistent pattern of diversity among wild accessions strongly correlated with their geographical position within the species distribution area, such that genetic distances were generally lowest between adjacent regions and highest between widely separated regions. This pattern, consistent with isolation by distance, suggests that *P. aquatica* behaves mostly as an endemic, naturally dispersed species in its region of origin rather than a species subjected to widespread, deliberate cultivation of selected strains. This interpretation contrasts with *P. arundinacea*, which was considered to lack strong geographical clustering and to show high levels of admixture through both natural and human dispersal (Jakubowski *et al.* 2011). Neal-Smith (1955) remarked on the complete absence in Europe and Africa of pasture areas sown to *P. aquatica*, other than small experimental plots, during his collection expedition, suggesting lack of deliberate cultivation. Although *P. aquatica* is undoubtedly utilised for grazing throughout its centre of origin, its domestication as a cultivated species has occurred elsewhere.

Our analyses provide strong evidence of population structure (six among wild accessions and nine including cultivated accessions), at the broadest scale between western and eastern clades separating at longitudes in the Italy–Greece region. While distinct north-west African and southern European subpopulations were identified within the western clade, genetic distances between groups and countries in north-west Africa and southern Europe indicated

considerable genetic affinity (Tables 3, 4; Fig. S4). The far eastern subpopulation, Pop5 (Syria–Iraq–Azerbaijan), was the most genetically distinct of all subpopulations (Table 3). Notwithstanding this, cluster analysis also indicated a level of admixture between subpopulations of *P. aquatica*, suggesting natural spread probably with some human intervention. At the broadest level, the western clade showed more admixture from the eastern clade than vice versa. The eastern clade showed distant admixture from the western Mediterranean only in the Greece–Israel subpopulations. Historically, these regions have been highly interconnected by human activity, which may have contributed to the observed admixture most likely through unintentional transport. The most eastern subpopulation (Syria, Iraq, Azerbaijan) showed little admixture apart from a small amount with the adjacent subpopulations and displayed the lowest level of heterozygosity. This subpopulation is likely isolated by semi-arid or arid regions and by mountain barriers. The presence of three subpopulation clusters in north-west Africa, with two in Morocco and one in Algeria, was unexpected. The subpopulation from the High Atlas region of central Morocco showed little admixture, possibly due to isolation, whereas subpopulations from northern Morocco and Algeria showed clear admixture with the southern Europe subpopulation, probably due to historical human activity across the Mediterranean Sea. Consistent with this, some Portuguese and Spanish accessions showed affinity with African accessions.

Moroccan accessions grouped separately in the dendrograms, in agreement with Mian *et al.* (2005), and grouped at one end of the PCA diagram, but they appeared no more distinct from typical southern European *P. aquatica* than accessions from the eastern distribution of the species. Indeed, Moroccan subpopulations in our structure analysis showed some genetic affinity with the southern European subpopulations. Both eastern and western extremes of the *P. aquatica* distribution may be evolving away from southern European forms. We consider that the genomic evidence does not provide support for making the ‘hairy glumes’ forms of *P. aquatica*, most prominent in north-west African countries, a separate species. More exhaustive study of variation in the ‘hairy glumes’ form appears warranted based on the resolution of three subpopulations of *P. aquatica* in north-west Africa.

Domestication history

An important aim of this study was to examine the relationships of cultivated germplasm to wild germplasm and among the main breeding pools. The Australian, South American and New Zealand pools showed considerable relationship to each other. At least in part, this is because germplasm bred in Australia has been utilised in breeding programs elsewhere (Oram *et al.* 2009). The old New Zealand cultivar, Maru, grouped somewhat separately from the Australian type in the dendrogram and near to the Argentinian cultivar Castelar, but grouped with the Australian type in subpopulation 9 of the $K = 9$ Q-plot (Fig. 5). Cv. Castelar had considerable introgression from

the broad-based winter-active cultivar subpopulation 4 in Fig. 5 (accession 65). CA1113, an ecotype collected in northern New Zealand, appears to be closely related to cv. Australian. Part of the US cultivated pool was differentiated from other cultivated material in the wild plus cultivated dendrogram and PCA. Cvv. AU1 and Oasis and three Noble Foundation breeding populations were distinct from other cultivars, and AU1 in particular showed more association with the eastern clade than do the Australian and South American pools. Programs in Australia, South America and New Zealand should consider whether to introduce new diversity from the eastern wild clade and from the US cultivated pool.

The western wild clade (Fig. 2) appears to have been much more heavily utilised in Australian cultivated germplasm than the eastern wild clade. As expected, cultivated accessions from Australia were grouped mainly into the Australian type related to southern European germplasm, the broad-based, winter-active type with more relationship to north-west African germplasm, and cultivars based entirely on north-west African germplasm. Moroccan subpopulations in particular showed low genetic distance to both broad-based cultivar groups dominated by cultivars bred in Australia, probably because of the emphasis placed on selection for high seedling vigour and winter growth, which is found in Moroccan accessions (McWilliam and Latter 1970; Oram *et al.* 2009). Broad-based cultivars with and without expression of intact-rachilla seed retention were relatively close in the wild plus cultivated dendrogram (Fig. 4) but formed separate subpopulations in the cluster analysis (Fig. 5). If genomic selection is to be applied to these cultivars, then certainly the Australian type and even the different seed retention groups within the broad-based cultivars may need to be considered separately when forming training populations.

Finally, we hoped that this study would shed light on the origin of the early introduction to Australia. Cv. Australian was grouped near Italian and Sardinian accessions in the dendrogram and Q-plot (Figs 4 and 5, respectively) but also near to an accession from each of Algeria, Portugal and Turkey in the dendrogram, and from these countries and Spain in subpopulation 9 in the Q-plot. The nearest wild accession in the dendrogram, CPI19299 from Algeria, was described in passport data as being tall with poor basal leaf, a distinct contrast with cv. Australian, which is not tall compared with some other ecotypes and has strong basal leaf. Our study suggests a southern European origin is likely but nothing more specific.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of funding

This work was funded by the Meat & Livestock Australia Pre-breeding in Phalaris Project No. B.PBE.0038 and CSIRO Project SIP 311. These supporting sources had no involvement in the preparation of the data or manuscript or the decision to submit for publication.

Data availability statement

The data that support this study will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgements

James Sewell, formerly PGG Wrightson Seeds Australia, was involved in discussions during the planning phase of the project. We thank contributing institutions for access to seed of germplasm. Mike Trammell, Noble Research Institute, supplied details on breeding lines. Special thanks to Drs James Kijas and David Bush of CSIRO for their constructive suggestions that improved the manuscript.

References

- Abbasov M, Sansaloni CP, Burgueno J, Petroli CD, Akparov Z, Aminov N, Babayeva S, Izzatullayeva V, Hajiyev E, Rustamov K, Mammadova SA, Amri A, Payne T (2020) Genetic diversity analysis using DArTseq and SNP markers in populations of *Aegilops* species from Azerbaijan. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* **67**, 281–291. doi:10.1007/s10722-019-00866-7
- Adams TE, Love RM, MacLauchlan RS (1974) Registration of Perla koleagrass. *Crop Science* **14**, 339. doi:10.2135/cropsci1974.0011183X001400020058x
- Alam M, Neal J, O'Connor K, Kilian A, Topp B (2018) Ultra-high-throughput DArTseq-based SilicoDArT and SNP markers for genomic studies in macadamia. *PLoS One* **13**, e0203465. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0203465
- Anderson DE (1961) Taxonomy and distribution of the genus *Phalaris*. *Iowa State Journal of Science* **36**, 1–96.
- Baillie RC, Drayton MC, Pembleton LW, Kaur S, Culvenor RA, Smith KF, Spangenberg GC, Forster JW, Cogan NOI (2017) Generation and characterisation of a reference transcriptome for phalaris (*Phalaris aquatica* L.). *Agronomy* **7**, 14. doi:10.3390/agronomy7010014
- Baldini RM (1993) The genus *Phalaris* L. (Gramineae) in Italy. *Webbia* **47**, 1–53. doi:10.1080/00837792.1993.10670531
- Baldini RM (1995) Revision of the genus *Phalaris* L. (Gramineae). *Webbia* **49**, 265–329. doi:10.1080/00837792.1995.10670587
- Bolibok-Bragoszewska H, Targońska M, Bolibok L, Kilian A, Rakoczy-Trojanowska M (2014) Genome-wide characterization of genetic diversity and population structure in *Secale*. *BMC Plant Biology* **14**, 184. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-14-184
- Carlson IT, Oram RN, Surprenant J (1996) Reed canarygrass and other *Phalaris* species. In 'Cool-season forage grasses'. (Eds LE Moser, DR Buxton, MD Casler) pp. 569–604. (ASA, CSSA, SSSA: Madison, WI, USA)
- Cooper JP, McWilliam JR (1966) Climatic variation in forage grasses. II. Germination, flowering and leaf development in Mediterranean populations of *Phalaris tuberosa*. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **3**, 191–212. doi:10.2307/2401674
- Cruz VM, Kilian A, Dierig DA (2013) Development of DArT marker platforms and genetic diversity assessment of the U.S. collection of the new oilseed crop lesquerella and related species. *PLoS One* **8**, e64062. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064062
- Cunningham PJ, Graves WL, Chakroun M, Mezni MY, Saidi S, Bounejmate M, Porqueddu C, Reed KFM (1997) Novel perennial forage germplasm from North Africa and Sardinia. *Australian Plant Introduction Reviews* **27**, 13–46.
- Dolezel J, Bartos J (2005) Plant DNA flow cytometry and estimation of nuclear genome size. *Annals of Botany* **95**, 99–110. doi:10.1093/aob/mci005
- Edet OU, Gorafi YSA, Nasuda S, Tsujimoto H (2018) DArTseq-based analysis of genomic relationships among species of tribe Triticeae. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 16397. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-34811-y
- Elshire RJ, Glaubitz JC, Sun Q, Poland JA, Kawamoto K, Buckler ES, Mitchell SE (2011) A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. *PLoS One* **6**, e19379. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0019379
- Farahani S, Maleki M, Mehrabi R, Kanouni H, Scheben A, Batley J, Talebi R (2019) Whole genome diversity, population structure, and linkage disequilibrium analysis of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes using genome-wide DArTseq SNP markers. *Genes* **10**, 676. doi:10.3390/genes10090676
- Frankham R, Ballou JD, Briscoe DA (2002) 'Introduction to conservation genetics.' (Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK)
- Frichot E, Francois O (2015) LEA: an R package for landscape and ecological association studies. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **6**, 925–929. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12382
- Frichot E, Mathieu F, Trouillon T, Bouchard G, François O (2014) Fast and efficient estimation of individual ancestry coefficients. *Genetics* **196**, 973–983. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.160572
- Fu Y (2015) Understanding crop genetic diversity under modern plant breeding. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **128**, 2131–2142. doi:10.1007/s00122-015-2585-y
- Fu YB, Peterson GW, Scoles G, Rosnagel B, Schoen DJ, Richards KW (2003) Allelic diversity changes in 96 Canadian oat cultivars released from 1886 to 2001. *Crop Science* **43**, 1989–1995. doi:10.2135/cropsci2003.1989
- Gondro C (2015) 'Primer to analysis of genomic data Using R.' (Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland)
- Guthridge KM, Dupal MP, Kölliker R, Jones ES, Smith KF, Forster JW (2001) AFLP analysis of genetic diversity within and between populations of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). *Euphytica* **122**, 191–201. doi:10.1023/A:1012658315290
- Hartl DL, Clark AG (2007) 'Principles of population genetics.' 4th edn. (Sinauer Associates: Sunderland, MA, USA)
- Hopkins A, Saha M, Zhou L (2006) The Noble Foundation hardinggrass (*Phalaris aquatica*) breeding program. In 'Proceedings of the 13th Australasian Plant Breeding Conference'. 18–21 April 2006 Christchurch, New Zealand. (Ed. CF Mercer) pp. 548–551. (New Zealand Grassland Association)
- Hoveland CS, Haarland RL, Berry CD, Pedersen JF (1982) Oasis phalaris, a new cool season perennial grass. Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station Circular 259. Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA.
- Jakubowski AR, Jackson RD, Johnson RC, Hu J, Casler MD (2011) Genetic diversity and population structure of Eurasian populations of reed canarygrass: cytotypes, cultivars, and interspecific hybrids. *Crop & Pasture Science* **62**, 982–991. doi:10.1071/CP11232
- Jenkin TJ, Sethi BL (1932) *Phalaris arundinacea*, *Ph. tuberosa*, their F₁ hybrids and hybrid derivatives. *Journal of Genetics* **26**, 1–38. doi:10.1007/BF02991441
- Karapatsia A, Penglou G, Pappas I, Kiparissides C (2014) Bioethanol production via the fermentation of *Phalaris aquatica* L. hydrolysate. *Chemical Engineering Transactions* **37**, 289–294.
- Kaur S, Francki MG, Forster JW (2012) Identification, characterization and interpretation of single-nucleotide sequence variation in allopolyploid crop species. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **10**, 125–138. doi:10.1111/j.1467-7652.2011.00644.x
- Kilian A, Huttner E, Wenzl P, Jaccoud D, Carling J, et al. (2005) The fast and the cheap: SNP and DArT-based whole genome profiling for crop improvement. In 'In the wake of the double helix: from the green revolution to the gene revolution. Proceedings of International Congress'. 27–31 May 2003, Bologna, Italy. (Eds R Tuberosa, RL Phillips, M Gale) pp. 443–461. (Avenue Media: Bologna, Italy)

- Kilian A, Wenzl P, Huttner E, Carling J, Xia L, Blois H, Caig V *et al.* (2012) Diversity arrays technology: a generic genome profiling technology on open platforms. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **888**, 67–89. doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-870-2_5
- Kim J, Park H (2011) Fast nonnegative matrix factorization: an active-set-like method and comparisons. *SIAM Journal of Computer Science* **33**, 3261–3281. doi:10.1137/110821172
- Kopecký D, Bartoš J, Christelová P, Černoch V, Kilian A, Doležel J (2011) Genomic constitution of *Festuca* × *Lolium* hybrids revealed by the DARtFest array. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **122**, 355–363. doi:10.1007/s00122-010-1451-1
- Latter BDH (1965) Quantitative genetic analysis in *Phalaris tuberosa*. II. Assortative mating and maternal effects in the inheritance of date of ear emergence, seed weight and seedling growth rate. *Genetical Research* **6**, 371–386. doi:10.1017/S0016672300004262
- Liu S, Feuerstein U, Luesink W, Schulze S, Asp T, Studer B, Becker H, Dehmer KJ (2018) DARt, SNP, and SSR analyses of genetic diversity in *Lolium perenne* L. using bulk sampling. *BMC Genetics* **19**, 10. doi:10.1186/s12863-017-0589-0
- McWilliam JR, Gibbon CN (1981) Selection for seed retention in *Phalaris aquatica* L. In 'Proceedings of the XIV International Grassland Congress'. 15-24 June 1981. Lexington, KY, USA. (Eds JA Smith, VM Hays) pp. 269–272. (International Grassland Congress)
- McWilliam JR, Latter BDH (1970) Quantitative genetic analysis in *Phalaris* and its breeding implications. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **40**, 63–72. doi:10.1007/BF00277272
- McWilliam JR, Schroeder HE (1965) Seedmaster: a new cultivar of phalaris with high seed retention. *Journal of the Australian Institute of Agricultural Science* **31**, 313–315.
- McWilliam JR, Schroeder HE, Marshall DR, Oram RN (1971) Genetic stability of Australian phalaris (*Phalaris tuberosa* L.) under domestication. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **22**, 895–908. doi:10.1071/AR9710895
- Mian MAR, Zwonitzer JC, Chen Y, Saha MC, Hopkins AA (2005) AFLP diversity within and among hardinggrass populations. *Crop Science* **45**, 2591–2597. doi:10.2135/cropsci2005.04-0029
- Neal-Smith CA (1955) Report on herbage plant exploration in the Mediterranean region. FAO Report No. 415. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Nei M (1978) Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. *Genetics* **89**, 583–590. doi:10.1093/genetics/89.3.583
- Nybom H (2004) Comparison of different nuclear DNA markers for estimating intraspecific genetic diversity in plants. *Molecular Ecology* **13**, 1143–1155. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02141.x
- Oram RN, Schroeder HE (1992) Register of Australian Herbage Plant Cultivars A. Grasses 3. Phalaris (a) *Phalaris aquatica* L. (phalaris) cv. Holdfast. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **32**, 261–262. doi:10.1071/EA9920261
- Oram RN, Ferreira V, Culvenor RA, Hopkins AA, Stewart A (2009) The first century of *Phalaris aquatica* L. cultivation and genetic improvement: a review. *Crop & Pasture Science* **60**, 1–15. doi:10.1071/CP08170
- Pappas IA, Koukoura Z, Tananaki C, Goulas C (2014) Effect of dilute acid pretreatment severity on the bioconversion efficiency of *Phalaris aquatica* lignocellulose biomass into fermentable sugars. *Bioresource Technology* **166**, 395–402. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.05.072
- Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D (2006) Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLOS Genetics* **2**, e190. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190
- Pedersen JF, Hoveland CS, Haaland RL, Berry CD (1983) Registration of AU Oasis phalaris. *Crop Science* **23**, 597. doi:10.2135/cropsci1983.0011183X002300030040x
- Pedersen JF, Berry CD, Haaland RL, Hoveland CS (1984) Registration of AU-I phalaris germplasm. *Crop Science* **24**, 626. doi:10.2135/cropsci1984.0011183X002400030061x
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* **155**, 945–959. doi:10.1093/genetics/155.2.945
- Putievsky E, Oram RN, Malafant K (1980) Chromosomal differentiation among ecotypes of *Phalaris aquatica* L. *Australian Journal of Botany* **28**, 645–657. doi:10.1071/BT9800645
- Raman H, Raman R, Kilian A, Detering F, Carling J *et al.* (2014) Genome-wide delineation of natural variation for pod shatter resistance in *Brassica napus*. *PLoS One* **9**, e101673. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101673
- Rumball W (1980) *Phalaris aquatica* cv. 'Grasslands Maru'. *New Zealand Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **8**, 267–271. doi:10.1080/03015521.1980.10426270
- Sansaloni C, Petrolì C, Jaccoud D, Carling J, Detering F, Grattapaglia D, Kilian A (2011) Diversity Arrays Technology (DARt) and next generation sequencing combined: genome-wide, high throughput, highly informative genotyping for molecular breeding of *Eucalyptus*. *BMC Proceedings* **5**, P54. doi:10.1186/1753-6561-5-S7-P54
- Scurfield G, Biddiscombe EF (1966) Variation in *Phalaris tuberosa* L. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **17**, 17–28. doi:10.1071/AR9660017
- Trumble HC (1935) A note on the origin of 'Toowoomba canary grass' (*Phalaris tuberosa* L.). *Journal of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (Australia)* **8**, 195–202.
- Voshell SM, Hilu KW (2014) Canary grasses (*Phalaris*, Poaceae): biogeography, molecular dating and the role of floret structure in dispersal. *Molecular Ecology* **23**, 212–224. doi:10.1111/mec.12575
- Voshell SM, Baldini RM, Kumar R, Tatalovich N, Hilu KW (2011) Canary grasses (*Phalaris*, Poaceae): molecular phylogenetics, polyploidy and floret evolution. *Taxon* **60**, 1306–1316. doi:10.1002/tax.605007
- Wright S (1978) 'Evolution and the genetics of populations. Vol. 4. Variability within and among natural populations.' (University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL, USA)
- Xiong Y, Xiong Y, Jia S, Ma X (2020) The complete chloroplast genome sequencing and comparative analysis of reed canary grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*) and hardinggrass (*P. aquatica*). *Plants* **9**, 748. doi:10.3390/plants9060748

Handling Editor: Enrico Francia

Appendix 1. Information on cultivated germplasm with abbreviated names used in dendrograms

Country	Cultivar	Abbr. name	Notes
Australia	Australian	c-Aust1971	Original domestication. Seed from 1971
	Australian	c-Aust2003	Original domestication. Seed from 2003
	Uneta	c-Uneta	Selection from cv. Australian with intact-rachilla (IR) seed retention (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Australian II	c-AustII	Uneta × Australian to correct inbreeding in Uneta (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Fosterville	c-Fosterv	Selection from cv. Australian made in Tasmania
	Seedmaster	c-Seedmas	Selected from Argentinian cultivar, El Gaucho (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	General Select	c-GenSelect	Early generation of broad-based CSIRO population (McWilliam and Latter 1970)
	Sirosa	c-Sirosa	Winter-active cultivar bred from broad-based General Select population (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Sirolan	c-Sirolan	Winter-active cultivar for drier areas. Broad-based population crossed to summer-dormant cv. Sirocco (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Holdfast	c-Holdfast	Winter-active cultivar with IR seed retention to replace Sirosa (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Landmaster	c-Landmas	IR seed-retaining cultivar closely related to Holdfast selected for improved survival on low fertility, acidic and shallow soils (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Holdfast GT	c-HoldfastGT	IR seed-retaining cultivar selected for three cycles for persistence under grazing (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Advanced AT	c-AdvAT	IR seed-retaining cultivar based on backcrosses of <i>P. arundinacea</i> × <i>P. aquatica</i> hybrid to broad-based <i>P. aquatica</i> for high acid soil tolerance (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Sirocco	c-Sirocco	Higher summer-dormancy cultivar for drier areas selected from Moroccan accession CPI 19331 (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Atlas PG	c-AtlasPG	IR seed-retaining replacement for Sirocco based on Moroccan accessions crossed to US cultivar Perla (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Horizon	c-Horizon	IR seed-retaining cultivar for drier areas based on Moroccan and Tunisian accessions crossed to Atlas PG × Sirocco population
	USA	PA1(11)	c-PA1Sard
PA13(13)		c-PA13	Valley Seeds Australia Pty Ltd population bred from CSIRO winter-active cultivars
TAM Wintergreen		c-TAM	Selected for cold and drought tolerance in Texas. Probably from cv. Australian via cv. Hardinggrass (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
Perla		c-Perlag	Summer-dormant cultivar selected from Moroccan accession (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
AU Oasis		c-Oasis	Selected for Alabama environment based on eight diverse accessions (Pedersen <i>et al.</i> 1983)
AU1		c-AU1	Selected for Alabama environment based on 28 diverse accessions (Pedersen <i>et al.</i> 1984)
NF4001		c-NF4001	Noble Foundation breeding population, HG PI C2, cycle 2 selection from GRIN PI material
NF4010		c-NF4010	Noble Foundation breeding population, low alkaloid selection from GRIN PI material
NF5001		c-NF5001	Noble Foundation breeding population from material collected in Greece and Crete
Argentina		Pergamino El Gaucho	c-ElGaucho
	Castelar INTA	c-Castelar	No information
	El Serena	c-ElSerena	No information
	Tapalque	c-Tapalque	No information
	Maté	c-GMate	Bred by cycles of selection in cv. Sirosa (Oram <i>et al.</i> 2009)
	Lawson	c-Lawson	Registered as BarLaris. Possibly bred partly from old stands of Holdfast, has some IR seed retention
	Uruguay	Estanzuela Urunday	c-Urunday
New Zealand		Grasslands Maru	c-GMaru
New Zealand	Confederate	c-Confed	Cv. Holdfast crossed with South American germplasm
	CA1113	c-CA1113	Ecotype from Dargaville area, NZ
	Pa701 × LM	c-Pa701xLM	PGG Wrightson Seeds Australia breeding population. Confederate (NZ) crossed with Landmaster selections
	Pa701 × AdvAT	c-PaxAdv	PGG Wrightson Seeds Australia breeding population. Confederate crossed with Advanced AT selections

Appendix 2. Accession identities corresponding to numbers on x-axis of Q-plots in Figs 3 and 5

No.	Accession	No.	Accession	No.	Accession
1	w-14072	33	w-98208	65	c-Castelar
2	w-14279	34	w-98209	66	c-Confed
3	w-14418	35	w-98210	67	c-ElGaucho
4	w-14419	36	w-98211	68	c-ElSerena
5	w-14495	37	w-98212	69	c-Fosterv
6	w-14498	38	w-98213	70	c-GenSelect
7	w-14693	39	w-CA108	71	c-GMaru
8	w-14696	40	w-CA126	72	c-GMate
9	w-15021	41	w-CA329	73	c-Holdfast
10	w-15022	42	w-GS468	74	c-HoldfastGT
11	w-15220	43	w-GS478	75	c-Horizon
12	w-15311	44	w-GS491	76	c-Landmas
13	w-15591	45	w-M123	77	c-Lawson
14	w-19264	46	w-M170	78	c-NF4001
15	w-19275	47	w-M178	79	c-NF4010
16	w-19280	48	w-M231	80	c-NF5001
17	w-19289	49	w-M51	81	c-Oasis
18	w-19299	50	w-M91	82	c-PA13
19	w-19305	51	w-S190	83	c-PA1Sard
20	w-19331	52	w-S33	84	c-Pa701xLM
21	w-19344	53	w-S58	85	c-PaxAdv
22	w-19350	54	w-S61	86	c-Perlag
23	w-19351	55	w-S96	87	c-Seedmas
24	w-19353	56	w-S99	88	c-Sirocco
25	w-19357	57	w-T39	89	c-Sirolan
26	w-68369	58	c-AdvAT	90	c-Sirosa
27	w-68370	59	c-AtlasPG	91	c-TAM
28	w-98202	60	c-AU1	92	c-Tapalque
29	w-98203	61	c-AustII	93	c-Uneta
30	w-98204	62	c-Aust1971	94	c-Urunday
31	w-98206	63	c-Aust2003		
32	w-98207	64	c-CA1113		