# Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism Mapping of Quantitative Trait Loci for Economically Important Traits in the Silkworm, Bombyx mori

Authors: Mirhoseini, Seyed Z, Rabiei, Babak, Potki, Payam, and Dalirsefat, Seyed B

Source: Journal of Insect Science, 10(153): 1-21

Published By: Entomological Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.1673/031.010.14113

The BioOne Digital Library (<u>https://bioone.org/</u>) provides worldwide distribution for more than 580 journals and eBooks from BioOne's community of over 150 nonprofit societies, research institutions, and university Downloaded From: https://siging.bioone.org/journals/Journal-of-insect-Science.or 25 Mar 2025 Terms of Discharge Sciencies, The BioOne Digital Library encompasses



## Amplified fragment length polymorphism mapping of quantitative trait loci for economically important traits in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*

Seyed Z Mirhoseini<sup>1a</sup>, Babak Rabiei<sup>2b</sup>, Payam Potki<sup>3c</sup>, and Seyed B Dalirsefat<sup>4d\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Guilan, PO Box 41635-1314, Rasht, Guilan, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy & Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Guilan, PO Box 41635-1314, Rasht, Guilan, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Genomics, Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of Iran (Rasht), PO Box 41635-4115, Rasht, Guilan, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Sericulture, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Guilan, PO Box 41635-1314, Rasht, Guilan, Iran

## Abstract

Cocoon related characteristics are economically important traits in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. (Lepidoptera: Bombycidae). In this study a genetic linkage map was developed that identified QTL controlling the cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, and cocoon shell percentage using 161 amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers. Twenty *Pstl/TaqI* primer combinations were employed to genotype 78  $F_2$  progenies derived from a cross between P107 Japanese inbred line and Khorasan Lemon Iranian native strain. Among polymorphic markers, 159 AFLP markers were assigned to 24 linkage groups at the LOD threshold of 2.5 that varied in length from 4 to 299 cM. The total length of the linkage map was 2747 cM, giving an average marker resolution of 19.31 cM. A total of 21 AFLP markers were identified that were distributed over the ten linkage groups linked to the three studied traits using the composite interval mapping method. The explained variation rate by QTL controlling cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, and cocoon shell percentage ranged from 0.02% to 64.85%, 0.2% to 49.11%, and 0.04% to 84.20%, respectively. These QTL controlled by different actions as well as under dominance, additive, partial dominance, and over dominance.

**Keywords:** AFLP markers, cocoon traits, QTL mapping

Abbreviations: ABRII, Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of Iran; AFLP, Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism; AREO, Agricultural Research and Education Organization; BC, Backcross; CIM, Composite Interval Mapping; CM, centimorgans; ESTs, Expressed Sequence Tags; IM, Interval Mapping; ISRC, Iran Silkworm Research Center; LG, Linkage Group; LOD, Logarithm of Odds; LRT, Likelihood Ratio Test; NPV, Nuclear Polyhedrosis Virus; PCR, Polymerase Chain Reaction; QTL, Quantitative Trait Loci; RAPD, Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA; RFLP, Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism; SADF, Selective Amplification of DNA Fragments; SNP, Single Nucleotide Polymorphism

Correspondence: a mirhosin@guilan.ac.ir, b rabiei@guilan.ac.ir, c payam\_572003@abrii.ac.ir,

<sup>d\*</sup> bendalir@guilan.ac.ir, \*Corresponding author

Associate Editor: Craig Coates was editor of this paper.

Received: 7 April 2009, Accepted: 2 May 2010

**Copyright :** This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed. **ISSN:** 1536-2442 | Vol. 10, Number 153

#### Cite this paper as:

Mirhoseini SZ, Rabiei B, Potki P, Dalirsefat SB. 2010. Amplified fragment length polymorphism mapping of quantitative trait loci for economically important traits in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Journal of Insect Science* 10:153 available online: insectscience.org/10.153

#### Introduction

The silkworm, Bombyx mori L. (Lepidoptera: Bombycidae), domesticated for silk production for about 5000 years, is an agriculturally important insect and comprises a large number of geographical races and inbred lines that show substantial variation in qualitative their and quantitative traits (Mirhoseini al. 2007). et With the establishment of stable transformation (Yamao et al. 1999; Tamura et al. 2000), B. mori has shown the potential to produce pharmaceutically important proteins in high yield (Tomita et al. 2003), opening up new applications for sericulture in medical, agricultural, and industrial fields (Yamamoto et al. 2006). Currently, it is the major economic resource for nearly 30 million families in countries such as China, India, Vietnam, and Thailand (Miao et al. 2005). In order to make sericulture economically viable, genes affecting growth rate, yield, fiber quality, and virus resistance can be tagged with molecular markers for rapid construction of genetically improved strains. Taking the exclusive investigational advantages of this organism into account, as well as its economic importance, an International Consortium on Lepidopteran Genomics was formed a few years ago to support international cooperation to sequence the genome of B. mori and to initiate comparative genomics of other economically important Lepidoptera (Nagaraju and Goldsmith 2002).

Most traits in nature and of importance to agriculture are quantitatively inherited and therefore are difficult to study due to the complex nature of their inheritance. However, recent advances of genomic technologies have led to revolutionary means for unraveling the secrets of genetic variation in quantitative traits. Genomic technologies allow the molecular characterization of polymorphic markers throughout the entire genome that are then used to identify and map the genes or quantitative trait loci (QTL) underlying a quantitative trait based on linkage analysis (Wu et al. 2007).

A complete linkage map is necessary to efficiently carry out molecular-based analyses such as molecular marker-assisted selection, quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping of agronomically important traits, prediction of heterosis, and comprehensive investigations of genomic evolution between lineages (Tan et al. 2001). Presently, genome studies in B. mori have generated genetic linkage maps based on morphological markers (Doira et al. 1992) and molecular markers including RFLP (Goldsmith 1991; Shi et al. 1995; Nguu et al. 2005), RAPD (Promboon et al. 1995; Yasukochi 1998; Li et al. 2000), SADF and RAPD (He et al. 2001), AFLP (Tan et al. 2001; Lu et al. 2004; Sima et al. 2006), microsatellites (Miao et al. 2005), and SNP (Yamamoto et al. 2006).

Projects have been initiated to find molecular markers that are tightly linked to traits relevant for sericulture, with the related goals of developing tools for marker assisted selection and positional cloning. RAPD or cDNA markers have been associated with the known four densonucleovirus nonsusceptibility loci, nsd-1 (Abe et al. 1998), nsd-2 (Abe et al. 2000), Nid-1 (Kadono-Okuda et al. 2003), and *nsd-Z* (Li et al. 2001). Two large contigs on chromosome 17 that encompass cDNAs closely linked to Nid-1 and nsd-2 have been isolated and sequenced (Kadono-Okuda et al. 2003) and are being examined for candidate genes in susceptible and nonsusceptible strains (Goldsmith et al.

2005). A similar strategy was used to screen for RAPD markers linked to resistance to NPV, a potentially devastating pathogen (Yao et al. 2003), and fluoride resistance (Chen et al. 2003). Progress has also been made in assigning RAPDs (Chatterjee and Pradeep 2003), inter-simple sequence repeat markers (Chatteriee and Mohandas 2003), and AFLP (Lu et al. 2004; Li et al. 2006; Sima et al. 2006) to QTL for characters such as larval growth rate and pupal and cocoon weight. A suite of additional fingerprinting tools has for these applications been developed (Nagaraju and Goldsmith 2002). In addition, a collection of about 8500 expressed sequence tags (ESTs) is now available in GenBank and provides an additional source of important anchors in the ongoing *Bombyx* genome study (Nguu et al. 2005).

Although for genome mapping, the ideal genetic marker is codominant, multiallelic, and hypervariable (i.e., segregates in almost every family), some dominant markers are also very useful and powerful in particular situations (Wu et al. 2007). The amplified fragment length polymerphism (AFLP) technique (Zabeau and Vos 1992; Vos et al. 1995) has demonstrated to be a convenient and reliable tool to generate highly polymorphic molecular markers that greatly facilitate building linkage maps (Qi et al. 1997; Waugh et al. 1997). AFLP markers do allow one to construct linkage maps with wide genome coverage without engaging in extensive sequencing or marker development programs. AFLP markers are also faster than individual codominant marker types because a single polymerase chain reaction (PCR) can derive multiple loci simultaneously (Erickson et al. 2004). Because of these features, AFLP has been widely employed for genetic mapping in various organisms.

In this study, significant molecular markers and a large segregating population size were employed to detect QTL linked to economically important traits relevant to the B. mori cocoon and to better identify the genome regions of these QTL. Since highresolution QTL mapping is critical for positional cloning and gene isolation (Zhong et al. 2006), a high resolution AFLP-based genetic linkage map and the results of QTL mapping for economically important cocoon traits are reported.

### **Materials and Methods**

#### Insect materials and crosses

One F<sub>2</sub> segregating family from mating between a Japanese inbred line (P107) as female parent and an Iranian native strain (Khorasan Lemon) as male parent were used in the study. These inbred line and strain exhibit high phenotype diversity for economically important characters such as whole cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, and cocoon shell percentage, suggesting that considerable polymorphism exists at the DNA level (Dalirsefat and Mirhoseini, 2007). Indeed, the highest and the least quantities of these traits corresponded to P107 and Khorasan Lemon, respectively. These inbred line and strain have undergone a high degree of inbreeding and are relatively homozygous. A total number of 78 progenies, including 39 males and 39 females from F<sub>2</sub> population, were used to construct the genetic linkage map and QTL detection. The parents and F<sub>1</sub> progenies were used to establish the segregation pattern of the molecular markers. The crossing experiments were done in the Iran Silkworm Research Center (ISRC) located in Rasht, Iran.

#### AFLP analysis

Genomic DNAs were isolated individually from all the parents,  $F_1$ , and  $F_2$  populations, in the moth stage following the phenol/chloroform method (Suzuki et al. 1972) and as modified by Nagaraja and Nagaraju (1995). DNAs were quantified using a known standard (DNA lambda, Roche, www.roche.com) on agarose gels.

All individuals were subjected to genotyping with AFLP markers according to Vos et al. (1995) with some modifications. Briefly, genomic DNA was double digested with PstI and TaqI restriction enzymes, which produce polymorphic DNA fragments in B. mori (Tan et al. 2001; Mirhoseini et al. 2007). The DNA fragments were ligated with PstI and TaqI adaptors, generating template DNA for PCR amplification. Two primers were designed on the basis of adaptor sequences and restriction site sequences to use in PCR amplification. Selective nucleotide sequences were added to the 3' end of each primer. PCR amplification was conducted in two steps: a preamplification and a selective amplification. For the selective amplification, a total of 81 primer combinations obtained from two sets of *PstI* and *TaqI* selective primers (Table 1) were screened. Among them, 20 primer pairs that produced fragments with clear dominance inheritance patterns and reproducibility were used for the linkage analysis. Polymorphism screening of AFLP products was conducted on a 6% polyacrylamide gel using SequiGen 38×30 cm gel apparatus (BioRad Laboratories Inc., www.bio-rad.com). Bands were detected by the silver staining procedure (Promega, www.promega.com, Technical manual No.023), and gel images were scanned and saved as jpeg files for scoring and further analysis.

Linkage analysis and map construction

Using genotype information of 81 AFLP primer combinations, 20 primer combinations produced clearly readable that and polymorphic fragments among parents were employed to analyze linkage mapping. Twenty polymorphic primer combinations generating 161 polymorphic AFLP fragments with a clear dominance inheritance pattern were employed to construct the linkage map and detect QTL; that is, the suitable fragments must show complete dominance expression in one parent and complete recessive expression in the other, and all F1 individuals must be heterozygous. The AFLP fragments were scored based on 0 and 1 and then converted to A, B, C, and D letters according to the Map manager QTX (Manly et al. 2001) instruction manual. The data were analyzed using the Kosambi map function (Kosambi 1944) of Map manager QTX (Manly et al. 2001) to develop a linkage map for the population. By genotyping 78 progenies from the F2 population using 161 polymorphic bands, a genotypic data matrix in a dimension of 78 × 161 was constructed and used for linkage mapping. First recombination rates among markers were evaluated, then and recombination rates converted to the map distance based on centiMorgan using the Kosambi map function (Kosambi 1944). Computer software QTL cartographer version 2.5 (Wang et al. 2007) was used to determine the QTL positions, the expected additive and dominance effects, and the phenotypic variance explained by individual QTL. The LOD threshold value for declaring the presence of a QTL was determined by a permutation test (n = 1000) (Churchill and Doerge 1994). Genome-wide threshold levels were used to declare significant QTL based at the 5% significance level. Average levels of dominance (h) were estimated using the ratio dominance/additive effects (Stuber et al. 1987).

All molecular experiments were established in the genomics laboratory of the Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of North Region (Rasht) under the supervision of the Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of Iran (ABRII).

#### Results

#### Linkage map construction

Among the 81 AFLP primer combinations screened, approximately one-third of the primer combinations (n = 28) produced polymorphic fragments between the P107 inbred line and the Khorasan Lemon native Twenty pairs of AFLP primer strain. combinations were selected for segregation analysis on the F2 population based on reproducibility and the degree of polymorphism. polymorphic Only the fragments that segregated in a dominant

manner and could be scored unambiguously were used for linkage map construction. An example of AFLP gel electrophoresis and polymorphism screening related to the Ptat-Ttac primer combination is shown in Figure 1.

primer Twenty PstI/TaqI combinations produced 845 clearly detected bands, of which 161 qualified polymorphic fragments showing good agreement of 3:1 segregation (for a dominant marker, the segregation ratio is 3:1 in the F2 population) were analyzed for frequency linkage mapping. The of polymorphic AFLP markers derived from the clearly detected bands in the P107 × Khorasan Lemon cross in the silkworm was 19.35%. This frequency was close to that obtained in the Dazao  $\times$  C<sub>100</sub> cross of the silkworm (25.7%) (Lu et al. 2004) but it was dramatically lower than in the no. 782 × od100 cross (60.7%) of the silkworm (Tan et al. 2001).

	Name	Sequence
Adapters Pstl	Pst top strand	5'-GACGTGACGGCCGTCATGCA
	Pst bottom strand	5'-TGACGGCCGTCACG
Adapters <i>Taq</i> l	Taq top strand	5'-GACGATGAGTCCTGAG
	Taq bottom strand	5'-CGCTCAGGACTCAT
Primers Pstl	POI	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG
	P21	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>TA</b>
	P22	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>AT</b>
	P23	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>TC</b>
	P24	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>AC</b>
	P31	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>AAC</b>
	P32	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>AGA</b>
	P33	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>ATG</b>
	P34	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>AAG</b>
	P35	5'-GACGGCCGTCATGCAG <b>TAT</b>
Primers Taql	T01	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA
	T21	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>TA</b>
	T22	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>AT</b>
	T23	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>TC</b>
	T24	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>TG</b>
	T31	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>AAT</b>
	Т32	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>ACA</b>
	Т33	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>AAG</b>
	Т34	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>AGC</b>
	T35	5'-GATGAGTCCTGAGCGA <b>TAC</b>

<sup>a</sup> Selective nucleotides shown as bold letters

(Table 2).

91 fragments of 161 polymorphic fragments (56.52%) were detected in the male parent (Khorasan Lemon strain), and 70 fragments (43.48%) were observed in the female parent (P107 inbred line). On average, each primer combination generated 8.05 polymorphic fragments that could be used for linkage mapping. The number of polymorphic bands produced using the 20 primer combinations ranged from 3 bands (7.32%) corresponding

The linkage map generated from the P107  $\times$ Khorasan Lemon cross contained 159 AFLP markers (two markers were unlinked) that were assigned to 24 linkage groups at the

to P33-T32 to 14 bands (23.33%) for P22-T31

LOD threshold of 2.5 (Figure 2). Average distance between markers was 19.31 cM. The total recombination distance over 24 linkage groups was 2747 cM, which was longer than previous estimates in B. mori, i.e. 1800 cM for the dense RAPD map (Yasukochi 1998), 1868.10 cM and 2677.50 cM for the AFLP maps in two F<sub>2</sub> subgroups (Sima et al. 2006), and 1305 cM for SNPs based linkage map (Yamamoto et al. 2006). However, it was shorter than 6512 cM (Tan et al. 2001) and 3676.7 cM (Li et al. 2006) for the AFLP maps and 3431.9 cM (Miao et al. 2005) for the SSR markers reported in backcrossed populations of B. mori. Miao et al. (2005) suggested that although many conditions influence map length, including differences in mating



Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org

strategy and strains used, the distribution of markers is a possible causative aspect, and increased marker density should converge on a more realistic map length value. As Tan and Ma (1998) demonstrated theoretically, with additional markers typed, the map length may increase when marker density is not saturated or may decrease when marker density is in a saturation state (Tan et al. 2001). For example, Causse et al. (1994) constructed a rice map with 762 markers covering 4026.3 cM, whereas Harushima et al. (1998) obtained a 2275-marker genetic map of rice covering 1521.6 cM. This may explain why the length of our AFLP map is more than that of the B. mori linkage map studies mentioned above except for the maps of Tan et al. (2001), Miao et al. 2005, and Li et al. (2006).

Considering that the estimated genome size of *B. mori* is 530 Mbp (Gage 1974), the average physical distance per recombination distance

is about 193 kb/cM. It seems that the AFLP markers did not exhibit significant clustering near centromeres or the distal region of chromosomes, suggesting that they provide good coverage of the genome (!"#\$% et al. 2006, Figure 2).

#### **Phenotypic values**

The average phenotypic values of cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, and cocoon shell percentage traits corresponding to each parent and F<sub>2</sub> offspring are shown in Table 3. An extremely high significant difference (p < 0.01) for these traits was revealed as a result of comparing the mean phenotypic values between parents using the *t*-test. The mean cocoon weight in line P107 as female parent and Khorasan Lemon as male parent was 1.479 and 1.404 g, respectively. The mean cocoon shell weight in line P107 was 0.324 g, approximately 0.113 g more than that in Khorasan Lemon (0.211 g). In addition, the

ble 2. The	e observed polym	orphisms of twenty Taql and Pst	l primer combina	tions used in the p	arents and F2 populat
Primer	Total number of	Number of polymorphic bands in	Number of bands in e	polymorphic ach parents	Observed polymorphism
manne	bands	parents	P107	Khorasan Lemon	rate
P31-T34	43.00	11.00	5.00	6.00	25.58
P31-T24	44.00	5.00	0.00	5.00	11.36
P22-T31	60.00	14.00	4.00	10.00	23.33
P33-T32	41.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	7.32
P35-T24	38.00	5.00	3.00	2.00	13.16
P32-T33	46.00	7.00	2.00	5.00	15.22
P21-T32	42.00	10.00	6.00	4.00	23.81
P31-T23	50.00	6.00	3.00	3.00	12.00
P24-T33	40.00	8.00	5.00	3.00	20.00
P23-T32	50.00	8.00	5.00	3.00	16.00
P35-T21	45.00	10.00	4.00	6.00	22.22
P35-T35	45.00	7.00	5.00	2.00	15.56
P35-T34	53.00	11.00	7.00	4.00	20.75
P33-T34	45.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	8.89
P31-T22	32.00	11.00	4.00	7.00	34.38
P34-T32	42.00	13.00	4.00	9.00	30.95
P22-T32	35.00	8.00	2.00	6.00	22.86
P22-T34	33.00	8.00	3.00	5.00	24.24
P35-T33	32.00	6.00	2.00	4.00	18.75
P21-T33	29.00	6.00	4.00	2.00	20.69
Total	845.00	161.00	70.00	91.00	387.07
Average	42.25	8.05	3.50	4.55	19.35

<sup>a</sup> Primer combinations and sequences shown in Table 1.

cocoon shell percentage in the line P107 was estimated to be 22.23%, which was seven percent more than that in Khorasan Lemon (15.23%) as the male parent. Except for cocoon weight value in the  $F_2$  population, which was higher than both parent values, both the mean cocoon shell weight and cocoon shell percentage traits in the  $F_2$  generation were closely equal to the mean parent values (Table 3).

The frequency distribution of phenotypic values related to each trait studied in the  $F_2$  offspring is illustrated in Figure 3. As it is shown, a number of  $F_2$  offspring demonstrated out of range parent values, especially in the



line P107 for the three studied traits. The least and the highest phenotypic values of cocoon weight in the  $F_2$  population were 1.13 g and 1.83 g, respectively, and the highest value was about 0.35 g more than that in the line P107 (1.479 g). The least and the highest phenotypic values of cocoon shell weight in the  $F_2$  population were 0.22 g and 0.37 g, respectively; consequently, a number of the  $F_2$ offspring had almost 0.046 g more than that in the line P107 phenotypic value (0.324 g). In addition, the least and the highest phenotypic values of cocoon shell percentage in the  $F_2$ 22.6%. progenies were 15.72% and respectively; the highest value was nearly 0.4% higher than that in the line P107 (22.2%) (Figure 3).

#### QTL analysis

A total of 21 different loci, including QTL, controlling cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, and cocoon shell percentage traits were detected in the linkage map using a composite interval mapping method at the LOD threshold of 2.5 (Table 4). The selected LOD score plots at the threshold of 3 for linkage groups with the identified QTL provided a basis for identifying the molecular markers most closely linked to the QTL (Figure 4).

In particular, 12 QTL controlling cocoon weight were identified on the LG1, LG5, LG6, LG8, LG16, LG17, and LG19. The additive effects of these QTL ranged from - 0.1581 (*cw8*) to +0.0887 (*cw19b*), and their dominance effects ranged from -0.3852 (*cw19a*) to +0.3881 (*cw1a*). Two QTL for

cocoon shell weight were identified and located on the LG16 and LG22 with additive effects ranging from -0.0164 (*cshw16c*) to +0.0459 (*cshw22b*) and dominance effects



**Figure 3.** Frequency distribution of phenotypic values related to the studied traits in the F<sub>2</sub> segregating *Bombyx mori* population derived from mating between the P107 inbred line and the Khorasan Lemon native strain (the mean phenotypic values of the parents for the three traits are shown in Table 3). High quality figures are available online.

		Parent		Difference between
Trait	Line PI07	Khorasan Lemon	F <sub>2</sub>	parents (t-value)
Cocoon weight (g)	1.479±0.230	1.404±0.195	1.707±0.249	6.06*
Cocoon shell weight (g)	0.324±0.029	0.211±0.027	0.296±0.033	43.02*
Cocoon shell percentage (%)	22.23±0.02	15.23±0.02	17.54±1.95	41.99*

I         ov/d         PargTaci- Tac/Tac)         16/0         2517         4.29         -00735         0.3801         5.278           I         ov/d         PargTaci- Tac/Tac)         7794         27645         0.03         -0.0350         6.2944           I         ov/d         PardTaci-PardTaci         7794         2.7645         0.03         -0.0350         6.2943           5         ov/do         PardTaci-PardTaci         7201         2.6030         1.48         0.047         -0.3265         5.8913           6         cv/do         PardTaci-PardTaci         72.1         3.893         2.01         0.0559         1.367         8.81           Coconsweight         8         cw/do         PardTaci-PardTaci         72.757         2.6030         1.48         0.0409         0.0559         1.367         9.81           Coconsweight         16         cw/do         PardTaci-PardTaci         3.575         2.8339         1.20         0.0049         0.0559         1.367         9.80           16         cw/do         PardTaci-PardTaci         3.574         3.77         0.0733         0.3814         5.318           17         cw/do         PardTaci-PardTaci         3.574         3.77 </th <th>I         ov/10         Par(Tacl-1)         16.01         2.217         4.29         -0.0735         0.3881         5.278           I         ov/1c         Par(Tacl-1)         16.01         2.217         2.00         0.99         0.3802         5.294           5         ov/50         Par/Tacl-1         7.94         2.764         0.03         -0.060         -0.3802         5.93           5         ov/50         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.892         2.01         -0.055         -0.3142         5.913           6         cv/6         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.892         2.01         -0.056         -2.347         9.803           16         cv/16         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.892         1.01         -0.0734         -3.377         9.803           17         cv/16         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.855         2.8379         1.90         -0.0734         -0.3177         9.803         -3.344           17         cv/17         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.72         0.9139         -0.0134         -0.1756         -0.0235         -0.295         -0.295         -0.295         -0.295         -0.2956         -0.2956         -0.235</th> <th>Trait</th> <th>Linkage group</th> <th>QTL</th> <th>Neighboring markers</th> <th>Position (cM)</th> <th>гор</th> <th>R<sup>2</sup> (%)</th> <th>Additive effect</th> <th>Dominance effect</th> <th>ha</th>	I         ov/10         Par(Tacl-1)         16.01         2.217         4.29         -0.0735         0.3881         5.278           I         ov/1c         Par(Tacl-1)         16.01         2.217         2.00         0.99         0.3802         5.294           5         ov/50         Par/Tacl-1         7.94         2.764         0.03         -0.060         -0.3802         5.93           5         ov/50         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.892         2.01         -0.055         -0.3142         5.913           6         cv/6         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.892         2.01         -0.056         -2.347         9.803           16         cv/16         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.892         1.01         -0.0734         -3.377         9.803           17         cv/16         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.855         2.8379         1.90         -0.0734         -0.3177         9.803         -3.344           17         cv/17         Par/Tacl-4         7.91         3.72         0.9139         -0.0134         -0.1756         -0.0235         -0.295         -0.295         -0.295         -0.295         -0.2956         -0.2956         -0.235	Trait	Linkage group	QTL	Neighboring markers	Position (cM)	гор	R <sup>2</sup> (%)	Additive effect	Dominance effect	ha
Index         PartTack1         7794         27445         0.03         -0.080         -0.3802         2.394           5         cw56         PartTack1         2         26030         0.89         0.0352         -0.3142         -8913           5         cw56         PartTack1         2         2.6030         0.89         0.0352         -0.3142         -8913           6         cw5         PartTack1         2         2.6030         1.44         0.0447         -0.2625         5.800           7         cw5         PartTack1         2         2         2.003         1.48         0.0497         -0.2655         5.800           6         cw6         PartTack1         2         2         2.813         -0.1581         -0.3155         5.880           16         cw166         PartTack1         2         2         2         0.033         -0.0175         -0.2534           17         cw15         PartTack1         2         2         2         0.0337         0.0350         -0.2545           18         cw16         PartTack1         2         2         2         0.0337         0.0350         -0.2545           17         cw17	I         cw/c         Per/Tage/Tage/Tage/Tage/Tage/Tage/Tage/Tage		_	cwla	Patg/Tacal - Paac/Ttg7	16.01	2.5217	4.29	-0.0735	0.3881	-5.278
5         ow5a         ParTaca7-ParTrg6         2201         26030         0.895         0.0352         -0.3142         8913           5         cwb         ParTaca7-ParTrg6         72         2.6030         1.44         0.0447         -0.2675         5.890           6         rwb         ParTaca16         791         3.8932         2.01         -0.0559         -0.3835         6.838           Cocon weight         6         cwb         ParTraca16         791         3.8932         2.01         -0.0559         -0.3835         6.838           Low1         Evold6         ParTraca16         73.557         2.8349         0.97         0.0349         -0.3771         9.807           Li5         cwl6         ParTraca7         72.577         2.8349         0.97         0.0345         -0.3375         19.80           Li7         cwl6         ParTraca7         72.577         2.8349         0.97         0.0733         -0.3866         5.318           Li7         cwl7         ParTrac3         73.75         19.807         0.073         -0.3866         5.318           Li7         cwl6         ParTrac4         17.98         3.7596         1.43         0.043         -0.3167	5         cw5o         Par/Tac/Tet/Trig6         2201         26030         0.0352         -0.3142         -8913           5         cw5o         Par/Taci/Tet/Trig6         2701         2.6030         1.44         0.0447         -0.2653         -5.860           6         cw6         Par/Taci/Tet/Triad         737         2.8932         2.01         -0.0559         -0.3835         5.863           7         16         cw166         Par/Taci/Tet/Taci/Tot/Taci         2.201         2.0359         1.0355         -0.3377         9.036         -2.337           16         cw166         Par/Taci/Tet/Taci         2.2757         2.6349         0.77         0.0385         -0.3377         9.02           17         cw167         Par/Taci/Tet/Taci         3.747         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3246         5.318           17         cw179         Par/Taci/Tet/Taci         3.747         3.72         -0.0733         -0.3246         5.318           17         cw176         Par/Taci/Tet         3.049         3.779         1.93         0.0385         -0.3171         -9802           17         cw176         Par/Taci/Taci         3.747         4.953         4.915         -0.0723         -0.		_	cwlc	Ptc/Taca I I - Paga/Taag8	77.94	2.7645	0.03	-0.0060	-0.3802	62.944
5         cw5b         PartTageFargTaca6         42         2.6020         1:44         0.0447         -0.2625         5.880           Cocoon weight (C.W.)         6         cw6         PartTage14-         79.1         38932         2.01         -0.0559         -0.3835         6.856           Recom weight (C.W.)         16         cw16         PartTage14-         79.1         38932         2.01         -0.0559         -0.38935         6.856           16         cw16         PartTagePartTracci         3.555         2.8339         1.01         -0.0365         -0.3875         1.9802           17         cw16         PartTagePartTracci         3.557         2.8339         1.01         -0.0731         -0.36775         1.9802           17         cw16         PartTagePartTracci         3.5747         3.72         -0.0731         -0.3875         1.883           17         cw19         PartTage1-PartTracci         3.749         1.91         -0.0733         -0.3876         5.318           17         cw19         PartTage14         3.5747         3.72         -0.0733         -0.3876         5.318           17         cw19         PartTage14         3.759         1.738         0.0133	5         cw5b         PearTrageFareTrace         42         2.6020         1.44         0.0447         -0.2625         5.880           Croon weight (C.W)         8         cw6         PearTrage14         79.1         3.8932         2.01         -0.0559         -0.3835         6.88           Croon weight (C.W)         16         cw6         PearTrage14         79.1         3.8932         2.01         -0.0559         -0.3835         6.88           Croon weight (C.W)         16         cw167         PearTrage17.1         3.557         2.849         0.97         0.0649         -0.3775         10.86           17         cw167         PearTrage10         3.574         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3846         5.318           17         cw19         PearTrage10         3.574         3.72         -0.0733         -0.3875         10.86           17         cw19         PearTrage1         3.574         3.72         -0.0733         -0.3875         10.86           18         cw190         PearTrage1         49.12         0.0433         -0.0764         3.117           19         cw190         PearTrage1         17.981         3.743         4.1126         0.0733         0.306	_	2	см5а	Pat/Taca7-Ptat/Ttg6	22.01	2.6030	0.89	0.0352	-0.3142	-8.913
6         cw/s         Par/Tag14         79.1         3932         2.01         -0.0559         -0.3835         6.858           Ccoon weight (C.W.)         16         cw/l6c         Par/Tag14         36.55         2.3329         1.20         -0.0559         1.3677         9.902           Ccoon weight (C.W.)         16         cw/l6c         Par/Tag1         3.655         2.3329         1.20         -0.0409         -0.0559         1.3677           16         cw/l6d         Par/Tag1         3.655         2.3329         1.01         -0.0347         0.3775         1.9802           17         cw/l6d         Par/Tag1         3.649         3.5747         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3775         1.0864           17         cw/l6d         Par/Tag10         30.49         3.5747         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3846         5.318           17         cw/l6d         Par/Tag1         3.049         3.5747         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3846         5.318           17         cw/l6d         Par/Tag1         3.049         3.5747         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3846         5.318           17         cw/l6d         Par/Tag1         1.125         1.125	6         cw/c         Par(Tagl(4)         791         3892         201         0.0559         -0.3835         6588           Coccon weight (C.W.)         8         cw/6         Par(Tagl(1+)         2201         30872         18.3         -0.1561         0.3590         -2334           I         c         w/ic         Par(Tagl-Faur(Tac)         35.55         2.8329         1.20         0.0409         -00559         1.367         -9.801           I         c         w/id         Par(Tag-Faur(Tac)         7.277         2.8339         1.00         -0.0359         -0.3875         1.387           I         c         w/id         Par(Tag-Faur(Tac)         7.777         2.6349         1.01         -0.035         -0.3875         1.387           I         cw/id         Paur(Tag-Faur(Tac)         3.759         1.43         0.0433         -0.3877         1.980           I         cw/id         Paur(Tag-F         49.29         3.759         1.41         0.0433         -0.0365         -3.18           I         vij         cw/id         Paur(Tag-F         49.29         3.759         1.46         -0.026         -0.026         -0.17           I         vij         cw/id		5	cw5b	Ptat/Ttg6-Patg/Taca6	42	2.6020	1.44	0.0447	-0.2625	-5.880
Cocoon weight (C.W.)         a         cw/8         Paac/Tage/Par/Tac/1         2201         36375         18.33         -0.1581         0.3560         2.334           (C.W.)         16         cw/16/         Paac/Tage/Tac/1         35.55         28329         1.20         -0.0409         -0.05759         1.367           16         cw/16/         Paac/Tage/Tac/1         37.57         3.534         3.71         3.72         0.0733         -0.3717         9.802           17         cw/17         Paar/Tac/1         3.5747         3.72         0.0733         -0.3846         5.318           17         cw/17         Paar/Tac/1         3.747         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3852         8.891           17         cw/19         Paac/Tac/1         3.5747         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3866         5.318           19         cw/19         Paac/Tac/1         3.7407         3.72         -0.0723         -0.296         3.116           10         contrast         16         cw/19         Paac/Tac/1         3.733         49.12         0.0733         -0.396         5.318           10         contonshell         16         cw/19         Paac/Tac/1         17.980 <t< th=""><td>Coccon weight (C.W)         B         cw/ls         Paar/Trge/Fact/Tac/ Paar/Tag/ (C.W)         2001         30353         0.01581         0.03590         1.2371         9.990         1.3375         1.0360         1.2375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.337233         1.33723         1.3475</td><td></td><td>6</td><td>cwb</td><td>Ptat/Taag 4- Pat/Taca 0</td><td>79.1</td><td>3.8932</td><td>2.01</td><td>-0.0559</td><td>-0.3835</td><td>6.858</td></t<>	Coccon weight (C.W)         B         cw/ls         Paar/Trge/Fact/Tac/ Paar/Tag/ (C.W)         2001         30353         0.01581         0.03590         1.2371         9.990         1.3375         1.0360         1.2375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.3375         1.337233         1.33723         1.3475		6	cwb	Ptat/Taag 4- Pat/Taca 0	79.1	3.8932	2.01	-0.0559	-0.3835	6.858
(C.W)         16         cw/6c         Paac/Tack-PtarTract         3.55         2.8329         1.20         0.0409         0.0559         1.367           16         cw/16f         Paac/Tack-PtarTract         17.57         2.6349         0.97         0.0385         -0.3771         9.802           16         cw/16f         PeracTack-PtarTract         3.049         3.5747         3.73         -0.0723         -0.3864         5.318           17         cw/16         PeracTack-PtarTract         3.049         3.5747         3.73         -0.0723         -0.3864         5.318           17         cw/15         PaacTagVett         49.29         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3862         -8891           18         cw/19b         PaacTagVett         49.29         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.0262         -0.296         -3.18           Coconshell         16         cw/16b         PaacTagVett         17981         3.4050         6.53         0.0371         0.826         -3.18           Coconshell         16         cw/12b         PaacTagVett         1.7981         3.4050         6.53         0.0143         -0.0262         -0.296           Coconshell <td< th=""><td>(C.W)         16         cw/lc/         Paac/Tack/Teu/Tac/1         3.5.5         2.8329         1.20         0.0409         -0.0559         1.367           16         cw/lc/         Paac/Tack/Teu/Tac2         17.257         2.6349         0.97         0.0385         -0.3775         10.886           17         cw/lc/         Paac/Tack/Teu/Tac2         17.257         3.7347         3.732         -0.0733         -0.3775         10.866           17         cw/lc/         Paac/Tac/1         3.049         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3862         -8.891           19         cw/lc/         Paac/Tac/1         17.951         3.049         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3862         -8.891           Coccon shell         16         cs/w/lc/         Paac/Tac/2         17981         3.4050         6.53         0.043         -0.0262         -0.296           Vireit         22         cs/m/lc/         Paac/Tac/2         17981         3.4126         0.016         -0.0361         1.917           Vireit         22         cs/m/lc/         Paac/Tac/2         156.61         3.783         49.12         0.0169         -0.0262         0.2966           Vireit</td><td>Cocoon weight</td><td>8</td><td>cw8</td><td>Paac/Ttg8-Ptat/Ttg7</td><td>22.01</td><td>3.0872</td><td>18.33</td><td>-0.1581</td><td>0.3690</td><td>-2.334</td></td<>	(C.W)         16         cw/lc/         Paac/Tack/Teu/Tac/1         3.5.5         2.8329         1.20         0.0409         -0.0559         1.367           16         cw/lc/         Paac/Tack/Teu/Tac2         17.257         2.6349         0.97         0.0385         -0.3775         10.886           17         cw/lc/         Paac/Tack/Teu/Tac2         17.257         3.7347         3.732         -0.0733         -0.3775         10.866           17         cw/lc/         Paac/Tac/1         3.049         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3862         -8.891           19         cw/lc/         Paac/Tac/1         17.951         3.049         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3862         -8.891           Coccon shell         16         cs/w/lc/         Paac/Tac/2         17981         3.4050         6.53         0.043         -0.0262         -0.296           Vireit         22         cs/m/lc/         Paac/Tac/2         17981         3.4126         0.016         -0.0361         1.917           Vireit         22         cs/m/lc/         Paac/Tac/2         156.61         3.783         49.12         0.0169         -0.0262         0.2966           Vireit	Cocoon weight	8	cw8	Paac/Ttg8-Ptat/Ttg7	22.01	3.0872	18.33	-0.1581	0.3690	-2.334
Id         cw/6d         PaacTat8-PtarTrac2         172.57         2.6349         0.97         0.0385         -0.3771         9.802           Id         cw/6f         Ptc/Tac3-PtarTrac2         17.257         2.6349         0.97         0.0385         -0.3775         10.886           Id         cw/16f         Ptc/Tac3-PtarTrac3         247.79         4.9963         1.01         -0.0347         -0.3375         10.886           Id         cw/19d         PtarCTac3-PtarTrac3         24.79         4.9563         1.01         -0.0347         -0.3375         10.866           Id         cw/19d         PtarCTac3-PtarTrac3         24.79         4.929         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3852         8.891           Versit         19         cw/19d         PtarCTac3-PtarTrac3         234.79         4.1126         10.04         -0.0164         -0.3852         8.891           Versit         22         cs/102         PtarTac47         179.81         3.456         1.1126         10.04         -0.0164         1.917           Versit         23         ptarTac47         20.01         3.5878         14.64         0.018         1.917           Versit         26.661         37.83	Ic         cw/ic/         Paar/Tack/Paar/Tack2         17.2.57         2.6349         0.97         0.0385         -0.3771         9.802           Ic         cw/ic/         Paur/Tack7-Paur/Tack3         2.47.79         3.735         1.01         -0.0347         -0.3775         10.886           Ic         cw/ic/         Paur/Tack1-Paur/Tack1         3.0.49         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3846         5.318           Paur/Tack1         cw/ic/         Paur/Tack1         3.0.49         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3845         5.318           Paur/Tack1         cw/ic/         Paur/Tack1         179.81         3.4050         6.53         0.0887         -0.0262         -0.296           Cocon shell         16         cw/ic/         Paur/Tack1         179.81         3.4050         6.53         0.0087         -0.0262         -0.296           Cocon shell         16         cs/iv/ic         Paur/Tack1         15.661         3.7283         49.12         0.0164         -0.0361         1.917           V         UCSh.w)         8         cs/iv/ic         Paur/Tack1         20.01         3.566         1.916         Paur/Tack1         1.912         0.0164         0.01361	(C.W.)	16	cw16c	Paac/Ttc4-Ptat/Ttacl	36.55	2.8329	1.20	-0.0409	-0.0559	1.367
Ic         cw/bf         PartTrac3         242.79         4.963         1.01         -0.0347         -0.3755         10.886           17         cw/17         PartTrag10         30.49         35747         3.72         -0.0733         -0.3846         5.318           17         cw/19         PartTrag10         30.49         3.5747         3.72         -0.0733         -0.3846         5.318           19         cw/190         PartTrag16         49.29         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3845         5.318           Cocon shell         16         cw/19b         PartTrag16         49.29         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3845         5.318           Cocon shell         16         cw/19b         PartTrag27         179.81         3.4050         6.53         0.0877         0.0361         1.917           Versitiv         22         cshw/6b         PartTrag77         156.61         3.7283         49.12         0.0164         -0.0164         1.917           Versitiv         22         cshw/2b         PartTrag77         20.01         3.5878         14.64         0.018         -0.0269         3.155           Versitiv         23         cshp/18<	Icon         cw16f         PexUTrac3         242.79         4.9963         1.01         -0.0347         -0.3775         10.866           I/7         cw17         PexUTrac1         30.49         35.747         3.72         -0.0723         -0.3846         5.318           I/7         cw19a         PexUTrac1         30.49         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3852         -8.891           I/9         cw19a         PearCTac2         173         7356         1.43         0.0433         -0.3852         -8.891           I/9         cw19b         PearCTac2         173         34050         6.53         0.0887         -0.0262         -0.296           I/1         cw19b         PearCTac2         173         234.79         41.126         10.04         -0.0314         1.917           I/1         coon shell         16         cw19b         PearCTac2         173         49.12         0.0459         0.0377         0.0377         0.0326         1.917           I/1         cons         con         3.154         1.466         3.783         49.12         0.0184         1.917           I/2         con         csh         PearCTac2         154.61         1		16	cw16d	Paac/Tat8-Ptat/Ttac2	172.57	2.6349	0.97	0.0385	-0.3771	-9.802
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	IT         cwl7         Ptar/Tag10         30.49         3574         3.72         0.0733         0.03846         5318           IP         cwl9         pax/Tag210         37596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3852         -8891           IP         cwl9b         Pax/Tag74-         49.29         3.7596         1.43         0.0433         -0.3852         -8891           Cocon shell         Ib         cwl9b         Pax/Tag7-         17981         3.4550         6.53         0.0887         -0.0262         -0.296           Cocon shell         Ib         cshw16c         Ptax/Tag7-         156.61         3.7283         49.12         0.0459         0.0377         0.820           Vesity         22         cshp16         Pax/Tag7-         156.61         3.7283         49.12         0.0156         -3.059           Vesity         22         cshp16         Pax/Tag7-         156.61         3.7283         49.12         0.0164         -0.0314         1.917           Vesity         23         cshp16         Pax/Tag7-         156.61         2.738         49.12         0.0377         0.820         3.158           Vesity         23         cshp16         Pax/Tag7-         <		16	cw16f	Ptc/Taca7-Ptat/Ttac3	242.79	4.9963	10.1	-0.0347	-0.3775	10.886
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $		17	cw17	Ptat/Ttgl - Ptat/Taag10	30.49	3.5747	3.72	-0.0723	-0.3846	5.318
I9         cw/9b         Paac/Ttc2- Pat/Tagc16         179.81         3.4050         6.53         0.0887         -0.0262         -0.296           Cocoon shell         16         cshw/6c         Pct/Tac7-Ptat/Tac7         234.79         4.1126         10.04         -0.0164         -0.0314         1.917           Vereight         22         cshw/6c         Pct/Tac7-Ptat/Tag1         216.61         3.7283         49.12         0.0459         0.0377         0.820           Vereight         22         cshp8         Paac/Tag1         156.61         3.7283         49.12         0.0164         -0.0314         1.917           Vereight         22         cshp8         Paac/Tag1         156.61         3.7283         49.12         0.0189         -0.0361         3.059           9         cshp8         Paac/Tag2         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0036         -3.059           16         cshp16         Paac/Tag24         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0035         -14.047           19         cshp16         Paac/Tag24         49.29         3.5142         0.644         -0.0134         -3.468           19         cshp16         Paac/Tag34         15.457         2	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		61	cw19a	Paac/Tagc4- Paac/Tat7	49.29	3.7596	I.43	0.0433	-0.3852	-8.89
Cocoon shell         I 6         cshw/6c         Pear/Tac7-Pear/Tac3         234.79         4.1126         10.04         -0.0314         1.917           weight (C.Sh.W.)         22         cshw/2b         Pear/Tac7-         156.61         3.7283         49.12         0.0459         0.0377         0.820           8         cshp8         Paac/Tag1         20.01         3.5878         14.64         0.0118         -0.0361         -3.059           9         cshp9         Paac/Tag2+         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.00361         -3.059           16         cshp16         Paac/Tag2+         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0036         -0.0134         3.115           Cocoon shell         19         cshp16         Paac/Tag2+         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0036         -0.0134         3.115           Paac/Tag2+         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0036         -0.0134         3.168           19         cshp16         Paac/Tag2+         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0255         -14.047           Parc/Tag2+         2314         1.53         0.64         -0.0255         -0.4.047         -14.047 <t< th=""><td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td><td>_</td><td>61</td><td>cw19b</td><td>Paac/Ttc2- Pat/Tagc16</td><td>179.81</td><td>3.4050</td><td>6.53</td><td>0.0887</td><td>-0.0262</td><td>-0.296</td></t<>	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	_	61	cw19b	Paac/Ttc2- Pat/Tagc16	179.81	3.4050	6.53	0.0887	-0.0262	-0.296
weight (C.Sh.W.)         22         cshw22b Ptat/Tag1         Teat/Tag1         I56.6I         3.7283         49.12         0.0459         0.0377         0.820           B         cshp8         Paac/Tag2F         156.6I         3.5878         14.64         0.0118         -0.0361         -3.059           B         cshp8         Paac/Tag2+         0.01         3.5878         14.64         0.0118         -0.0361         -3.059           B         cshp16         Ptat/Tag2+         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0086         -0.0164         3.115           Cocoon shell         19         cshp16         Paac/Tag2+         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0086         -0.0269         3.115           Cocoon shell         19         cshp16         Paac/Tag2+         0.01         2.5384         1.53         0.0039         -0.0134         2.16407           Percentage         19         cshp19         Paac/Tag2+         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0025         0.0335         -14.047           Percentage         19         cshp19         Pat/Tat1-PatA1         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0025         0.0335         -14.047 <t< th=""><td></td><td>Cocoon shell</td><td>16</td><td>cshw16c</td><td>Ptc/Taca7-Ptat/Ttac3</td><td>234.79</td><td>4.1126</td><td>10.04</td><td>-0.0164</td><td>-0.0314</td><td>1.917</td></t<>		Cocoon shell	16	cshw16c	Ptc/Taca7-Ptat/Ttac3	234.79	4.1126	10.04	-0.0164	-0.0314	1.917
8         cshp8         Paac/Tug8-Ptat/Tug7         20.01         3.5878         14.64         0.0118         -0.0361         -3.059           9         cshp9         Ptat/Tagc4-         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0086         -0.0269         3.115           16         cshp16         Paac/Tagc4-         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0086         -0.0269         3.115           Cocoon shell         19         cshp16         Paac/Tagc4-         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0134         2.3468           Percentage         19         cshp23a         Pat/Tagt1-Ptat/Tag2         154.57         2.5384         1.53         0.0039         -0.0134         2.3468           Cocoon shell         19         cshp23a         Pat/Tagt1-Ptat/Tag3         2.01         4.5747         61.68         -0.0255         -0.936           23         cshp23a         Pat/Taga1-Ptat/Tag3         2.01         4.5747         61.68         -0.0372         0.0352         -14.047           23         cshp23b         Ptat/Tag3-         21.41         4.6637         69.18         -0.0347         0.0255         -0.936           23         cshp23d         Pat/Tag3-         21.41<	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	weight (C.Sh.W.)	22	cshw22b	Ptat/Ttac7- Ptat/Taagl	156.61	3.7283	49.12	0.0459	0.0377	0.820
9         cshp9         Ptat/Tagc4- Paac/Tagc5         0.01         2.9946         8.14         -0.0086         -0.0269         3.115           16         cshp16         Paac/Tagc5         154.57         2.5384         1.53         0.0039         -0.0134         -3.468           Cocoon shell percentage         19         cshp16         Paac/Tagc4- Paac/Tat7         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0134         -3.468           Cocon shell percentage         19         cshp23         Paac/Tagc4- Paac/Tat7         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0255         14.047           Cocon shell percentage         19         cshp23         Pat/Taag4- Paac/Tat7         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0255         0.0352         -14.047           CS.N-N         23         cshp23         Pat/Taag3- Pat/Taag3- Pat/Taag3-         2.141         4.6637         69.18         -0.0255         0.0355         -0.936           23         cshp23         Pata/Taag3- Pat/Taag1- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Taag3- Pata/Patag3- Pata/Patag3         Patag3         Pata/Patag3- Patag3	$ \begin{array}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $		8	cshp8	Paac/Ttg8-Ptat/Ttg7	20.01	3.5878	14.64	0.01 18	-0.0361	-3.059
I6         cshp16         Paac/Tat8-Ptat/Tac2         I54.57         2.5384         I.53         0.0039         -0.0134         3.3468           Cocoon shell         19         cshp19a         Paac/Tat6-         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0035         14.047           Percentage         2.3         cshp23a         Pat/Tat7         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0255         0.0352         14.047           Percentage         2.3         cshp23a         Pat/Taat1-Ptat/Taag3         2.01         4.5747         61.68         -0.0272         0.0355         -0.936           2.3         cshp23a         Pat/Taat1-Ptat/Taag3         2.01         4.5747         61.68         -0.0272         0.0355         -0.936           2.3         cshp23a         Pat/Taag1-Ptat/Taag3         2.01         4.5637         69.18         -0.0347         0.0007         -0.036           2.3         cshp23d         Pat/Taag1-         71.99         4.764         -0.0369         0.0107         -0.020           2.3         cshp23d         Pat/Taag1-         71.99         4.764         -0.0309         0.0210         -0.020	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		6	cshp9	Ptat/Tagc4- Paac/Tagc5	0.01	2.9946	8.14	-0.0086	-0.0269	3.115
Cocoon shell         19         Paac/TagC4- Paac/Tag7         49.29         3.5142         0.64         -0.0025         0.0352         -14.047           percentage (C.Sh.P.)         23         cshp13a         Pat/Tag1-Ptat/Tag3         2.01         4.5747         61.68         -0.0255         -0.936         -0.936           23         cshp23b         Ptat/Tag3- Pat/Tag3- Pat/Tag3-         2.01         4.5747         61.68         -0.0272         0.0255         -0.936           23         cshp23b         Ptat/Tag3- Pat/Taca14         21.41         4.6637         69.18         -0.0347         0.0007         -0.020           23         cshp23d         Pat/Tag1- Pag/Taca1         71.99         4.764         -0.0309         0.0210         -0.678	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		16	cshp16	Paac/Tat8-Ptat/Ttac2	154.57	2.5384	I.53	0.0039	-0.0134	-3.468
(C.Sh.P.)     23     cshp23a     Pat/Taat1-Ptat/Taag3     2.01     4.5747     61.68     -0.0272     0.0255     -0.936       23     cshp23b     Ptat/Taag3- Pat/Taag1-     21.41     4.6637     69.18     -0.0347     0.0007     -0.020       23     cshp23d     Pat/Taag1- Pat/Taag1-     71.99     4.7663     47.64     -0.0309     0.0210     -0.678	(C.Sh.P.)         23 $cshp23a$ Pat/Taat1-Ptat/Tag3         2.01 $4.5747$ $61.68$ $-0.0272$ $0.0255$ $-0.936$ 23 $cshp23b$ Ptat/Tag3- $21.41$ $4.6637$ $69.18$ $-0.0347$ $0.0007$ $-0.020$ 23 $cshp23b$ Pat/Taca14 $21.41$ $4.6637$ $69.18$ $-0.0347$ $0.0007$ $-0.020$ 23 $cshp23d$ Pac/Taca14 $71.99$ $4.764$ $-0.0309$ $0.0210$ $-0.678$ e ratio dominance/additive effects. Under dominance or recessive if $h < 0$ , additive if $h=0.0.20$ , partial dominance if $h=0.21-0.80$ , dominance if $h=0.81-1.20$ , and or inance if $h > 1.20$ (Stuber et al. 1987). $-0.0309$ $0.0210$ $-0.678$	Cocoon shell percentage	61	cshp19a	Paac/Tagc4- Paac/Tat7	49.29	3.5142	0.64	-0.0025	0.0352	-14.047
23         Cshp23b         Ptat/Taag3- Par/Tacal4         21.41         4.6637         69.18         -0.0347         0.0007         -0.020           23         cshp23d         Pac/Taag11- Paag/Tacal         71.99         4.7863         47.64         -0.0309         0.0210         -0.678	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(C.Sh.P.)	23	cshp23a	Pat/Taatl-Ptat/Taag3	2.01	4.5747	61.68	-0.0272	0.0255	-0.936
23 cshp23d Pac/Tagel1- 71.99 4.7863 47.64 -0.0309 0.0210 -0.678	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		23	cshp23b	Ptat/Taag3- Pat/Taca14	21.41	4.6637	69.18	-0.0347	0.0007	-0.020
	e ratio dominance/additive effects. Under dominance or recessive if h < 0, additive if h=0-0.20, partial dominance if h=0.21-0.80, dominance if h=0.81-1.20, and o inance if h > 1.20 (Stuber et al. 1987). 0.01		23	cshp23d	Pac/Taag11- Paag/Taca1	71.99	4.7863	47.64	-0.0309	0.0210	-0.678

ranging from -0.0314 (*cshw16c*) to +0.037 (*cshw22b*). Finally, fourteen QTL were identified for cocoon shell percentage located on the LG8, LG9, LG16, LG19, and LG23. The additive effects of these QTL ranged from -0.0347 (*cshp23b*) to +0.0118 (*cshp8*), and their dominance effects ranged from -0.0361 (*cshp8*) to + 0.0352 (*cshp19a*) (Table 4).

The explained variation rate by QTL controlling cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, and cocoon shell percentage ranged from 0.02% to 64.85%, 0.2% to 49.11%, and 0.04% to 84.20%, respectively (Table 4).

#### Discussion

In the present study, an AFLP-based linkage map containing 159 AFLP markers in a total

length of 2747 cM and an average marker resolution of 19.31 cM was developed for B. mori. Using this map, a total of 21 AFLP markers linked to cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, and cocoon shell percentage were identified using a composite interval mapping method (Table 4). Recently, 11 QTL (Lu et al. 2004) and 40 OTL (Li et al. 2006) for whole cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, ratio of shell weight and weight of pupae have been Javadi Taklimi reported. and (2006)accounted 5 QTL controlling ratios of shell weight in a backcrossed population  $(BC_1)$  of B. mori. These differences may be due to sample size, number and type of primer combinations used, and crosses established. Though apart from employing different primer pairs, Lu et al. (2004) and Li et al. (2006) used 44 BC<sub>1</sub> progenies, and Javadi Taklimi (2006)



Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org



Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org



Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org



Journal of Insect Science | www.insectscience.org



applied only seven polymorphic primer combinations. Practically, factors such as the number of molecular markers used, types of crosses, sample size of segregating population, number of genes controlling the traits, and existence of gene interaction may influence the statistical power of QTL mapping (Zhong et al. 2006).

It seems that some QTL had pliotropic effects on the traits. One QTL controlling both cocoon weight and cocoon shell weight traits located on the LG16 between neighboring markers Ptc/Taca7-Ptat/Ttac3 was observed. In addition, three QTL were observed controlling both cocoon weight and cocoon shell percentage traits located on the LG8, LG16, and LG19 between neighboring markers Paac/Ttg8-Ptat/Ttg7, Paac/Tat8-Ptat/Ttac2 and Paac/Tagc4-Paac/Tat7.

A total of 19.35% of clearly readable and qualified AFLP bands were polymorphic between the P107 inbred line and the Khorasan Lemon native strain of *B. mori*. A higher level (61%) of polymorphic AFLP marker has been reported by Tan et al. (2001) in a single backcross (no. 782 and od100) family of *B. mori*. To explain this approach, they discussed several factors:

1. Employing two distinct *B. mori* strains in the present study, P107 and Khorasan Lemon are two examples of distinct silkworm inbred lines and strains. The former is from the Japanese bivoltine system and the latter is from the Iranian native monovoltine system.

- Detecting high levels of polymorphisms by the AFLP technique (Huys et al. 1996; Latorra et al. 1996; Mackill et al. 1996; Wan et al. 1999)
- 3. A large fraction of the silkworm genome consists of families of transposable elements such as *Bm1*, *BMC1* (a member of the *LINE1* family), *mariner*, *mariner*-like elements (*Bmmar1*), long terminal repeat transposons (LTRs), non-long terminal transposons (nonLTRs), and others (Ueda et al. 1986; Herrer and Wang 1991; Xiong and Eickbush 1993; Xiong et al.1993; Robertson and Asplund 1996; Tomita et al. 1997; Shimizu et al. 2000; Wang et al. 2000).

Among the 20 pairs of AFLP primer combinations applied in this study, an average of 9.2 polymorphic AFLP markers per primer combination for linkage analysis and QTL mapping was recognized. This rate was considerably lower than two other AFLP linkage and QTL mapping studies on silkworm with 35.7 (Tan et al. 2001) and 36.4 (Lu et al. 2004) fragments per primer. This may be due to the degree of differences between parental lines and strains and the primer combinations used.

The present AFLP map consisted of 24 linkage groups, whereas the haploid genome of B. mori has 28 chromosomes. As Promboon et al. (1995), Young et al. (1998), and He (1998) reported, this may be due to nonequivalence between the number of linkage groups and the number of chromosomes. In the RFLP based linkage map by Goldsmith (1991), 15 linkage groups were reported. However, by using morphological (Doira et al. 1992), RAPD (Yasukochi et al. 1998), RFLP (Nguu et al. 2005), AFLP (Sima

et al. 2006), and SNP (Yamamoto et al. 2006) markers, 28 linkage groups and using SSR (Miao et al. 2005) markers, 29 linkage groups have been recognized in *B. mori*. It has also been shown that the large number of chromosomes in the haploid *B. mori* genome (n = 28), typical of Lepidoptera, makes it difficult to construct maps without missing some chromosomes (Yasukochi 1998).

The whole cocoon weight, cocoon shell weight, and cocoon shell percentage are the major economic traits in B. mori that are controlled by a polygene (Li et al. 2006). In the present study, a single  $F_2$  population derived from a cross between P107 Japanese inbred line and Khorasan Lemon Iranian native strain was used as the mapping population. Among the 21 QTL for the traits studied, one QTL had dominance effect, 13 QTL had under dominance or recessive effects, and seven QTL had over dominance effects (Table 4). Li et al. (2006) in a backcrossed population (BC1) derived from a cross between C<sub>100</sub> and Dazao detected 40 QTL for whole cocoon weight and related traits, of which 19 were additive effect QTL and 21 were reduced effects QTL.

In summary, 159 AFLP markers were employed to construct a linkage map for B. mori, with an average marker resolution of 19.31 cM. We identified 21 QTL (n = 21)using the composite interval mapping method that affects whole cocoon weight and related traits. The effects of these QTL were under dominance, dominance, and over dominance. Since AFLP amplification is highly reproducible, the development of an AFLP map and subsequently linkage the identification of strain-specific markers for tracking allele frequency changes and quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis for economically important traits provides an invaluable tool for improving *B. mori* breeds, strains, and hybrids in order to enhance the silk production.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by funds from Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of Iran (ABRII) under the supervision of Agricultural Research Education and Organization (AREO) (an organization of the Ministry Jihad-e Agriculture) and Agricultural Biotechnology Research Institute of North Region (Rasht). We thank Mr. Moeineddin Mavvajpour (the director) and Dr. Alireza Seydavi (the adviser) from ISRC (Iran Silkworm Research Centre) for establishing silkworm crosses and phenotypic information and for their invaluable advice. The technical assistance of the staff of ISRC is gratefully acknowledged. We are also grateful to Mohammad Naserani for contribution of data and typing of phenotypic records in Excel, for editing and inputing data in the linkage map and QTL software for further analysis.

#### References

Abe H, Harada T, Kanehara M, Shimada T, Ohbayashi F, Oshiki T. 1998. Genetic mapping of RAPD markers linked to the densonucleosis refractoriness gene, *nsd-1*, in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Genes and Genetic Systems* 73: 237-42.

Abe H, Sugasaki T, Kanehara M, Shimada T, Gomi ST, Ohbayashi F. 2000. Identification and genetic mapping of RAPD markers linked to the densonucleosis refractoriness gene, *nsd-2*, in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Genes and Genetic Systems* 75: 93-96.

Causse MA, Fulton TM, Cho YG, Ahn SN, Chumwongse J, Wu K, Xiao J, Yu Z, Ronald PC, Hanington SE, Second G, McCouch SR, Tanksley SD. 1994. Saturated molecular map of the rice genome based on an interspecific backcross population. *Genetics* 138: 1251-1274.

Chatterjee SN, Pradeep AR. 2003. Molecular markers (RAPD) associated with growth, yield and origin of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. in India. *Genetika* 39: 1612-24.

Chatterjee SN, Mohandas TP. 2003. Identification of ISSR markers associated with productivity traits in silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Genome* 46: 438- 47.

Chen K, Yao Q, Li M, Wang Y. 2003. Screening of RAPD markers for fluoride resistance in *Bombyx mori* L. International Journal *of* Industrial Entomology 7: 11-14.

Churchill GA, Doerge RW. 1994. Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. *Genetics* 138: 963-971.

Dalirsefat SB, Mirhoseini SZ. 2007. Assessing genetic diversity in Iranian native silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) strains and Japanese commercial lines using AFLP markers. *Iranian Journal of Biotechnology* 5(1): 25-33.

Doira H, Fujii H, Kawaguchi Y, Kihara H, Banno Y. 1992. Important Genetic Resources. In: *Genetic Stocks and Mutations of Bombyx mori*. p 73. Isseido Press.

Erickson DL, Fenster BC, StenØien HK, Price D. 2004. Quantitative trait locus analyses and the study of evolutionary process. *Molecular Ecology* 13: 2505-2522.

Gage LP. 1974. The *Bombyx mori* genome: Analysis by DNA reassociation kinetics. *Chromosoma* 45: 27-42.

#### Journal of Insect Science: Vol. 10 | Article 153

Goldsmith MR. 1991. The *Bombyx mori* genome-mapping project. *Sericologia* 31: 145-155.

Goldsmith MR, Shimada T, Abe H. 2005. The genetics and genomics of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Annual Review of Entomology* 50: 71-100.

Harushima Y, Yano M, Shomura A, Sato M, Shimano T, Kuboki Y, Yamamoto T, Lin SY, Antonio BA, Parco A, Kajiya H, Huang N, Yamamoto K, Nagamura Y, Kurata N, Khush GS, Sasaki T. 1998. High-density rice genetic linkage map with 2275 markers using a single F2 population. *Genetics* 148: 479-494.

He NJ. 1998. *Construction of the molecular linkage map of the silkworm (Bombyx mori L.).* Ph.D. Thesis, Southwest Agricultural University, Chongqing, China.

He LJ, Lu C, Li B, Zhou ZY, Xiang ZH. 2001. The construction of linkage map of *Bombyx mori* by combination of SADF and RAPD. *Acta Entomologia Sinica* 44(4): 476-482. (in Chinese)

Herrer RJ, Wang J. 1991. Evidence for a relationship between the *Bombyx mori* middle repetitive Bm1 sequence family and U1 snRNA. *Genetica* 84: 31-37.

Huys G, Coopman R, Janssen P, Kersters K. 1996. High-resolution genotypic analysis of the genus Aeromonas by AFLP fingerprinting. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* 46: 572-580.

Javadi Taklimi SA. 2006. *Identification QTL controlling cocoon shell percentage in silkworm*. M.Sc. dissertation, Faculty of Agricultural Science, University of Guilan.

Kadono-Okuda K, Ogoyi DO, Nohata J, Sasanuma S, Sasanuma M, Eguchi R, Hara W, Yamamoto K, Mita K. 2003. Sequence analysis of BAC contigs covering a densovirus-nonsusceptibility gene, *nsd-2* in *Bombyx mori*. Lepidoptera Abstracts. Presented at the Sixth International *Workshop Molecular Biology and Genetics of Lepidoptera*, Kolymbari, Crete.

Kosambi DD. 1944. The estimation of map distance from recombination values. *Annals of Eugenics* 12: 172-175.

Latorra D, Schanfield MS. 1996. Analysis of human specificity in AFLP systems APOB, PAH, and D1S80. Forensic Science International 83: 15-25.

Li B, Lu C, Zhou ZY. 2000. The Construction of RAPD linkage map of *Bombyx mori*. *Acta Genetica Sinica* 27(2): 127-132. (in Chinese)

Li B, Lu C, Zhao AC, Xiang ZH. 2006. Multiple interval mapping for whole cocoon weight and related economically important traits QTL in silkworm (*Bombyx mori*). *Agricultural Sciences in China* 5(10): 798-804.

Li M, Yao Q, Hou C, Cheng L, Chen K. 2001. Studies on RAPD markers linked to the densonucleosis refractoriness gene, *nsd-Z*, in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Sericologia* 41: 409-415.

Lu C, Li B, Zhao AC, Xiang ZH. 2004. QTL mapping of economically important traits in Silkworm (*Bombyx mori*), *Science in China Series C Life Sciences* 47(5): 477-484.

Mackill DJ, Zhang Z, Redona ED, Colowit PM. 1996. Level of polymorphism and genetic mapping of AFLP markers in rice. *Genome* 39: 969-977.

Manly KF, Cudmore JR, Meer JM. 2001. Map Manager QTX: Cross-platform software for genetic mapping. *Mammalian Genome* 12: 930-932.

Miao XX, Xu SJ, Lia MH, Lia MW, Huang JH, Dai FY, Marino SW, Mills DR, Zeng P, Mita K, Jia SH, Zhang Y, Liu WB, Xiang H, Guo QH, Xu AY, Kong XY, Lin HX, Shi YZ, Lu G, Zhang X, Huang W, Yasukochi Y, Sugasaki T, Shimada T, Nagaraju J, Xiang ZH, Wang SY, Goldsmith MR, Lu C, Zhao GP, Huang YP. 2005. Simple sequence repeat-based consensus linkage map of *Bombyx mori. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.* 102: 16303-16308.

Mirhoseini SZ, Dalirsefat SB, Pour Khairandish M. 2007. Genetic characterization of Iranian native *Bombyx mori* strains by using amplified fragment length polymorphism markers. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 100(3): 939-945.

Nagaraja GM, Nagaraju J. 1995. Genome fingerprinting in silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, using random arbitrary primers. *Electrophoresis* 16: 1633-1638.

Nagaraju J, Goldsmith MR. 2002. Silkworm genomics-progress and prospects. *Current Science* 83: 415-425.

Nguu EK, Kadono-Okada K, Mase K, Kosegawa E, Hara W. 2005. Molecular linkage map for the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, based on restriction fragment length polymorphism of cDNA clones. *Journal of Insect Biotechnology and Sericology* 74: 5-13.

Promboon A, Shimada T, Fujiwara H, Kobayashi M. 1995. Linkage map of RAPDs in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Genetics Research* 66: 1-7. Qi X, Stam P, Lindhout P. 1997. Use of the locus specific AFLP markers to construct a high density molecular map in barley. *Theoretical and Applied* Genetics 96: 376-384.

Robertson HM, Asplund ML. 1996. Bmmar1: A basal lineage of the mariner family of transposable elements in the silkworm moth, *Bombyx mori. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 26: 945-954.

Shi J, Heckel DG, Goldsmith MR. 1995. A genetic linkage map for the domesticated silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, based on restriction fragment length polymorphisms. *Genetics Research* 66: 109-126.

Shimizu K, Kamba M, Sonobe H, Kanda T, Klinakis AG, Savakis C, Tamura T. 2000. Extrachromosomal transposition of the transposable element minos occurs in embryos of the silkworm *Bombyx mori. Insect Molecular Biology* 9: 277-281.

Sima YH, Li B, Chen DX, Sun DB, Zhao AC, Zhang L, Lu C, He SM, Xiang ZH. 2006. Construction and analysis of an AFLP molecular linkage map of the silkworm (*Bombyx mori*). *Chinese Journal of Agricultural Biotechnology* 3(1): 25-31.

Stuber CW, Edwards MD, Wendel JF. 1987. Molecular markers facilitated investigations of quantitative trait loci in maize. II. Factors influencing yield and its component traits. *Crop Science* 27: 639-648.

Suzuki Y, Gage LP, Brown DD. 1972. The genes for fibroin in *Bombyx mori. Journal of Molecular Biology* 70: 637-649.

Tamura T, Thibert C, Royer C, Kanda T, Eappen A, Kamba M, Kômoto N, Thomas JL, Mauchamp B, Chavancy G, Shirk P, Fraser M, Prudhomme JC, Couble P. 2000. Germline transformation of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. using a piggyBac transposon-derived vector. *Nature Biotechnology* 18: 81-84.

Tan YD, Ma RL. 1998. Estimates of lengths of genome and chromosomes of rice using molecular markers. *Journal of Biomathematics* 13:1022-1027. (in Chinese)

Tan YD, Wan CL, Zhu YF, Lu C, Xiang Z, Deng HW. 2001. An amplified fragment length polymorphism map of the silkworm, *Genetics* 157(3): 1277-1284.

Tomita M, Munetsuna H, Sato T, Adachi T, Hino R, Hayashi M, Shimizu K, Nakamura N, Tamura T, Yoshizato K. 2003. Transgenic silkworms produce recombinant human type III procollagen in cocoons. *Nature Biotechnology* 21: 52-56.

Tomita S, Sohn BH, Tamura T. 1997. Cloning and characterization of a mariner-like element in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Genes and Genetic Systems* 72: 219-228.

Ueda H, Mizuno S, Shimura K. 1986. Transposable genetic element found in the 5'-flanking region of the fibroin H-chain gene in a genomic clone from the silkworm *Bombyx mori. Journal of Molecular Biology* 190: 319-327.

Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M, Lee TVD, Hornes M, Frijters A, Pot J, Peleman J, Kuiper M, Zabeau M. 1995. AFLP: A new technique for DNA fingerprinting. *Nucleic Acids Research* 23: 4407-4414.

Wan CL, Zhu YF, Tan YD, Lu C. 1999. Application of AFLP markers to detection of genetic polymorphic loci in the silkworm (*Bombyx mori, L.*). *Biotechnology* 9: 4-9. (in Chinese) Wang S, Basten CJ, Zeng ZB. 2007. *Windows QTL cartographer* Version 2.5. *Statistical Genetics*, North Carolina State University, USA.

Wang W, Swevers L, Iatrou K. 2000. Mariner (Mos1) transposase and genomic integration of foreign gene sequences in *Bombyx mori* cells. *Insect Molecular Biology* 9: 145-155.

Waugh R, Bonar N, Baird E, Thomas B, Graner A, Thos WTB, Powell W. 1997. Homology of AFLP products in three mapping populations of barley. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics* 255: 311-321.

Wu R, Ma CX, Casella G. 2007. *Statistical Genetics of Quantitative Traits-Linkage, Maps, and QTL*. Springer.

Xiong Y, Eickbush TH. 1993. Dong, a nonlong terminal repeat (non-LTR) retrotransposable element from *Bombyx mori*. *Nucleic Acids Research* 21: 1318.

Xiong Y, Burke WD, Eickbush TH. 1993. Pao, a highly divergent retrotransposable element from *Bombyx mori* containing long terminal repeats with tandem copies of the putative R region. *Nucleic Acids Research* 21: 2117-2123.

Yamamoto K, Narukawa J, Kadono-Okuda K, Nohata J, Sasanuma M, Suetsugu Y, Banno Y, Fujii H, Goldsmith MR, Mita K. 2006. Construction of a single nucleotide polymorphism linkage map for the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, based on bacterial artificial chromosome end sequences. *Genetics* 173: 151-161.

Yamao M, Katayama N, Nakazawa H, Yamakawa M, Hayashi Y, Hara S, Kamei K, Mori H. 1999. Gene targeting in the silkworm by use of a baculovirus. *Genes and Development* 13: 511-516. Yao Q, Li MW, Wang Y, Wang WB, Lu J, Dong Y, Chen KP. 2003. Screening of molecular markers for NPV resistance in *Bombyx mori* L. (*Lep., Bombycidae*). Journal of Applied Entomology 127(3): 134-136.

Yasukochi Y. 1998. A dense genetic map of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*, covering all chromosomes based on 1018 molecular markers. *Genetics* 150: 1513-1525.

Young WP, Wheeler PA, Coryell VH, Keim P, Thorgaard GH. 1998. A detailed linkage map of rainbow trout produced using doubled haploids. *Genetics* 148: 839-850.

Zabeau M, Vos P. 1992. Selective restriction fragment amplification: A general method for DNA fingerprinting, European Patent Application no. 92402629.7, publication no. 0 534 858 Al.

Zhong D, Menge DM, Temu EA, Chen H, Yan G. 2006. Amplified fragment length polymorphism mapping of quantitative trait loci for malaria parasite susceptibility in the Yellow Fever mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *Genetics* 173: 1337-1345.

!