



A New Eocene Free-Living Cheyletid Mite from Baltic Amber

Authors: Bochkov, Andre V., and Sidorchuk, Ekaterina A.

Source: *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, 61(4) : 869-874

Published By: Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences

URL: <https://doi.org/10.4202/app.00244.2016>

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.



A new Eocene free-living cheyletid mite from Baltic amber

ANDRE V. BOCHKOV and EKATERINA A. SIDORCHUK

A new species of predaceous mite, *Cheletomimus (Hemichyletia) crinitus* sp. nov. (Acariformes: Cheyletidae), is described from Eocene Baltic amber based on a fossil female. Among species of the genus, it belongs to the *C. (H.) wellsii* species group (16 extant species) and differs from the closely morphologically related species, *C. greenwoodi* by the following features. In *C. crinitus* sp. nov., setae d2 are situated on the hysteronotal shield (vs. off this shield in *C. greenwoodi*), the propodonotal and hysteronotal shields each bear five pairs of median setae (vs. 3 and 1 pairs of median setae, respectively), setae h1 and h2 are subequal in width to other lateral hysteronotal setae (vs. half the width of other hysteronotal setae).

Introduction

The mite family Cheyletidae Leach, 1815 (Acariformes: Cheyletoidea) is cosmopolitan and includes more than 440 species in 75 genera (Zhang et al. 2011). Most of these mites are free-living predators, though representatives of several taxonomic groups are permanent ectoparasites of small mammals and birds (Bochkov 2004, 2009). Fossil finds of cheyletids are extremely scarce and described inadequately: one specimen is known from Baltic amber (Koch and Berendt 1854) and another, from Cretaceous Burmese amber (Cockerell 1917).

Recently developed preparation techniques (Sidorchuk 2011, 2013) allow for much closer observation of amber inclusions, especially if the amber is transparent, as was the case for our piece. It was therefore possible to assess the morphological traits normally used for description of extant mites.

In the present paper, we describe a new species of cheyletid from Baltic amber based on a female belonging to the genus *Cheletomimus* Oudemans, 1904 (Oudemans 1904b) in tribe Cheyletini Leach, 1815. This genus is represented by about 36 extant species of the free-living predators, commonly inhabiting leaves of plants. They are known from all the continents except Antarctica, but mostly from the warmer climatic regions (Fain et al. 2002).

Institutional abbreviations.—CCHH, the private collection of Christel and Hans-Werner Hoffeins, Collection Christel & Hans-Werner Hoffeins, Hamburg, Germany; SMF, Senckenberg Forschungsinstitut und Naturmuseum, Frankfurt, Germany.

Other abbreviations.—1a–c, 2c, 3a–c, 4a–c, coxal setae of coxae 1–4, respectively; a, antelateral leg tarsus seta; acm,

anteroculminal palpal eupathidia; ag1–3, aggenital setae; b.s., bush-like seta; c2, d2, e2, f2, h1–h3, dorsal setae associated with body segments C, D, E, F, H, respectively; d, dorsal seta; elc, supracoxal seta; emp, empodium; F, femur; ft, fastigial leg tarsus seta; G, genu; gl–2, genital setae; l, lateral seta; m, medial subcapitular seta; oc, ocellus; p, proral leg tarsus seta; per, peritreme; ps1–3, pseudanal setae; se, scapular external prodorsal seta; si, scapular internal prodorsal seta; sul, subultimate palpal eupathidium; tc, tectal leg tarsus seta; Ti, tibia; ti.cl, tibial claw; u, unguinal leg tarsus seta; ul, ultimate palpal eupathidium; v, ventral seta; ve, vertical external prodorsal seta; vi, vertical internal prodorsal seta; vs, ventrosagittal leg tarsus seta; ω, tarsal solenidion; φ, tibial solenidion; ' and '' refer to position of seta in pseudosymmetrical pair on appendage: anterior or posterior, respectively.

Material and methods

The piece of Baltic amber (SMF Be 2537, originally CCHH # 448-1), originally ca. 5 × 5 × 10 mm, was affixed with double-side sticky tape to a plastic rod and trimmed with a diamond disk saw using a Proxxon GG 12 tool. Then it was polished on four sides with the help of pit holders and an OpenScience MiniPolly polisher, as described by Sidorchuk (2013), to obtain a rectangular piece ca. 1 × 0.3 × 0.3 mm. The piece is stored in an o-ring 2 ml centrifuge tube in an aqueous solution of Thymol (2 drops of saturated Thymol solution per 1 ml of water).

Individual focal planes were taken using a Nikon Eclipse 800 microscope in brightfield mode with water immersion lenses (40× and 60×). In some cases, a green interference filter was used to reduce chromatic aberration. Clarity-enhanced and noise-reduced stacks of images (focal planes) were taken with an AmScope MU 900, and then processed with Adobe Photoshop Lightroom 5. Layers were combined with Helicon Focus Pro 4 and 5.3 software, always with some manual addition of significant details from the individual focal planes to the combined images. Micropanoramas were created with GIMP software, with correction of the colour levels when necessary.

Drawings were made using a phase contrast Leica microscope with a camera lucida. In the descriptions below, the idiosomal chaetotaxy follows Grandjean (1939) as adapted for Prostigmata by Kethley (1990). The nomenclature for leg setae follows that of Grandjean (1944). All measurements are in micrometers (μm).




Fig. 1. Cheyletid mite *Cheletomimus crinitus* sp. nov., female holotype (SMF Be 2537, ex. CCHH 448-1) from Baltic amber (Baltic Sea coast, most likely Sambian Peninsula, middle to upper Eocene, 37–54.5 Mya), reconstruction (leg positions altered for clarity). Dorsal (B) and ventral (C) views, right palp (A) and left tibia and tarsus I (D) in dorsal views, left tarsus I in ventral view (E). Abbreviations: 1a–c, 2c, 3a–c, 4a–c, coxal setae of coxae 1–4, respectively; c2, d2, e2, f2, h1–h3, dorsal setae, associated with body segments C, D, E, F, H, respectively; ' and '' refer to position of seta in pseudosymmetrical pair on appendage: anterior or posterior, respectively.




Fig. 2. Cheyletid mite *Cheletomimus crinitus* sp. nov., female holotype (SMF Be 2537, ex. CCHH 448-1) from Baltic amber (Baltic Sea coast, most likely Sambian Peninsula, middle to upper Eocene, 37–54.5 Mya), brightfield layered micropanaramas, each combined from ca. 170 focal planes. Dorsal (A) and ventral (B) views. Abbreviations: 1a–c, 2c, 3a–c, 4a–c, coxal setae of coxae 1–4, respectively; ag1, anterior aggenital seta; c2, d2, e2, f2, h1–h3, dorsal setae, associated with body segments C, D, E, F, H, respectively; oc, ocellus; se, scapular external prodorsal seta; si, scapular internal prodorsal seta; ve, vertical external prodorsal seta; vi, vertical internal prodorsal seta.

Systematic palaeontology

Class Arachnida Lamarck, 1801

Order Acariformes Zakhvatkin, 1952

Family Cheyletidae Leach, 1815

Tribe Cheyletini Leach, 1815

Genus *Cheletomimus* Oudemans, 1904

Type species: *Cheletes berlese* Oudemans, 1904; Recent, Italy.

Subgenus *Hemicheyletia* Volgin, 1969

Type species: *Paracheyletia bakeri* Ehara, 1962; Recent, Japan.

Cheletomimus (Hemicheyletia) crinitus sp. nov.

Figs. 1–3.

Etymology: From Latin *crinitus*, fluffy; in reference to numerous bush-like median setae on the dorsal shields of the idiosoma; masculine gender.

Holotype: Female, SMF Be 2537 (originally CCHH # 448-1).




Fig. 3. Cheyletid mite *Cheyletomimus crinitus* sp. nov., female holotype (SMF Be 2537, ex. CCHH 448-1) from Baltic amber (Baltic Sea coast, most likely Sambian Peninsula, middle to upper Eocene, 37–54.5 Mya). **A**. Dorsal view of gnathosoma and anterior opisthosoma (micropanorama assembled from ca 80 images). **B**. Detail of left palp in ventral view (assembled from 18 images). **C**. Posterior of ano-genital area in lateral antiaxial view in lateral antiaxial view (assembled from ca. 40 images). **D**. Left leg I tarsus in lateral antiaxial view (from 22 focal planes). Note extremely poor visibility of seta p'' against the background of the leg. Abbreviations: a, antelateral seta; acm, anteroculminal palpal eupathidia; ag1–3, aggenital setae, b.s., bush-like seta; d, dorsal seta; elc, supracoxal seta; emp, empodium; F, femur; ft, fastigial seta; G, genu; g1–2, genital setae; h3, seta of segment H; l, lateral seta; oc, ocellus; p, proral seta; per, peritreme; ps1–3, pseudanal setae; tc, tectal seta; Ti, tibia; ti.cl, tibial claw; u, unguinal seta; ul, ultimate palpal eupathidium; ve, vertical external prodorsal seta; vi, vertical internal prodorsal seta; vs, ventrosagittal seta; ω, tarsal solenidion; ' and '' refer to position of seta in pseudosymmetrical pair on appendage: anterior or posterior, respectively.

Type locality: Baltic Sea coast, most likely Sambian Peninsula.

Type horizon: Middle to upper Eocene in amber, 37–54.5 Mya (Poinar 1992; Weitschat and Wichard 2010).

Diagnosis.—This new species belongs to the *C. (H.) wellsii* species group (16 species) of the subgenus *Hemichyletia* Volgin, 1969. In females of this group, dorso-median setae of the idiosoma are aberrant, strongly different from lateral setae, and the hysteronotal shield is entire. Among species of this group, female of *C. (H.) crinitus* sp. nov. morphologically similar to those of *C. greenwoodi* Fain, Bochkov, and Corpuz-Raros, 2002 known from England (Fain et al. 2002). In these species, tibia I bears five setae (excluding solenidion), seta l''Ti on the palp tibia is thickened serrate, the hysteronotal shield bears

median bush-like setae and lateral fan-like setae, including h1 and h2, seta ft of tarsus I present. Female of the new species differs from those of *C. greenwoodi* by the following features. In *C. crinitus* sp. nov., setae d2 are situated on the hysteronotal shield (vs. off this shield in *C. greenwoodi*), the propodonal and hysteronotal shields each bear five pairs of median setae (vs. 3 and 1 pairs of median setae, respectively), setae h1 and h2 are subequal in width to the other lateral hysteronotal setae (vs. half the width of other hysteronotal setae).

Description.—Female (holotype, SMF Be 2537). Body 435 long and 225 wide at the level of setae c2.

Gnathosoma: 135 long from the rostral apex to posterior margin of subcapitulum from ventral side and 90 wide at the

level of supracoxal setae (elc). Peritremes arch-like, with five or six pairs of segments. Protegmen densely ornamented with tubercles. Tegmen (rostral shield) strongly punctate. Comb-like eupathidia acm and sul each with numerous teeth (>15). Palpal claw with four teeth. Setae of palp tibia: dTi smooth, thickened; l''Ti thickened serrate, l'Ti filiform. Setae of palp genu: dG fan-like, 18 long and maximum 7 wide; l''G displaced on femur, lanceolate, 20 long. Palpal femur 30 long and maximum 28 wide, covered by tubercles in the anterior half. Setae of palp femur: dF fan-like, 24 long and maximum 16 wide; setae v'F and v''F filiform.

Idiosoma: 315 long. Lateral setae of the idiosoma fan-like, subequal in size, about 25 long and maximum 23 wide; median setae of the idiosoma aberrant, bush-like. Length of propodonal shield 135 along midline and 175 wide at level of the posterior margin; bearing four pairs of lateral setae and five pairs of median setae. Setae c2 fan-like, 45 long. Hysteronotal shield well developed, length 125 along midline, 170 wide at the level of the anterior margin, and 65 wide at level of the posterior margin; bearing five pairs of lateral setae, including d2 and h1, and five pairs of median setae. Setae h1 situated posterior to the level of setal bases h2. Distance between propodonal and hysteronotal shields 10. Setae h3 fan-like, 35 long, situated terminally off the hysteronotal shield. Setae ps1 fan-like, 12 long, other pseudoanal setae filiform.

Legs: Solenidion ω 1 22 long, companion seta ft present, 12 long. Tibia I with five setae and solenidion ϕ (three fan-like setae, d, l', l'' and two smooth filiform setae, v', v''); tibia II with two lanceolate setae, two smooth filiform setae and solenidion ϕ ; tibiae III and IV each with two fan-like setae, one lanceolate seta, and one smooth filiform seta. Genua I–IV with two fan-like setae each (genu I with solenidion σ). Femur I with one fan-like seta and one smooth filiform seta; femora II and III with one fan-like seta and one barbed seta each; femur IV with one fan-like seta. Coxal seta 3b strongly barbed. Legs I 220 long; legs IV 155 long.

Geographic and stratigraphic range.—Type locality and horizon only.

Discussion

According to rough estimates made with a molecular clock (18S rDNA), the family Cheyletidae diverged with the Syringophilidae from a common ancestor approximately 180 Mya at the beginning of the Jurassic (Dabert et al. 2010). Despite this long age estimate, only two records of fossil cheyletids are known: *Cheyletus portentosus* Koch and Berendt, 1854 from Baltic amber (middle to upper Eocene of the Baltic coast, 37–54.5 Mya; Poinar 1992; Weitschat and Wichard 2010) and *C. burmiticus* Cockerell, 1917 from the Cretaceous Burmese amber (Hukawng Valley in North Myanmar, Cenomanian–Albian boundary, 100 Mya; Shi et al. 2012). The specimen of *C. portentosus* is a female, which certainly does not belong to the genus *Cheyletus* Latreille, 1796 because its anterior legs are too short. It could belong to the genus *Cheletomimus* or closely

related genera. Unfortunately the fine morphological details of this specimen are not described and the type was not available for study, so its generic assignment cannot be determined with confidence and comparison at the species level is impossible. We propose, therefore, to consider this species as nomen dubium. From the description of *C. burmiticus*, we can only conclude that this is a heteromorphic male of some free-living cheyletid.

We are the first, for *Cheletomimus crinitus* sp. nov., to study the external morphology of an extinct cheyletid species in detail. Its specialized structures (aberrant dorso-median setae of the idiosoma, granulated rostrum, leg setation, etc.) are comparable to those of extant representatives of the morphologically derived *C. (H.) wellsii* group, and this species has no plesiomorphic character states that would allow us to put it to the base of the *wellsii* group phylogeny. We speculate, therefore, that the genus *Cheletomimus* probably appeared earlier than 50 Mya, and that many modern genera of free-living cheyletids existed in the Eocene.

Acknowledgements.—We gratefully acknowledge Christel and Hans-Werner Hoffeins for the loan of the fossil mite, Mónica M. Solórzano Kraemer (Senckenberg Forschungsinstitut und Naturmuseum, Frankfurt, Germany) for expediting the type reposition and necessary documentation, Maciej Skoracki (Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland) and Jason Dunlop (Naturkundemuseum, Berlin, Germany) for their constructive reviews. EAS was supported for her collection study visit to Hamburg by Arbeitskreis Bernstein (Verein zur Förderung des Geologisch-Paläontologischen Museums der Universität Hamburg e.V., Germany). The English of this MS was checked by Terry D. Galloway (University of Manitoba, Canada). This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR No. 15-29-02533_офи_м) to AVB.

References

- Bochkov, A.V. [Bočkov, A.V.] 2004. Mites of the family Cheyletidae (Acari: Prostigmata): phylogeny, distribution, evolution and analysis of host-parasite relationships [in Russian]. *Parazitologija* 38: 122–138.
- Bochkov, A.V. 2009. A review of mites of the parvorder Eleutherengona (Acariformes: Prostigmata)—permanent parasites of mammals. *Acarina* 1 (Supplement): 1–149.
- Cockerell, T.D.A. 1917. Arthropods in Burmese amber. *Psyche* 24: 40–44.
- Dabert, M., Witalinski, W., Kazmierski, A., Olszanowski, Z., and Dabert, J. 2010. Molecular phylogeny of acariform mites (Acari, Arachnida): strong conflict between phylogenetic signal and long-branch attraction artifacts. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 56: 222–241.
- Fain, A., Bochkov, A.V., and Corpuz-Raros, L.A. 2002. A revision of the *Hemicheyletia* generic group (Acari: Cheyletidae). *Bulletin de l'Institut royal des sciences naturelles de Belgique* 72: 27–66.
- Grandjean, F. 1939. Les segments postlarvaires de l'hysterosoma chez les oribates (Acarions). *Bulletin de la Société zoologique de France* 64: 273–284.
- Grandjean, F. 1944. Observations sur les acariens de la famille des Stigmaeidae. *Archives des sciences physiques et naturelles* 26: 103–131.
- Kethley, J.B. 1990. Acarina: Prostigmata (Actinedida). In: D.L. Dindal (ed.), *Soil Biology Guide*, 667–756. Wiley, New York.
- Koch, C.L. and Berendt, G.C. 1854. Die im Bernstein befindlichen Myriapoden, Arachniden und Apteren der Vorwelt. In: G.C. Berendt (ed.), *Die im Bernstein befindlichen organischen Reste der Vorwelt gesammelt in Verbindung mit mehreren bearbeitet und herausgegeben*,

- Volume 1: 103–111, tabs. XVII, XVIII. Commission der Nicolaischen Buchhandlung, Berlin.
- Lamarck, J.-B.M. de 1801. *Système des animaux sans vertèbres, ou tableau general des classes, des orders et des genres de ces animaux; Présentant leurs caractères essentiels et leur distribution, d'après la considération de leurs rapports naturelles et de leur organisation, et suivant l'arrangement établi dans les galeries du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, parmi leurs dépouilles conservées; Précédé du discours d'ouverture du Cours de Zoologie, donné dans le Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle l'an 8 de la République.* viii + 432 pp. Published by the author and Deterville, Paris.
- Latreille, P.A. 1796. *Précis des caractères génériques des insectes, disposés dans un ordre naturel.* 201 pp. Prévôt, Paris.
- Leach, W.E. 1815. A tabular view of the external characters of animals, which Linne arranged under Insecta etc. *Transactions of the Linnean Society of London* 11: 306–400.
- Oudemans, A.C. 1904a. Acarologische Aanteekeningen. XI. *Entomologische Berichten Nederlands Entomologie* 1: 153–155.
- Oudemans, A.C. 1904b. Acarologische Aanteekeningen. XII. *Entomologische Berichten Nederlands Entomologie* 1: 160–164.
- Poinar, G.O. 1992. *Life in Amber.* 350 pp. Stanford University Press, Redwood City.
- Shi, G., Grimaldi, D.A., Harlow, G.E., Wang, J., Wang, J., Yang, M., Lei, W., Li, Q., and Li, X. 2012. Age constraint on Burmese amber based on U–Pb dating of zircons. *Cretaceous Research* 37: 155–163.
- Sidorchuk, E.A. 2011. Preparation of six-sided micro-samples of Baltic amber for study of organismal inclusions. In: Z.V. Kostyashova (ed.), *Amber Mining and Processing in Zambia, 12–14 May 2010. International Symposium Materials*, 47–53. Immanuel Kant Russian State University Press, Kaliningrad.
- Sidorchuk, E.A. 2013. New technique for preparation of small-sized amber samples with application to mites. In: D. Azar, M.S. Engel, E. Jarzembowski, L. Krogmann, A. Nel, and J. Santiago-Blay (eds.), *Insect Evolution in an Amberiferous and Stone Alphabet. Proceedings of the 6th International Congress on Fossil Insects, Arthropods and Amber*, 189–201. Brill, Leiden.
- Volgin, V.I. 1969. *Kleši semejstva Cheyletidae mirovoj fauny.* 432 pp. Akademiâ Nauk, Leningrad.
- Weitschat, W. and Wichard, W. 2010. Baltic Amber. In: P. Penney (ed.), *Biodiversity of Fossils In Amber From the Major World Deposits*, 80–115. Siri Scientific Press, Manchester.
- Zakhvatkin, A.A. [Zahvatkin, A.A.] 1952. Subdivision of mites and their position in the classification of Chelicerata [in Russian]. *Parazitologičeskij Sbornik Zoologičeskogo Instituta Akademii Nauk SSSR* 14: 5–46.
- Zhang, Z.-Q., Fan, Q.-H., Pesic, V., Smit, H., Bochkov, A.V., Khaustov, A.A., Baker, A., Wohltmann, A., Wen, T., Amrine, J.W., Beron, P., Lin, J., Gabrys, G., and Husband, R. 2011. Order Trombidiformes Reuter, 1909. In: Z.-Q. Zhang (ed.), *Animal biodiversity: an outline of higher-level classification and survey of taxonomic richness.* *Zootaxa* 3148: 129–138.

Andre V. Bochkov [andrevbochkov@gmail.com], Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Universitetskaya Embankment 1, 199034 Saint Petersburg, Russia; Tyumen State University, 10 Semakova Str., 625003 Tyumen, Russia.

Ekaterina A. Sidorchuk [e.a.sidorchuk@gmail.com], Borissiak Paleontological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Profsoyuznaya 123, 117997 Moscow, Russia.

Received 23 January 2016, accepted 29 August 2016, available online 23 September 2016.

Copyright © 2016 A.V. Bochkov and E.A. Sidorchuk. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (for details please see <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.