

Characterization of Microsatellite Primers in the Endangered Orchid Phaius australis and Cross-Amplification to P. bernaysii (Orchidaceae)

Authors: Simmons, Catherine L., Lamont, Robert W., and Shapcott,

Alison

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 5(12)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1700085



PRIMER NOTE

CHARACTERIZATION OF MICROSATELLITE PRIMERS IN THE ENDANGERED ORCHID PHAIUS AUSTRALIS AND CROSS-AMPLIFICATION TO P. BERNAYSII (ORCHIDACEAE)¹

CATHERINE L. SIMMONS^{2,3,4}, ROBERT W. LAMONT², AND ALISON SHAPCOTT²

²Genecology Research Centre, Faculty of Science Health, Education and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast, Maroochydore DC 4558, Queensland, Australia; and ³Queensland Herbarium, Department of Science, Information Technology and Innovation, Toowong 4066, Queensland, Australia

- Premise of the study: The swamp orchid, Phaius australis (Orchidaceae), is nationally endangered due to illegal collection and
 habitat loss and fragmentation, resulting in a disjunct distribution in spring and coastal wetland ecotones along Australia's east
 coast. Polymorphic microsatellite markers were developed to study genetic diversity and population structure for conservation
 and restoration purposes.
- *Methods and Results:* Illumina HiSeq high-throughput sequencing was used to develop 15 nuclear microsatellite markers, including 10 polymorphic markers for *P. australis*. Polymorphism at each marker was evaluated using 90 individuals from four natural populations. The number of alleles per locus ranged from one to three, and the observed and expected heterozygosity varied from 0.036 to 0.944 and from 0.035 to 0.611, respectively. These markers transferred successfully to congener *P. bernaysii*.
- Conclusions: The microsatellite markers will be useful for revealing levels of genetic diversity and gene flow for P. australis and may inform future conservation efforts.

Key words: Australia; conservation; microsatellite primers; orchid; Orchidaceae; Phaius australis; population genetics.

The family Orchidaceae is the most successful flowering plant group, with over 26,500 recognized species worldwide (Dixon et al., 2003). Australia's tallest terrestrial orchid is the swamp orchid, *Phaius australis* F. Muell. (Jones, 2006). It occurs in disjunct populations in marginal swamp wetlands and littoral rainforests distributed across a 2000 km latitude gradient along the east coast of Australia and is thought to be a primarily self-pollinating species (Benwell, 1994; Jones, 2006). The species occurs in habitat that is vulnerable to climate change. Populations have become highly fragmented by urban and regional development and it is a desirable species for collection, resulting in its federal "endangered" listing (Benwell, 1994). Congener *P. bernaysii* Rowland ex Rchb. f. is also listed as "endangered" in Australia, and both species are very closely related to Southeast Asian *P. tancarvilleae* (L'Hér.) Blume (Benwell, 1994; Jones, 2006).

Microsatellite (simple sequence repeat [SSR]) markers are widely used in plant population genetic and genetic diversity studies because of their high levels of polymorphism, stability, and codominance. High-throughput and low-cost next-generation

¹Manuscript received 6 August 2017; revision accepted 18 October 2017. This study received finances from the Jani Haenke Charitable Trust and support from the University of the Sunshine Coast and the Queensland Herbarium.

⁴Author for correspondence: Catherine.Laura.Simmons@gmail.com

doi:10.3732/apps.1700085

sequencing has accelerated the identification of microsatellite markers for plant species (Rico et al., 2013). The development of microsatellite loci to assess genetic diversity, genetic structure, and gene flow among populations will be beneficial for developing conservation strategies for *P. australis* and may be useful for identifying molecular differences between three species (*P. australis*, *P. bernaysii*, *P. tancarvilleae*). In this paper, we report the development of microsatellite markers for *Phaius* Lour. species collected from the east coast of Australia using Illumina HiSeq genome sequencing technology.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Leaf material (10×10 cm) was sampled from four wild populations of P. australis for the development of genetic markers and one extant wild population of *P. bernaysii* to test for congeneric cross-amplification (Appendix 1). The population geographic location was recorded using a handheld GPS, and leaf and flower material were collected from a plant at each population and vouchered at the Queensland Herbarium (BRI). Approximately 30-50 mg of dried plant tissue and a 3-mm tungsten bead were frozen using liquid nitrogen for 30 s and ground using a Retsch MM200 Tissue Lyser grinding mill (QIAGEN, Valencia, California, USA). Total genomic DNA was extracted from the leaf tissue using QIAGEN DNeasy Plant Mini Kits (QIAGEN) following manufacturer's instructions as described in Shapcott et al. (2015). DNA (5 µg) from four individuals was sent to the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF, Brisbane, Australia; http://agrf.org.au/) for next-generation 454 pyrosequencing and used to construct a random library that was paired-end sequenced using GS-FLX Titanium chemistry Illumina HiSeq (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany). Sequences were trimmed for length and quality using the CLC

Applications in Plant Sciences 2017 5(12): 1700085; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2017 Simmons et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0), which permits unrestricted noncommercial use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited and the new work is distributed under the same license as the original.

Table 1. Characteristics of 15 microsatellite loci developed in *Phaius australis*.

| Locus | | Primer sequences (5′–3′) | Repeat motif | Allele size range (bp) | T_a (°C) | Fluorescent label | GenBank accession no. |
|-------------|----------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| ml-Pa02 | F: | TGAAGCCAAAGGATGAACAA | (AT) ₈ | 198–202 | 56 | PET | KR698089 |
| | R: | GGAAGCATTATGATTGATGACG | , ,, | | | | |
| ml-Pa03 | F: | TAGAGGTAATCCAGGCCTCT | $(AT)_8$ | 232-240 | 51.8 | FAM | KR698090 |
| | R: | ATAATATGTGAATTAGATAGCTTGGGC | | | | | |
| ml-Pa12 | F: | TTGGTTGCTCCTTCTTGACC | $(AT)_8$ | 315–317 | 59.7 | FAM | KR698093 |
| | R: | TGAGGCCTCCTTAATCTCTAGC | | | | | |
| ml-Pa14 | F: | CAAACTAAAGGAAGGTGAGCCA | $(AT)_{10}$ | 276-290 | 59.7 | NED | KR698094 |
| | R: | TCCAAGCCTTAGAAAGGGC | | | | | |
| ml-Pa19 | F: | GGAGCTATGCAACTGACTATCACA | $(AT)_5$ | 298-300 | 56 | FAM | KR698095 |
| | R: | TCATTAGTTGTGTGCTCTTGC | | | | | |
| ml-Pa21 | F: | | $(TA)_3(TC)_4$ | 158 | 59.7 | VIC | KR698096 |
| | R: | GTTAAGGCCTCCTATTCCCG | | | | | |
| ml-Pa24 | F: | ACGTGGCGGAGGAGAGT | $(TTC)_{11}$ | 235–241 | 56 | FAM | KR698097 |
| | R: | TCCTTAATAGATCTGAAGCACAAA | | | | | |
| ml-Pa27 | F: | | $(AT)_7$ | 209–215 | 56 | NED | KR698098 |
| 1 D 21 | R: | GGCATTGGTGAGTAGGCAGA | (1.6) | 221 220 | 50.5 | DDE | TTD (00000 |
| ml-Pa31 | F: | 11101100111111100110000101 | $(AG)_5$ | 221–229 | 59.7 | PET | KR698098 |
| 1.0.41 | R: | | (4.75) | 102 | | DDE | WD (00102 |
| ml-Pa41 | F: | | $(AT)_6$ | 192 | 56 | PET | KR698103 |
| 1 D 44 | R: | CCCAAACTCCTCTTCCAATC | (ATE) | 100 102 | 57 | NED | WD (00104 |
| ml-Pa44 | F: | | $(AT)_5$ | 188–192 | 56 | NED | KR698104 |
| ml-Pa46 | R: F: | | (CT) ₅ | 231 | 56 | VIC | KR698106 |
| IIII-F a40 | r: R: | | (C1) ₅ | 231 | 30 | VIC | KK098100 |
| ml-Pa49 | | GATGCAGGAATGGGAAACAG | (CT) ₅ | 195–199 | 56 | VIC | KR698107 |
| 1111-1 449 | | ATATTGGAACCACTCGACGG | (C1) ₅ | 193-199 | 30 | VIC | KK050107 |
| ml-Pa57 | F: | | (ACT) ₅ | 157–159 | 56 | PET | KR698110 |
| 1111 1 as / | P. | TCTCCACTACCATAACCACCAC | (1101)5 | 13 (-13) | 50 | 1 1 1 | 1110/0110 |
| ml-Pa59 | E. | ACCCAATTAGAAGCAAACTTGAAGA | $(AT)_5$ | 228-232 | 56 | NED | KR698111 |
| III 1 437 | | TGGTTAGAGAACATCTCATTGGG | (211)5 | 220 232 | 50 | LLD | 111(3)(1111 |

Note: T_a = annealing temperature.

Genomics Workbench version 6 software (QIAGEN, Aarhus, Denmark). A total of 53,176 reads were obtained with an average length of 381 bp and searched for microsatellite loci having a minimum of six repeats for dinucleotides and four repeats for tri- and tetranucleotides, using the QDDv2b pipeline (Meglécz et al., 2010) (data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: doi:10.5061/dryad; j380c; Simmons et al., 2017). Default settings on Primer3 software (Rozen and Skaletsky, 1999) were used to develop the primers flanking the microsatellite loci. A resulting 2102 loci were sorted based on PCR product size, repeat class,

repeat length, GC content, and multiplexing potential; 61 unlabeled microsatellite primer pairs were selected for evaluation of amplification and optimization using 15 *P. australis* DNA samples in the initial trials.

Fifteen primer pairs that consistently amplified single bands within the expected size range were end-labeled directly with one of four fluorescent dyes (VIC, NED, PET [Applied Biosystems, Scoresby, Victoria, Australia]; FAM [GeneWorks, Thebarton, South Australia, Australia]) and multiplexed in fragment analysis. PCR amplification was performed using reaction volumes of

Table 2. Genetic properties of the 15 newly developed microsatellites of Phaius australis and cross-amplification to P. bernaysii.^a

| | Phaius australis | | | | | | | | | | | | | Phaius bernaysii | |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|------------------|
| | Atherton Tableland $(n = 29)$ | | | Atherton Tableland 2 $(n = 28)$ | | Byfield $(n = 3)$ | | Blackdown Tableland $(n = 30)$ | | | Myora Conservation Park $(n = 9)$ | | | | |
| Locus | A | $H_{\rm o}$ | H_{e} | A | $H_{\rm o}$ | H_{e} | A | $H_{\rm o}$ | H_{e} | A | $H_{\rm o}$ | H_{e} | A | $H_{\rm o}$ | H_{e} |
| ml-Pa02 | 2 | 0.185 | 0.456 | 2 | 0.115 | 0.440 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.198 |
| ml-Pa03 | 3 | 0.828 | 0.599 | 3 | 0.714 | 0.471 | 3 | 1.000 | 0.611 | 2 | 0.889 | 0.499 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.500 |
| ml-Pa12 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa14 | 2 | 0.440 | 0.497 | 2 | 0.154 | 0.311 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.444 | 2 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa19 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.500 | 2 | 0.933 | 0.498 | 2 | 0.667 | 0.444 | 2 | 0.944 | 0.498 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.500 |
| ml-Pa21 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa24 | 2 | 0.231 | 0.473 | 2 | 0.286 | 0.477 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.444 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa27 | 2 | 0.038 | 0.375 | 2 | 0.222 | 0.346 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.036 | 0.101 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.375 |
| ml-Pa31 | 2 | 0.107 | 0.484 | 2 | 0.231 | 0.453 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa41 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa44 | 2 | 0.207 | 0.285 | 3 | 0.286 | 0.304 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.278 | 3 | 0.308 | 0.370 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.401 |
| ml-Pa46 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa49 | 2 | 0.000 | 0.067 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.278 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa57 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ml-Pa59 | 2 | 0.107 | 0.101 | 2 | 0.250 | 0.219 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.278 | 2 | 0.154 | 0.142 | 2 | 0.333 | 0.278 |

Note: A = number of alleles sampled; $H_e =$ expected heterozygosity; $H_o =$ observed heterozygosity; n = number of individuals sampled.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 2 of 3

^aLocality and voucher information are available in Appendix 1.

12 μL containing approximately 25 ng of *P. australis* genomic DNA, 1× reaction buffer (67 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.8], 16.6 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.45% Triton X-100, 0.2 mg/mL gelatin), 200 μM of each dNTP, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 μM bovine serum albumin (BSA), 0.5 units F1 *Taq* polymerase (all reagents Fisher Biotech, Brisbane, Australia), and 0.2 μM forward primer, 0.2 μM reverse primer, with the forward primer of each pair. Amplification was performed on an Eppendorf Mastercycler Nexus Gradient with the following cycling conditions: denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, specific annealing temperature (Table 1) for 30 s, 72°C for 45 s; and a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min.

PCR products were multiplexed according to dye sets and size ranges to avoid overlap and then separated on an AB 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Fragment sizes were determined relative to internal lane standard (GeneScan 600 LIZ; Applied Biosystems), and then banding patterns were manually checked in GENEMAPPER version 4.1 software (Applied Biosystems) that scored bands fitted within the expected size range. A resultant 15 primers (Table 1) were scored for *P. australis*. The presence of null alleles, scoring errors, and large allele dropouts were checked for all loci using MICRO-CHECKER version 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al., 2004). Linkage disequilibrium was tested using Fisher's exact tests in GENEPOP version 1.2 (Laboratoire de Genetique et Environnement, Université Montpellier II, Montpellier, France), and a sequential Bonferroni correction was applied for multiple tests. The multilocus genotypes were used to characterize the microsatellites by calculating allelic frequencies, mean number of alleles per locus, mean expected heterozygosity (H_e) , and mean observed heterozygosity (H_o) for each locus using GenAlEx 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012; Table 2).

Ten of the 15 loci successfully developed for *P. australis* were polymorphic (Table 2) with an average of 1.57 alleles per locus across all populations (SE \pm 0.071; Table 2). Mean $H_{\rm o}$ was 0.210 (SE \pm 0.081), 0.213 (SE \pm 0.071), 0.178 (SE \pm 0.079), and 0.110 (SE \pm 0.083) at the Atherton Tableland, Atherton Tableland 2, Byfield, and Blackdown Tableland populations, respectively (Table 2). Mean $H_{\rm e}$ was 0.256 (SE \pm 0.061), 0.235 (SE \pm 0.055), 0.185 (SE \pm 0.057), and 0.110 (SE \pm 0.048) at the Atherton Tableland, Atherton Tableland 2, Byfield, and Blackdown Tableland populations, respectively (Table 2). All SSR sequences have been deposited in GenBank (Table 1). All 15 loci were cross-compatible to the congener *P. bernaysii* using the PCR conditions described above (Table 2). Six loci were polymorphic, fewer than in *P. australis*, potentially due to the smaller sample size from the limited population of this species. There was an average of 1.573 alleles per locus (SE \pm 0.071), mean $H_{\rm o}$ of 0.187 (SE \pm 0.035), and mean $H_{\rm e}$ of 0.187 (SE \pm 0.025) across all loci for *P. bernaysii* (Table 2).

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we developed 15 microsatellite markers in *P. australis*, 10 of which were polymorphic. The optimization of 10 polymorphic microsatellite markers for *P. australis* will be useful for revealing levels of genetic diversity, range expansion, and the evolutionary relationships that may inform future assisted migrations or population enhancements (Gustafsson, 2000; Vallee et al., 2004; Kingsford and Watson, 2011). The successful cross-amplification to *P. bernaysii* indicates a similarity between the congeners, which is not surprising given the species was suggested to be a color morph of *P. australis* (Nicholls, 1950; Jones, 2006). These microsatellite markers may be useful in

clarifying the genetic relatedness between Australian *P. australis*, *P. bernaysii*, and Southeast Asian *P. tancarvilleae* (Harrison et al., 2005).

LITERATURE CITED

- BENWELL, A. S. 1994. Recovery plan, Swamp Orchids *Phaius australis*, *Phaius tancarvilliae*. NSW National Parks and Wildlife Service, Sydney, Australia.
- DIXON, K. W., S. P. KELL, R. L. BARRETT, AND P. J. CRIBB [eds.]. 2003. Orchid conservation. Natural History Publications, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia.
- GUSTAFSSON, S. 2000. Patterns of genetic variation in *Gymnadenia conopsea*, the fragrant orchid. *Molecular Ecology* 9: 1863–1872.
- HARRISON, D. K., H. KWAN, M. E. JOHNSTON, AND W. K. HARRIS. 2005. Molecular taxonomy of the Australian Swamp Orchids (*Phaius* spp.). *Acta Horticulturae* (694): 121–124.
- JONES, D. L. 2006. A complete guide to native orchids of Australia including the island territories. Reed Natural History, Sydney, Australia.
- KINGSFORD, R. T., AND J. E. M. WATSON. 2011. Climate change in Oceania: A synthesis of biodiversity impacts and adaptations. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 17: 270–284.
- MEGLÉCZ, E., C. COSTEDOAT, V. DUBUT, A. GILLES, T. MALAUSA, N. PECH, AND J. F. MARTIN. 2010. QDD: A user-friendly program to select microsatellite markers and design primers from large sequencing projects. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 26: 403–404.
- Nicholls, W. H. 1950. The genus *Phaius* in Australia. *Victorian Naturalist* 67: 10–15.
- Peakall, R., and P. E. Smouse. 2012. GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—an update. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 28: 2537–2539.
- RICO, C., E. NORMANDEAU, A. M. DION-CÔTÉ, M. I. RICO, G. CÔTÉ, AND L. BERNATCHEZ. 2013. Combining next-generation sequencing and online databases for microsatellite development in non-model organisms. Scientific Reports 3: 3376.
- ROZEN, S., AND H. SKALETSKY. 1999. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *In S. Misener and S. A. Krawetz [eds.]*, Methods in molecular biology, vol. 132: Bioinformatics: Methods and protocols, 365–386. Humana Press, Totowa, New Jersey, USA.
- SHAPCOTT, A., R. W. LAMONT, K. M. O'CONNOR, H. JAMES, AND G. C. CONROY. 2015. Population genetics of *Philotheca sporadica* (Rutaceae) to advise an offset translocation program. *Conservation Genetics* 16: 687–702.
- SIMMONS, C. L., R. W. LAMONT, AND A. SHAPCOTT. 2017. Data from: Characterization of microsatellite primers in the endangered orchid *Phaius australis* (Orchidaceae). Dryad Digital Repository. doi: 10.5061/dryad.j380c.
- VAN OOSTERHOUT, C., W. F. HUTCHINSON, D. P. M. WILLS, AND P. SHIPLEY. 2004.
 MICRO-CHECKER: Software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 4: 535–538.
- VALLEE, L., T. HOGBIN, L. MONKS, B. MAKINSON, M. MATTHES, AND M. ROSSETTO. 2004. Guidelines for the translocation of threatened plants in Australia. Australian Network for Plant Conservation, Canberra, Australia.

APPENDIX 1. Voucher information for *Phaius* species used in this study.

| Species | Voucher specimen accession no. ^a | Collection locality | Geographic coordinates | n |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----|
| P. australis F. Muell. | BRI AQ0890327 | Atherton Tableland | -17.108, 145.426 | 29 |
| P. australis | BRI AQ0890325 | Atherton Tableland 2 | -17.125, 145.367 | 28 |
| P. australis | BRI AQ0890339 | Byfield | -22.800, 150.800 | 3 |
| P. australis | BRI AQ0890329 | Blackdown Tableland | -23.904, 149.193 | 30 |
| P. bernaysii Rowland ex Rchb. f. | BRI AQ0890313 | Myora Conservation Park | -27.475, 153.419 | 9 |

Note: n = number of individuals sampled.

http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps 3 of 3

^aOne voucher per population deposited at the Queensland Herbarium (BRI), Brisbane, Australia.