## Sixteen Polymorphic Microsatellite Markers for a Federally Threatened Species, Hexastylis naniflora (Aristolochiaceae), and Co-Occurring Congeners

Authors: Hamstead, Jacqueline W., Snider, Brandon L., Oaks, Robyn, Fitzgerald, Evan, Woodward, Jason, et al.

Source: Applications in Plant Sciences, 3(7)

Published By: Botanical Society of America

URL: https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1500033

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, Downloaded From: https://sagint.bioone.org/burnals/Applications-in-Plant-Sciences on 13 Jan 2025 Terms of Usu Spet/Abgint State Ugresses.



PRIMER NOTE

### SIXTEEN POLYMORPHIC MICROSATELLITE MARKERS FOR A FEDERALLY THREATENED SPECIES, *HEXASTYLIS NANIFLORA* (ARISTOLOCHIACEAE), AND CO-OCCURRING CONGENERS<sup>1</sup>

# JACQUELINE W. HAMSTEAD<sup>2</sup>, BRANDON L. SNIDER<sup>2</sup>, ROBYN OAKS<sup>2</sup>, EVAN FITZGERALD<sup>2</sup>, JASON WOODWARD<sup>2</sup>, ALYSSA TEAT<sup>2</sup>, NIKOLAI M. HAY<sup>2</sup>, MATT C. ESTEP<sup>2,3</sup>, AND ZACK E. MURRELL<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Appalachian State University, 572 Rivers Street, Boone, North Carolina 28608 USA

- *Premise of the study:* Twenty microsatellite loci were developed for the federally threatened species *Hexastylis naniflora* (Aristolochiaceae) to examine genetic diversity and to distinguish this species from co-occurring congeners, *H. heterophylla* and *H. minor*.
- Methods and Results: Next-generation sequencing approaches were used to identify microsatellite loci and design primers. One hundred fifty-two primer pairs were screened for repeatability, and 20 of these were further characterized for polymorphism. In *H. naniflora*, the number of alleles identified for polymorphic loci ranged from two to 23 (mean ~8.8), with a mean hetero-zygosity of 0.39.
- Conclusions: These 16 polymorphic primers for *H. naniflora* will be useful tools in species identification and quantifying genetic diversity within the genus.

Key words: Aristolochiaceae; Asarum; Hexastylis; Hexastylis naniflora; hybrid; microsatellite markers.

The segregate genus Hexastylis Raf. (Aristolochiaceae), often included in Asarum L., is an enigmatic group of 12 species distributed in the southeastern United States (Blomguist, 1957; Niedenberger, 2010). Hexastylis has been segregated based upon its entirely North American distribution, karyotype (Sugawara, 1981; Soltis, 1984), pollen morphology (Niedenberger, 2010), and several characteristics of flower morphology (Gaddy, 1987). Multiple species complexes have been identified in this genus and this study focuses on the H. heterophylla complex, containing H. heterophylla (Ashe) Small, H. minor (Ashe) H. L. Blomq., and H. naniflora H. L. Blomq. The species in this complex are sympatric over portions of their ranges. Vegetative characters have limited taxonomic value, leaving ephemeral floral morphology as the only diagnosable field character for identification. Previous studies have recognized intermediate floral morphologies in some populations, leading some to question the validity of species circumscriptions. This is particularly problematic in H. naniflora, where land managers and conservation biologists are tasked with protection of this federally threatened species.

Through funding from the North Carolina Department of Transportation, 16 polymorphic microsatellite markers were developed to help distinguish *H. naniflora* from *H. minor* and *H. heterophylla*, to address questions of hybridization, and to

<sup>1</sup>Manuscript received 25 March 2015; revision accepted 27 April 2015.

We acknowledge Margaret Roberts, Taylor Jenson, and George Godsmark for efforts that were vital to the collection of plant material. We also thank the Department of Biology at Appalachian State University, the North Carolina Department of Transportation, and the North Carolina Natural Heritage Program for funding and support.

<sup>3</sup>Author for correspondence: estepmc@appstate.edu

doi:10.3732/apps.1500033

identify evolutionarily significant units to aid in the management of these species. These markers have the potential to identify species and hybrids in their vegetative state, allowing land managers to evaluate population value and management strategies throughout the year, instead of only during the short flowering period.

#### METHODS AND RESULTS

Leaf tissue was collected and preserved on silica gel from plants at 15 sites in North and South Carolina (Appendix 1). Tissue samples from one plant of H. naniflora and one plant of H. heterophylla (selected from geographic ranges where the species do not overlap and confidently identified using flower material) were sent to the Cornell University Evolutionary Genetics Core Facility where total DNA was extracted using a QIAGEN Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, California, USA). Restriction enzymes AluI, Hpy166II, and RsaI (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA) were used to digest the DNA, which was then ligated to an Illumina Y-adapter (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA) using T4 DNA ligase. The DNA fragments were then hybridized to 3' biotinylated oligonucleotide repeat probes: (GT)<sub>8</sub>, (TC)<sub>9.5</sub>, (TTTTG)<sub>4.2</sub>, (TTTTC)<sub>4,6</sub>, (TTC)<sub>7</sub>, (GTA)<sub>8,33</sub>, (GTG)<sub>4,67</sub>, (TCC)<sub>5</sub>, (GTT)<sub>6,33</sub>, (TTTC)<sub>6</sub>, (GATA)<sub>7</sub>, (TTAC)<sub>6.75</sub>, (GATG)<sub>4.25</sub>, (TTTG)<sub>5.25</sub>, (TTTTG)<sub>4.2</sub>, (TTTTC)<sub>4.6</sub>. Enriched fragments were then captured by streptavidin-coated magnetic beads (New England Biolabs) and PCR amplified. Agarose gel and a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Grand Island, New York, USA) were used to analyze the PCR product, and fragments 300-600 bp were recovered with AMPure beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, USA). Samples were then moved to Cornell Life Sciences Sequencing and Genotyping Facility for sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq. Raw sequence reads were then assembled using SeqMan NGen (v.11, Lasergene Genomics Suite; DNASTAR, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). Contigs containing microsatellite repeats were identified using MSATCOMMANDER version 1.0.3 (Faircloth, 2008), and possible primer pairs were identified.

One hundred fifty-two primer pairs were selected to screen for amplification in eight individuals: six *H. naniflora*, one *H. heterophylla*, and one *H. minor*. PCR amplifications were prepared in a 10- $\mu$ L reaction consisting of GoTaq Flexi Buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 800  $\mu$ M dNTPs, 0.5  $\mu$ M of each primer, 0.5 units

Applications in Plant Sciences 2015 3(7): 1500033; http://www.bioone.org/loi/apps © 2015 Hamstead et al. Published by the Botanical Society of America. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC-SA). of GoTaq Flexi DNA Polymerase, and ~20 ng of DNA (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). PCR was completed using a touchdown thermal cycling program on a Techne TC-5000 Thermal Cycler (Bibby Scientific Limited, Stone, Staffordshire, United Kingdom) encompassing a 13°C span of annealing temperatures from 68°C to 55°C. Initial denaturation was at 94°C for 5 min, 13 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, touchdown for 2 min, and 72°C for 1 min; followed by 24 cycles at 94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min; followed by a final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were examined on a 1% agarose gel and scored for presence or absence of an appropriately sized PCR product. Twenty primer pairs produced repeatable results across all three species (Table 1). These were further screened for polymorphism on a total of 68 individuals, including 44 *H. naniflora*, 10 *H. minor*, and 14 *H. heterophylla* (Appendix 1).

Polymorphism screening PCR reaction conditions were the same as above, except the forward primer concentration was reduced to 0.25  $\mu$ M, and 0.25  $\mu$ M of an M13 primer (5'-CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC-3'), labeled with FAM, VIC, NED, or PET (Life Technologies), was added to the reaction. PCR products labeled with different fluorescent dyes were then pseudo-multiplexed, and 2  $\mu$ L of the combined reactions were submitted for genotyping on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer using a GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Life Technologies). Resulting chromatograms were visualized and scored using the software package Geneious Version 7 (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand). The resulting genotypic data were then analyzed with GenAIEx version 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006, 2012) to obtain standard descriptive statistics and to test for deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium assumptions (Table 2).

Sixteen of the primer pairs tested were polymorphic, with the number of alleles ranging from two to 23 (mean ~8.8) in *H. naniflora*, two to nine (mean ~4.9) in *H. minor*, and one to 14 (mean ~6.1) in *H. heterophylla* (Table 2). Excessive homozygosity was identified at several of the loci in all three species, and locus Hn00567 was monomorphic in *H. heterophylla*. A total of 52 private alleles were identified in one of the three species, mostly at low frequencies (<0.05). Three of these private alleles in *H. naniflora* (Hn7116 [422 bp], Hn01135 [300 bp], and Hn00304 [179 bp]), one in *H. minor* (Hn00252 [224 bp]), were identified with a frequency greater than 10%, and these can be diagnostic in species identification when morphological characters are unavailable.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Sixteen polymorphic microsatellite markers were developed for *H. naniflora*, and these primers also amplify in two other species of *Hexastylis* (*H. heterophylla* and *H. minor*). These markers provide a means to assess genetic diversity and to assist in circumscription of the three species in the *H. heterophylla* complex. This provides the first opportunity to examine species boundaries and hybrids in the complex with molecular tools; application of these tools should lead to a

TABLE 1.	Characteristics	of 20	microsatellite	primer pai	rs develo	ped for <i>Hexastylis</i> .
IADLL I.	Characteristics	01 20	merosutenne	primer par		ped for menusiyus.

Locus		Primer sequences (5'-3') <sup>a</sup>	Fluorescent dye	Repeat motif	$T_{\rm a}(^{\circ}{\rm C})$	Allele size range (bp)	GenBank accession no.
Hn00002	F:	AAGTCTTTCCACCAATAACACCG	FAM	(AAC) <sub>5</sub>	60.51	297-306	KM242087
	R:	ATGCCTTGAGTCAACATGCTTTG					
Hn00011	F:	CCAGTCTTAGTTACAAGATGCCG	PET	$(AAC)_8$	59.73	229-269	KM242088
	R:	TCGATACTGTGATCAAAGCCAAG					
Hn00014	F:	GAGATTTGATCAGCGGTTTGAAC	NED	$(ACC)_7$	59.37	265-274	KM242089
	R:	GGGCAGTCAGAGTCATTTATCTC					
Hn00147	F:	GGTAAAGCTAACATCCGACTGTG	VIC	$(AGAT)_5$	59.69	217-241	KJ619759
	R:	AAGGGTAGCTATAAGTTGGTTGC					
Hn00167	F:	AGATGAGATTGTACATGTGAAACG	FAM	$(AAG)_5$	58.91	160 (M)	KM242090
	R:	GTATTCTAACAACTACTGCTCCCG					
Hn00193	F:	ATGTGAGATCAGTAGGAGACGAG	PET	$(AAG)_{14}$	59.82	337–369	KM242091
	R:	TTTGGGTGGATAATGGCTTTCTG					
Hn00197	F:	CGGTCACACAGGACCATAGTAC	VIC	$(ACT)_{12}$	60.74	242-272	KM024991
	R:	CTCGGCGTCTAGACAGGTTATAG					
Hn00236	F:	AGGAGGTTTGGGAGCATTATTTG	FAM	$(ACC)_5$	59.82	219 (M)	KM242094
	R:	GCCTGTCAAACATCCTATGACTC		(1.1.5)	50 50		111 12 12 12 12
Hn00252	F:	AGGCATACAGAGGGCACATATAG	NED	$(AAC)_7$	59.58	221-241	KM242095
11 00204	R:	AAGAATGTTGAGAAGCTGCTTTG	NIC	(1.1.0)	50.07	170, 205	121 102 1000
Hn00304	F.:	CCACTCCACTCCTTAATATAGAGC	VIC	$(AAG)_{10}$	58.97	179-205	KM024990
11.002((	R:	AA'I'G'I'GGAGGAA'I'C'I'GAGAACAC	NIC		50.20	162.00	VN040000
Hn00366	E.	TGAATATACCAGTGCACAAACCC	VIC	$(AC)_6$	59.39	162 (M)	KM242098
11-005(7	к:	CGATTCCTCTTCCGATCATAGTC	EAM		50 (4	212 220	<b>VN040100</b>
Hn00567	E:		FAM	$(ACC)_5$	59.64	213-239	KW1242100
11-00955	к:	GCGTGAAATAATATGGCCAATGG	NED		(1.52	276 246	VI(107(0
Hn00855	E:		NED	$(AGAI)_8$	01.52	270-340	KJ019700
11-00055	K:		VIC	( <b>A AT</b> )	50 77	266 420	VI610751
HII00933	r: D.		VIC	$(AAI)_{13}$	39.77	300-429	KJ019/31
Hp01006	R: F.		FAM	$(\Lambda \Lambda G)$	58 76	252 (M)	KM242103
11101090	г. р.		174101	$(AAO)_{21}$	58.70	232 (IVI)	KW1242103
Hp01135	г. г.	TTCACCTCCAAACTATCTCAAC	PET	(ACC)	50.3	278_312	KM024002
11101155	P.	TTCAGGEIGCAACIAICIGAAC	111	(ACC)]]	57.5	278-312	KW024772
Hn1825	F.	TGATGATGAAATGCTCCACTCAC	FAM	$(AAC)_{22}$	60.42	236-284	KM024993
11111023	R.	AGACAAGACTGGATGGAGGTTTG	17101	(1110)22	00.12	230 201	1111021995
Hn4600	F:	GAGAGAACCGGTGAATCAAGTTG	FAM	(AAAG) <sub>5</sub>	60.36	304-370	KM024994
1111.0000	R:	AAAGTAGCAATCAGAATTCGGGC		(11110)3	00120	201 270	
Hn7116	F:	CTGATACCATGTGACAATGGAGG	NED	(AAGGAG).	59.7	422-451	KM024995
	R:	GTCATGATATTGGGCCTTCGTAG		(			
Hn12441	F:	TCCATCGTACAAGGTCGTCTATG	PET	(AGGG) <sub>5</sub>	60.14	164-183	KM024989
	R:	GAAGTCGAACCAAGGTCAATAGG					

*Note*: M = monomorphic;  $T_a =$  annealing temperature.

<sup>a</sup>All forward primers also contain an M13 tag (5'-CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC-3') on their 5' end to allow fluorescent labeling of PCR products.

Table 2.	Standard descriptive statistics	for 16 polymorphic microsatellite	loci in three species of Hexastylis.
----------	---------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------------

	<i>H. naniflora</i> $(N = 44)$				<i>H. minor</i> $(N = 10)$				<i>H. heterophylla</i> $(N = 14)$			
Locus	Α	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	HWE <sup>a</sup>	A	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	HWE <sup>a</sup>	A	$H_{\rm o}$	$H_{\rm e}$	HWE <sup>a</sup>
Hn00002	2	0.421	0.494	n.s.	3	0.167	0.292	**	3	0.200	0.540	**
Hn00011	10	0.541	0.701	***	6	0.333	0.750	n.s.	4	0.727	0.533	n.s.
Hn00014	4	0.400	0.469	n.s.	3	0.429	0.663	n.s.	2	0.182	0.165	n.s.
Hn00147	13	0.649	0.781	**	7	0.714	0.786	n.s.	11	0.583	0.872	***
Hn00193	11	0.658	0.803	n.s.	5	0.400	0.760	n.s.	7	0.889	0.741	n.s.
Hn00197	10	0.216	0.843	***	9	0.700	0.860	n.s.	9	0.471	0.875	**
Hn00252	3	0.289	0.440	n.s.	2	0.000	0.278	*	2	0.364	0.397	n.s.
Hn00304	9	0.659	0.779	n.s.	6	0.556	0.765	n.s.	7	0.571	0.801	n.s.
Hn00567	2	0.049	0.048	n.s.	2	0.222	0.198	n.s.	1	0.000	0.000	М
Hn00855	23	0.674	0.930	***	6	0.556	0.765	n.s.	14	0.750	0.906	n.s.
Hn00955	17	0.537	0.897	***	7	0.375	0.750	**	11	0.571	0.865	n.s.
Hn01135	9	0.585	0.747	n.s.	6	0.429	0.776	n.s.	6	0.385	0.627	n.s.
Hn1825	13	0.818	0.871	n.s.	8	0.750	0.820	n.s.	10	0.786	0.878	n.s.
Hn4600	2	0.385	0.393	n.s.	2	0.250	0.219	n.s.	2	0.500	0.486	n.s.
Hn7116	9	0.585	0.717	**	4	0.625	0.680	n.s.	5	0.462	0.533	n.s.
Hn12441	4	0.159	0.290	*	3	0.571	0.503	n.s.	3	0.556	0.426	n.s.
Mean	8.81	0.388	0.523		4.937	0.346	0.501		6.062	0.410	0.492	

*Note:* A = number of alleles;  $H_e =$  expected heterozygosity;  $H_0 =$  observed heterozygosity; HWE = Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

<sup>a</sup>Asterisks indicate significant deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (\*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001); M = monomorphic; n.s. = not significant.

reassessment of distributions and hybrid zones. These markers will also be valuable tools for vegetative identification of new *Hexastylis* populations when flowers are unavailable. These primers may also be useful in other species of *Hexastylis* and *Asarum*.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- BLOMQUIST, H. L. 1957. A revision of *Hexastylis* of North America. *Brittonia* 8: 255–281.
- FAIRCLOTH, B. C. 2008. MSATCOMMANDER: Detection of microsatellite repeat arrays and automated, locus-specific primer design. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 8: 92–94.

- GADDY, L. 1987. A review of the taxonomy and biogeography of *Hexastylis* (Aristolochiaceae). *Castanea* 52: 186–196.
- NIEDENBERGER, B. A. 2010. Molecular phylogeny and comparative pollen morphology of the genus *Hexastylis* (Aristolochiaceae). Master's thesis, Appalachian State University, Boone, North Carolina, USA.
- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2006. GenAlEx 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.
- PEAKALL, R., AND P. E. SMOUSE. 2012. GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research—an update. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 28: 2537–2539.
- SOLTIS, D. E. 1984. Karyotypes of species of Asarum and Hexastylis (Aristolochiaceae). Systematic Botany 9: 490–493.
- SUGAWARA, T. 1981. Taxonomic studies of Asarum sensu lato. Botanical Magazine = Shokubutsu-gaku-zasshi 95(3): 295–302.

APPENDIX 1. Location and sampling information for Hexastylis individuals used in this study.

		Geographi	c coordinates <sup>b</sup>			County	N
Species	Herbarium accession no. <sup>a</sup>	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°W)	Elevation (m)	State (Country)		
H. heterophylla	28952	36.00152	-81.01013	270	NC (USA)	Alexander	3
H. heterophylla	28954	35.85079	-81.47797	337	NC (USA)	Caldwell	7
H. heterophylla	28947	35.21389	-82.23407	N/A	NC (USA)	Polk	2
H. heterophylla	28950	36.03405	-81.06168	385	NC (USA)	Wilkes	2
H. minor	28963	35.24580	-81.43860	273	NC (USA)	Cleveland	5
H. minor	28965	36.05922	-78.96552	144	NC (USA)	Durham	5
H. naniflora	28964	N/A	N/A	293	NC (USA)	Alexander	3
H. naniflora	28978	N/A	N/A	337	NC (USA)	Burke	5
H. naniflora	28973	N/A	N/A	279	NC (USA)	Catawba	11
H. naniflora	28975	N/A	N/A	219	NC (USA)	Cleveland	3
H. naniflora	29019	N/A	N/A	237	NC (USA)	Iredell	3
H. naniflora	28974	N/A	N/A	336	NC (USA)	Polk	3
H. naniflora	28988	N/A	N/A	282	NC (USA)	Rutherford	9
H. naniflora	28972	N/A	N/A	287	SC (USA)	Cherokee	3
H. naniflora	28987	N/A	N/A	244	SC (USA)	Spartanburg	4

Note: N = number of individuals; N/A = not available; NC = North Carolina; SC = South Carolina.

<sup>a</sup>All herbarium accession numbers refer to voucher specimens deposited in the Appalachian State University Herbarium (BOON).

<sup>b</sup>Geographic coordinates for federally listed species are not included.