

# RETRACTED: "Impacts on Global Temperature During the First Part of 2020 Due to the Reduction in Human Activities by COVID-19"

Source: Air, Soil and Water Research, 16(1)

Published By: SAGE Publishing

URL: https://doi.org/10.1177/11786221231177126

BioOne Complete (complete.BioOne.org) is a full-text database of 200 subscribed and open-access titles in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

#### **Retraction Notice**

### RETRACTED: "Impacts on Global Temperature During the First Part of 2020 Due to the Reduction in Human Activities by COVID-19"

Air, Soil and Water Research Volume 16: 1 © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/11786221231177126



At the request of Sage Publishing, the following article has been retracted:

Shojaei S, Ashofteh P, Dwijendra NKA, et al. Impacts on Global Temperature During the First Part of 2020 Due to the Reduction in Human Activities by COVID-19. Air, Soil and Water Research. 2022;15. doi:10.1177/11786221221101901

There is evidence that author slots were available for sale by third parties before this manuscript was submitted. We have contacted the authors for comment but have not received a satisfactory response. Due to concerns around author contributions to this article, as well as concerns around the integrity of the research process, Sage Publishing and the Journal Editor retract this article.

The authors disagreed with the retraction.

Terms of Use: https://staging.bioone.org/terms-of-use

## RETRACTED: Impacts on Global Temperature During the First Part of 2020 Due to the Reduction in Human Activities by COVID-19

Saeed Shojaei<sup>1</sup>, Pedram Ashofteh<sup>1</sup>, Ngakan Ketut Acwin Dwijendra<sup>2</sup>, Assefa M. Melesse<sup>3</sup>, Ali Reza Shahvaran<sup>4</sup>, Siroos Shojaei<sup>5</sup> and Iman Homayoonnezhad<sup>6</sup>

Air, Soil and Water Research Volume 15: 1–14 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/11786221221101901

(\$)SAC

<sup>1</sup>Yazd University, Yazd, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Udayana University, Bali, Indonesia. <sup>3</sup>Florida International University, Miami , USA. <sup>4</sup>Iran University of Science and Technology, Tehran, Iran. <sup>5</sup>University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahed , Iran.

**ABSTRACT:** One of the major events transpiring in the 21st century is the unforeseen ou eak due to COVID-1 andemic directly altered human activities due to the forced confinement of millions of inhabitants over the wor well known that one on the main factors that affect global warming is human activities; however, during the first part of 2020, they we severe. duced by the spread of the coronavirus. This study strives to investigate the possible impact of quarantine initiation worldwide a. J the linked comes on a global scale related to the temperatures since the worthwhile. To achieve this goal, the evaluation of the short-term temperature statu. The continental scale was conducted in two particular forms: (i) concerning the short-term comparing the data from 2017, 2018, and 2013; and, assessing the long-term differences comprising 30 years of data (1981-2010). The data employed ir study were obtained from the respective NASA and Copernicus databases. The temperature maps and temperature differences of dif (winter and spring) data with the aid of Python programing language. Con inental temperature of pping results showed that the temperature difference of the American continent had attained its maximum value in Ja ary 2016, and yet, to temperature is observed to be warmer than in 2016. The largest difference in the short-term temperature in terms of con son to 2020 refer d to the months when the maximum quarantine began, that is, February and March, and the temperature was cooler in corn, on to the or years. The long-term mean study denoted that the temperatures throughout the South American continent remained consistent up tirst part of 2020 in comparison to the 30-year average. age data, but temperatures in North America declined fro lower compared to the 30 years average in February and N. h. According to the Earth has dropped about 0.3°C compared to 2019. We concluded that temperature could sho ome sportic charges and hypothesize that under the COVID-19 pandemic, it could manifest different trends. The next step would be to conduct analysis to observe at the regional scale if under unforeseen phenomena are or not affecting global warning during the coming years.

 $\textbf{KEYWORDS:} \ \, \text{Human activities, global warr} \quad \text{$_{\mathcal{J}}$, $\text{tem}_{\text{l}}$ } \quad \text{``ture, COV.} \quad \text{``9, climate models}$ 

RECEIVED: April 23, 2021. ACCEPTED: April 28, 202

TYPE:Original Research

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Saeed Shojaei, Department of Arid and Mountainous Regions Reclamation, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran. Email: s\_shojaei@ut.ac.ir

#### Introduction

It is possible that each cerary, a pandemic rages worldwide in general, but in 2, 19, an unknown novel, and atypical virus (COVID-19) th symptoms sin. to pneumonia broke out in Wuha Shina (El Zowalaty & Jarhult, 2020; Wu et al., 2020). The ults of pr minary studies revealed that the COVID-19 y's report y shared an identical receptor, ACE2 (Angic in-co erting enz le 2), with the Severe ome coror rus (SARS-COV) (Zaki Acute Respiratory et al., 201 The Work alth Iganization (WHO) established in intermine tional connecte to oversee the disease, and on bruary 1 2020, named the condition as COVID-19 ng et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). ( ronavirus liseas which is spreading out rapidly worldwide, maindifferences with other viruses that have been recogtains n. nized prev. 1v. For instance, COVID-19 renders quick transmission an symptomaticity among infected individuals as particular features. The number of COVID-19 infection

cases has reached more than nine million cases worldwide within the period from January to June 2020, the statistics are still increasing (Gorbalenya et al., 2020; World Health Organization, 2020). The World Health Organization (WHO) resolved to control the COVID-19 pandemic by implementing quarantine measures worldwide due to the lack of vaccines and efficient control measures to halt the virus (Mehta et al., 2020; Palayew et al., 2020). Consequently, many countries relented to reduce all production and transportation ventures and other social, economic, political, etc. activities to zero (Ashraf, 2020; Muscogiuri et al., 2020; Piguillem & Shi, 2020; Sjödin et al., 2020). The viral diseases could not be observed in the changes concerning the world environment in the past since the industrial ventures had yet to be initiated in the world. Yet, the cessation of production and human activities has reduced the consumption of energy, fossil fuels, effluents, and decreased pollutions worldwide similarly since all human activities in the 21st century are associated with the industrial activities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Payame Nour University, Iran. \*Saeed Shojaei is now affiliated to University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

Air, Soil and Water Research

(Oldekop et al., 2020; Steffen et al., 2020; You et al., 2020). Cessation of industrial activities can render a direct impact on ecosystems, remarkably on global climate change (Danovaro et al., 2020; McMichael, 2003; O'Brien & Leichenko, 2000; Shi et al., 2015). Le Quéré et al. (2020) estimated that daily global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions could have been reduced by -17% by early April 2020 compared with the mean 2019 levels and some peaks in specific countries by -26% on average. Therefore, one of the Sustainable Development Goals for 2030 is to examine the impact of climate-related on this pandemic because climate action is considered the 13th objective of the Sustainable Development Goals (https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/climate-change/). Additionally, another objective of Sustainable Development Goals is their third objective, which is related to human health and well-being, and the coronavirus directly impacts the discussed human well-being aspects while rendering an indirect impact on greenhouse gases and climate (Mandal & Pal, 2020; Wang & Su, 2020).

It is well-known that any change in climatic conditions could directly impact the survival of natural ecosystems (Malhi et al., 2020) or modify human settlements (Živković, 2019) on Earth. Reducing pollution in the environment can positively affect the reduction of greenhouse gases, and subsequently altering the global temperature (Chakraborty & Maity, 2020; Diffenbaugh et al., 2020; Zambrano-Monserrate et al Based on the conclusions of Rosenbloom and Markard's (020) study on the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on air 1lution development and particularly greenhouse gases, it revealed that the production of greenhouse go matically declined following the COVID 19 par mic and global closure of industrial factories. Mo ver, the v human activities on specific climate arameters become more evident with the outbrea. -19, but the extent of these changes at the nat all and national scales is still unknown and requir to be further vestigated (Rosenbloom & Markard, 20)

Recent studies concern of the paperature changes at the Earth's surface indicated that the E. is warming rapidly, with temperatures ring by approximate 153°C between 2006 and 2015 (In 3overnmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC], 2018; Is agoverno ntal Panel on Climate Change [IPCC], 2019) arious clir ce models have similarly rendered this tempera. increa rate for ars ahead, which will rect impa on the environment and unquestionably ha human lift hallenging man s wal in the future (Wang et al., 20', vva. t al., 2018, naintaining recent, historical, and ature info nation on temperature changes can assist in aspects within other connected 's sph as such as the pedosphere (Brevik et al., 2020; mino et al., 2018). This information should be fur-Rodri, ther invest ed on a global and regional scale, respectively (Alexander et 2006; Caesar et al., 2006). Some events occurring during world history can have an unforeseen impact on the Earth's temperature, but accommodating this information would serve in predicting and analycoming trends on the Earth's surface. The data is sen estimated locally by researchers given that the clima data assembled om the Earth's surface is quite extensive out this information does fail to signify the relevance of this is Office of the eading Group for Promoting the 1. 't and Ro. itiative. 19). The results showed that the saace air temperate. A the coronavirus 2019 (COVI<sup>7</sup> 19) outbook decreased by 0.05°C in commercial areas of the city in Jaka, Japar (Nakajima et al., 2021). And also, to 'vate e effect of appressed human activities on te perature he Tokyc 1etropolitan area, a research mad or temperature the result show that the temperature ir  $^{1}$ vo ranges of  $\pm 0.1$  on the average over the strong s' res. period from April to May (Fujibe, 2020). The efact of suppred human activities on temperature show that decrease of up to 1 the surface temperature for regions et al., 2021; Potter & Alexander, 2021; Teufel et al., *J*21).

Accordingly, main aim of this study was to investigate possible difference in global warming due to the occurrence of n unforeseen et alt caused, the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Temic. The pronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic has led to cemporary cessation of countless human activities worldwide, and despite human factors being a significant ant in climate change, not enough research has been conducted to address the issue on a global scale. Furthermore, we evaluate the impact of the Coronavirus pandemic on different global warming scenarios considering the short- and longterm global temperatures. Concerning the short-term periods, we compared the data from 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019; and, for assessing the long-term differences, 30 years of data (1981-2010). The results of this study could serve to illustrate a possible indicator and adverse consequences of the COVID-19 pandemic worldwide at the continental scale.

#### Materials and Methods

The total available land of the Earth was examined in the present study. Accordingly, the surface of all seas, oceans, and lakes was separated from the land surface, and only the land surface temperature (continental lands with north and south poles) was assessed. Hence, the mask method was executed on all maps prepared in Linux and Python environments to determine the subject area (Figure 1).

The framework employed for drawing the temperature map is included in Figure 2. We showed that the preparation of daily average land temperature data was made using synoptic stations, conversion of daily average temperature data to monthly data, training sample generation, zoning of data on the world map, classification, accuracy assessment, and finally, performing regional classifications and evaluations of the obtained results. We registered the raw temperature data within the software and then performed the necessary analyzes.




Figure 1. The selected study area.

#### Data availability

Synoptic station data were gathered across the world and subsequently recorded, collected, and transferred to the Global Meteorological Database. Firstly, NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) is one of the employed daily temperature databases (http://dx.doi.org/10.7289/V5D21VHZ). Then, the Copernicus database (https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home) was similarly examine the 30-year temperature data. Data is available r all countries in each continent.

#### Methodology

The present study was divided into ty different asons in 2020, namely winter and spring, as the uset of the pandemic occurred in winter (January a. "bry") and spring (March and April), and the onse as been ing ever since. The daily GRIB¹ format data w converted to N Following, the daily data was converted monthly data (See A, endix), and then the temperature / ps pc. ing to 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, and 2020 were plot. d to render. rt-term comparison of COVID-19 impa on the surface te. rature changes. Ultimately, the ter erature changes occurring in different months of 2016 17, 2018 2019, and 2020 were examined (Figure 2). The nathemated model for drawing short-term temperature a. nces resented j quation (1).

this form a, *T-AV* month is the average monthly temperature, and erage daily temperature registered not optic cations, referred to each month. On the other hand, o-year averages for January, February, March, and April were ared via monthly data to examine the possible long-term clim. change differences occurring 1981-2010 and COVID-19 pandemic-induced temperature data changes.

Fix hese averages were nalyzed considering the temperare data obtained in 2020 (Figure 2). The mathematical model for plotting a log-term temperature difference is presented in Equation 2.

$$T - A^{T}$$
 year =  $\frac{M1 + M2 + M3 + ... + M30}{30}$  (2)

*T-AV* year is the average monthly temperature, and *M* reprethe average monthly temperature referred to each month.

#### Softwares and code availability

Python software and Linux environment were employed to draw the temperature maps. All GRIB data were analyzed in NC format in the NCL environment and Python. The steps of drawing the data included format conversion, processing reading the data by Python software, plotting, converting the temperature unit from Kelvin to Celsius, saving, and outputting the data. The Python code used for the analysis is available upon request. Additionally, some of the codes relevant to the Python software are included in Supplemental Material 1.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Short-term assessments of global temperatures

The results of the study concerning the different land surface temperatures during the last 4years (2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019) and 2020, and the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic are displayed in Figure 3. January temperature difference between 2016 and 2020 revealed that the Eurasian continent (Europe and Asia) maintained the highest temperature this year, to the extent that the temperature difference in this period reached more than 15 degrees. Furthermore, the 2016 temperature in Antarctica was higher than in 2017, 2018, and 2019, while the North American continent presents a temperature difference above zero compared to January 2020. In the case of Oceania, the results showed that the temperature difference between

Air, Soil and Water Research




Figure 2. Flowchart of integration, difference measurements, and formulations of temperature data at the global scale.

2020 and 201° remarkal to the extent that the continent is progressing to the raction in taperatures, and is colder compared to the parameters. The lass surface temperature difference in table 2018 is progressing from unchoused to rease. Also, targest temperature difference in tans of cornarison to January 2020 refers to 2016.

The result and surface temperature differences be 20,2017,2018, and 2019 compared to 2020 revealed that the perature manifested two distinct behaviors in Eurasia, and cording to this, the temperature in February 2016 was higher the eastern regions of the continent. The temperature has been annually rising toward the western


regions of the continent (temperature differences amount to more than 12°). The February temperatures have been further rising in Eurasia since 2016 onwards, but the same temperatures have encountered a decrease in the majority of the continent as the COVID-19 pandemic began, and production restrictions along with global quarantine measures were implemented (Figure 3). The February temperature survey in Oceania among different years (2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019) determined that the temperature is higher compared to 2020, and this difference is progressing every year. Contrarily, the results of the temperature difference revealed that the temperature has dropped throughout the content at the same during

the pandemic's onset. The February temperature difference of 2020 in America confirmed that the largest temperature difference (below zero) is referred to 2017, but possibly, the quarantine measures and closure of factories worldwide could affect a decrease in the continent's temperature in comparison to 2018 and 2019.

The results of Christidis et al. (2020) on temperature changes in Europe determined that the temperature in 2018 has reached the highest levels observed in the last century, which is consistent with the results of this study. They also directly linked this outcome to the increased human activity, as the results of this study similarly showed that the cessation of

industrial activities and the implementation of quarantine measures have reduced human activity requently could provoke temperature changes world ae.

Asian, European, and African untries are receized for having vulnerable climates to the extent that any tenerature change will inflict the greatest important their respective water resources and environment 1 pursuits. Vang, etc., 2019). According to the result of this study, the practure has gradually increased six 2016 ur 2018, and the reports of polar glacier melton as in Rulan regions with a speed of 25 m per day (m/da, loss by estimate) uring these years (Willis et al., 18). From the result of comparing the



(Continued)

Figure 3. (Continued)




Figure 3. Compa. of mally temperate differences in contrast to 2020. ((a) 2020-01 vs 2016-01, (b) 2020-01 vs 2017-01, (c) 2020-01 vs 2018-01, (d) 2020-01 vs 2019-0. J20-02 vs 2 -02, (f) 2020-02 vs 2017-02, (g) 2020-02 vs 2018-02, (h) 2020-02 vs 2019-02, (i) 2020-03 vs 2016-03, (j) 2020-03 vs 2016-04, (N) 2020-04 vs 2017-04: (o) 2020-04 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-01 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-02 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-03 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-04 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-05 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-05 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-05 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-05 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-06 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-06 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-06 vs 2018-04, (p) 2020-06 vs 2018-05, (p) 2020-06 vs 2018-06, (p) 2020-06 vs 2020-06 vs 2018-06, (p) 2

I rich tempo ces observed in 2016 and 2017 to 20 indic d that the temperatures were lower on all continents extent that Greenland's March temperature in 2016 depictory proximately -20°C worth of temperature difference company to 2020. March temperature analysis confirmed that the temperatures reached the highest value in 2018,

while this temperature had reached approximately 15°C in Asian regions, depicting a higher temperature than 2020. As the results indicated, the changes in this month have undergone a decrease due to the implementation of maximum production cessation laws worldwide, and the March 2020 temperature has decreased compared to prior years.

A prior study conducted on the daily temperature of the Earth's surface aimed to investigate the temperature differences observed in the 1979 to 2018 period. The results of this study reported an increase in temperature amounting to approximately 0.5°C, with a maximum temperature of 40°C and a minimum one of 20°C during the day. Accordingly, this factor was further reported to directly impacts economic ventures worldwide (Yang & Zhang, 2020). The April temperature difference is quite different from January, February, and March, and accordingly, the temperature on the entire surface of the earth has undergone a sharp decrease to the extent that all continents have displayed decreased temperatures in the rest of the years given the high temperatures observed in April 2020. As the measures restricting the activity of associations and organizations intensified in most countries, particularly in the United States and Europe, it directly influenced the temperature, and the temperature differences revealed that the Earth's land surface temperature had decreased, causing the Americas' temperatures to drop by approximately -8 to -10°C in April compared to the past year, 2019.

Temperature changes in Europe showed that temperature changes resulting from human activities are the most prevalent factor in climate change. Parallel with this, the temperature in summer 2018 reached the highest record Europe, which was the aftermath of a 30% increase in man activities in the same region (McCarthy et al., 2019; Vard et al., 2019).

Investigating the temperature changes in \*\*\* "K employ ing a more extensive region data confirm , that : limitations of local-scale studies have not alv /s been a ropriate for prediction due to local effects, the suggesting smaller scales to predict temperature nges hristidis et al., 2020). The results of daily data analysis e UK attested that the temperature had warr d by approxim. '1°C, and this trend is still increasing ith all models displaying an increase in 2019 temperat z. In. study, 16 respective climate models were studi to predict perature changes in the United Kingdor and two categor of natural and human activities w further taken into account. The most relevant human 2 vities cor rning the temperature changes are changes ir reenhous ases arising from the factories, aerosols, ozo. and lar use, wher the natural impacts cure chan are solar activities and concerning the to (Cl stidis et al., 2020). The volcanic sol emis. result 1 this idy likewis firmed that the temperature comparison to the average of 2020 winter had ecreased s consistent with the decrease in n act cies (possible implementation of quarantine meast. orldwide).

Linear dels rendered more accuracy for examining temperature cn. es than other models such as the HadUK-Grid.

Comparison of 2020 temperature with the long-term average datasets (30-years temperature)

The results of the temperature f' erence comparison etween 2020 winter and spring months the average of months in the 30 years are displayed in Ture 4. The sults of comparing the January 2 3 land surface oper are differences with the long-t/ 1 average (30-year a. ge) revealed that the temperature anifested o different trends in North America. Accordi. the Juary 2020 emperature was higher in the eastern is of the cone ent while the temperature in the estern region of the continent appeared below the a age temperature re. o in 30 years. Contrarily in South ... ... the temperature has prevailed unchanged perature of 30-years. The results further from t<sup>1</sup> average indicate that the cenu vions of Eurasia are warmer compared the 30-year average temperature, but the respective thern and southern regions remain moderately unchanged. Moreover, Northern Australia maintains a warmer temperature, whereas We rn Australia is cooler compared to the aver-30-years in this country. The temperature age temperature enges could no be particularly severe in Asia since the lockes was originated from Asia in January.

The results of the February temperature difference comicon between Earth's land surface and the 30-year average evene that the February 2020 temperature increase in Eurasia was higher in the Northern regions of the continent than the South, reaching approximately 20°C and even extending to the Northern regions of the African continent. These month's temperatures in the Australian continent also displayed a radically different behavior compared to January. According to this difference, the temperature increased in general, yet a temperature shift from the east to west is observed, unlike January. The February temperature survey in the Americas also observed that temperatures had progressed toward a decrease, but an increase transpired between 0°C and -8°C (Figure 4). As the results presented, the temperature throughout the South American continent was the same as in January, showing no changes compared to the long-term average (Figure 4). The most extensive geographical range of above-zero temperatures was observed in February, which coincided with the quarantine measures and shutdown of factories worldwide. The results of comparing March 2020 temperature differences with the long-term average revealed that the temperature had undergone a rise in all continents, which was causing the meltdown of glaciers in northern regions of Russia (Willis et al., 2018). The temperature in Antarctica had dropped in comparison to the long-term average despite the initial temperature progress toward an increase at the beginning of this season (spring). Furthermore, the March 2020 temperature in Greenland has been lower. Yet, the temperature on the American continent was differently considering that the

Air, Soil and Water Research




Figure 4. Comparing the temperature difference of 2020 with the 30-years tempe. average 30 Years Average difference in January, (b) 30 Years Average difference in February, (c) 30 Years Average difference in March, and (u) Average difference in April).

North American continent maintained significantly wer temperatures than the South American counterpart in coparison to the long-term average. The temperature different in February was so significant that the different in February was so significantly were arranged in the significantly were temperatures than the South American counterpart in coparison to the long-term average. The temperature different in February was so significantly were temperature different in February was so significant that the different in February was so significant

April 2020 temperature differences and g-term average determined that the temper ture in A. tica and the North Pole had undergone a by approximate. °C. The temperature has decreased; "compared to Marca of the same year in Eurasia, wit' maxin. temperature difference of 3°C. Similar results were observed in. ania, where April 2020 temperatures deased compared to the 0-year average (in spring). Hower , no considerable shift was obtained from the 30-year ave se in Sov America within the 4months (January, Febrary, March and April) Temperatures in North America have bee colder cor ared to the long-term average (up to -3°C), these charges have been less in comparison

Rest study on the long arm trend of land surface temperature determined that the new decade's (2009–2018) temperature is a summand of the land that the previous decay (1° 1–1980), while simultaneously, the temperature changes are extended above 30°C, the impacts of which are due to the interest production of factories and human activities on Earth (C. diaux et al., 2010; Fischer et al., 2013). In a similar study conducted by Sippel et al. (2020), daily

a variety of temperature forecasting models courtesy of the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and CMIP5 temperature forecasts for 2020 and the future alike. The ultimate results demonstrated that the temperature increased by approximately 1°C from 1950 to 2018, and this gradual increase over time was also anticipated by the discussed climate models, namely the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and CMIP5 models.

In the present study, the change trends observed in the average winter and spring months' temperature denoted that this factor corresponded with the beginning of human activity decline (resulting from the COVID-19 outbreak and implemented quarantine measures) worldwide since the maximum decrease in temperature had become more severe since late winter. The temperature had been dropped compared to the 30-year average, and the Earth's surface temperature has similarly undergone a decrease. Moreover, a survey of the average temperatures of the previous years (2016-2018) during the winter and spring has designated the trend of increasing temperature. Consequently, the COVID-19 pandemic could be able to subdue many of the factors impacting the increase in temperature suddenly and temporarily. Other researchers further studied the average temperature in the United States during the 1980 to 2009 period to confirm that the temperature is lower in the spring. Yet, this factor leads to unregulated streams and increased human activities in the autumn, winter, and summer seasons to the extent that it has increased the spring




Figure 5. Monthly average land surface temperature over the world.

temperature by approximately 0.17°C/decade per century (Isaak et al., 2012).

#### Average Earth temperature

The average monthly temperature of the Earth revealed nificant changes in the study of Earth's temperature. According to this, the minimum and maximum temper erences in the years before 2018 were quite severe, by 1.rom 20 to 2020 this difference gap has decreased and t earth's si ace temperature is progressing toward a rise information obtained from the eteorological Organization, the results reveale at the av • temperature of the earth is currently increase g. The average to rature of the Earth has risen by appre ely 0.5°C in 2018 conpared to 2017. Moreover, the erage perature in 2020 has reached roughly 28.2°C, shown an in e of nearly 0.2°C compared to 2019 e nations. Consequen it can be concluded that the av ge global temperature in 2020 could be decreased company of to the or years (Figure 5). The results show approximately 0.3 temperature decrease in the early2020. This rease in grow up 0.5°C if the worldwide lockdowns persist.

#### Con asions

In as research we compared the Earth's surface temperature in g difference. The main aim was to detect if the cu. CC 1D-19 pandemic impacts, the reduction of human activities and forced quarantine, would have affected global warming values by reducing the mean temperature values. Our results showed at the largest difference in the short-term temperature in terms of comparison to 2020 referred to the

onths when t quarantine began, that is, February and cemperature was cooler in comparison to the prior years. The long-term mean assessment highlighted that temperatures throughout the South American continent consistent during the first part of 2020 in comparison to the 30-year average data, but temperatures in North America declined from February to April. Similarly, the temperatures in Europe and Asia in April were lower compared to the last 30 years average data in February and March. Also, the average temperature of the Earth dropped about 0.3°C compared to 2019. Based on the results, there was an approximately 0.2°C decrease in average temperature in early 2020. If the lockdown persists, this decrease can grow to about 0.4°C in late 2020 and continue over 2021. On a short term and long-term scale, temperature variations based on the COVID-19 expansion were more pronounced in North America, Europe, and Asia. In contrast, minimal temperature changes occurred respectively in Australia, Africa, and South America. Considering that future analysis during the coming years must be also conducted, we hypothesize that the impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on human activities could manifest different temperature trends over the world. These changes could be different considering diverse spatial scales (from regional to country scales), but observing these results, this unforeseen phenomena could represent a new factor to be considered for global warming and climate change studies during the coming years.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to the World Meteorological Organization, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Climate Program Office, NASA Databases, and the Copernicus Data Management Support for their sincere aid in providing the necessary information for completing this project.

#### **Author Contributions**

S.S. conceived the study with P.N. conducted the statistical analysis. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the results and the writing of the manuscript.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Funding**

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### ORCID iDs

Saeed Shojaei (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5260-1161

Pedram Ashofteh D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3790-5837

Ngakan Ketut Acwin Dwijendra Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-0070-4254

Assefa M. Melesse Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0003-4724-9367 Ali Reza Shahvaran Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-7846-054X

#### Note

1. General Regularly-distributed Information in Binary form

#### Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is availabl

#### REFERENCES

- Alexander, L. V., Zhang, X. B., Peterson, T. C., C. J., Gleas B., Klein Tank, A. M. G., & Vazquez Aguirre, J. L. (2006) Globa and all climate extremes of temperature and presentation. Journ. Seophysical Research Atmospheres, 111, D05109.
- Ali, G., Abbas, S., Qamer, F. M., Wong S., Rasul, G., Irteza, S. Shahzad, N. (2021). Environmental impaction of the COVID-19 down August Alicentes Pakistan. Journal of Cleaner Production, 291, 125806.
- Ashraf, B. N. (2020). Economic impact of govern. interventions during the COVID-19 pandemic: renational evidence from all markets. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimal Finance*, 27, 100371.
- Brevik, E. C., Slaughter, I. agh, B. R., Steffan, J. J., Collier, D., Barnhart, P., & Pereira, P. (2020). Soil and an health: C nt Status and future needs. *Air Soil and Water Research*, 13, 117 2120934441 ps://doi.org/10.1177/1178622120934441
- Caesar, J., Alexan L., & Vose 2006). Large ale changes in observed daily maximum and pum t eratures: Cre 1 and analysis of a new gridded data set. *Journal sical Research mospheres*, 111, D5. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD0
- Cattiaux, V 7010). Wintc. in F pe: A cold extreme in a warming clim Geoph, Research Lette. 2044613.
- Chak orty, I., & 1 y, P. (2020). COv. D-19 outbreak: Migration, effects on sociy, global env iment and prevention. The Science of the Total Environment, 728, 138882.
- L., Yan. Wang, L. (2020). Coronavirus disease 2019: Coronaviruses and sa . Transfusion Medicine Reviews, 34(2), 75–80.
- Christia. IcCarthy, M., & Stott, P. A. (2020). The increasing likelihood of temperature and to 40°C in the United Kingdom. *Nature Communications*, 11(1), 1–10.
- Danovaro, R., Faneln. , Aguzzi, J., Billett, D., Carugati, L., Corinaldesi, C., & McClain, C. (2020). Ecological variables for developing a global deep-ocean

- monitoring and conservation strategy. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 4(2), 181-192
- Diffenbaugh, N. S., Field, C. B., Appel, E. A., A Baldocchi, D. D., Burke, M., Burney, J. A., Ciais, P., Davis, J., Fiore, A. "etcher, S. M., Hertel, T. W., Horton, D. E., Hsiang, S. J., Jackson, R. B., J. Levi, M., Lobell, D. B., McKinley, G. A., & W. Parodi, G. (2020). The OVID-19 lockdowns: A window into the Earth tem. Nature Reviews Earth. Environment, 1, 470–481.
- El Zowalaty, M. E., & Järhult, J. D. (2020). From S to COVID-19 previously unknown SARS- related covariations (SARS 2) of pan c potential infecting humans call for a health approach. On 1th J0124.
- Fischer, E. M., Beyerle, U., & tti, R. (2013) Robust spat. gregated projections of climate extreme ature Clima ange, 3, 1033–10-8.
- Fujibe, F. (2020). Temperati nomaly in the yo metropolitan area during the COVID-19 (coronavirus) self int period. A.
- Gorbalenya, A. E., Baker, S. ric. Groot, R. J. Prosten, C., Gulyaeva, A. A., & Penzar, V. 2020). Se the respiratory drome-related coronavirus:

  The species a structure of the Cavirus Study Group. bioRxiv,
  1, 1–15.
- Intergovernme Panel on Climate Change C). (2018). Summary for policy-maker on-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. S. da, & T. rfield (Eds.), Global warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC special report the impacts of all warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse government of the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of the change, sustainable development, and efforts to the poverty. (pp. 1–15). IPCC.
- cergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2019). Summary for policy-makers (approve draft). In A. Arneth, H. Barbosa, T. Benton, K. Calvin, E. Calvo, S. Connocial report on climate ange, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food see (pp. 1–34). IPCC
- P. J., Wollrab Horan, D., & Chandler, G. (2012). Climate change effects on temperatures across the northwest US from 1980–2009 and implications for salmonid fishes. *Climatic Change*, 113(2), 499–524.
- Le Quéré, C., Jackson, R. B., Jones, M. W., Smith, A. J. P., Abernethy, S., Andrew, R. De-Gol, A. J., Willis, D. R., Shan, Y., Canadell, J. G., Friedlingstein, P., g, F., & Peters, G. P. (2020). Temporary reduction in daily global CO 2 emissions during the COVID-19 forced confinement. *Nature Climate Change*, 10, 647–653. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-0797-x
- Malhi, Y., Franklin, J., Seddon, N., Solan, M., Turner, M. G., Field, C. B., & Knowlton, N. (2020). Climate change and ecosystems: Threats, opportunities and solutions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 375, 20190104. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0104
- Mandal, I., & Pal, S. (2020). COVID-19 pandemic persuaded lockdown effects on environment over stone quarrying and crushing areas. The Science of the Total Environment, 732, 139281.
- McCarthy, M., Christidis, N., Dunstone, N., Fereday, D., Kay, G., Klein-Tank, A., & Stott, P. (2019). Drivers of the UK summer heatwave of 2018. *Weather*, 74(11), 390–396.
- McMichael, A. J. (2003). Global climate change and health: An old story writ large. In *Climate change and human health: Risks and responses*. World Health Organization.
- Mehta, P., McAuley, D. F., Brown, M., Sanchez, E., Tattersall, R. S., & Manson, J. J.; HLH Across Speciality Collaboration, UK. (2020). COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. *Lancet*, 395(10229), 1033–1034.
- Muscogiuri, G., Barrea, L., Savastano, S., & Colao, A. (2020). Nutritional recommendations for covid-19 quarantine. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 74, 850–851.
- Nakajima, K., Takane, Y., Kikegawa, Y., Furuta, Y., & Takamatsu, H. (2021). Human behaviour change and its impact on urban climate: Restrictions with the G20 Osaka Summit and COVID-19 outbreak. *Urban Climate*, 35, 100728.
- O'Brien, K. L., & Leichenko, R. M. (2000). Double exposure: Assessing the impacts of climate change within the context of economic globalization. *Global Environ*mental Change, 10(3), 221–232.
- Office of the Leading Group for Promoting the Belt and Road Initiative. (2019). *The Belt and road initiative progress, contributions and prospects* (p. 99). Foreign Languages Press.
- Oldekop, J. A., Horner, R., Hulme, D., Adhikari, R., Agarwal, B., Alford, M., Bakewell, O., Banks, N., Barrientos, S., Bastia, T., Bebbington, A. J., Das, U., Dimova, R., Duncombe, R., Enns, C., Fielding, D., Foster, C., Foster, T., Frederiksen, T., . . . Zhang, Y. F. (2020). COVID-19 and the case for global development. World Development, 134, 105044.
- Palayew, A., Norgaard, O., Safreed-Harmon, K., Andersen, T. H., Rasmussen, L. N., & Lazarus, J. V. (2020). Pandemic publishing poses a new COVID-19 challenge. Nature Human Behaviour, 4(7), 666–669.

Piguillem, F., & Shi, L. (2020). Optimal COVID-19 quarantine and testing policies. EIEF Working Papers Series 2004. Einaudi Institute for Economics and Finance (EIEF).

- Potter, C., & Alexander, O. (2021). Impacts of the San Francisco Bay Area shelter-inplace during the COVID-19 pandemic on urban heat fluxes. *Urban Climate*, 37, 100828.
- Rodrigo-Comino, J., Senciales, J. M., Cerdà, A., & Brevik, E. C. (2018). The multi-disciplinary origin of soil geography: A review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 177, 114–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.11.008
- Rosenbloom, D., & Markard, J. (2020). A COVID-19 recovery for climate. Science, 368(6490), 447.
- Shi, Y., Cui, S., Ju, X., Cai, Z., & Zhu, Y. G. (2015). Impacts of reactive nitrogen on climate change in China. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 8118.
- Sippel, S., Meinshausen, N., Fischer, E. M., Székely, E., & Knutti, R. (2020). Climate change now detectable from any single day of weather at global scale. *Nature Cli*mate Change, 10(1), 35–41.
- Sjödin, H., Wilder-Smith, A., Osman, S., Farooq, Z., & Rocklöv, J. (2020). Only strict quarantine measures can curb the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak in Italy, 2020. Eurosurveillance, 25(13), 2000280.
- Steffen, B., Egli, F., Pahle, M., & Schmidt, T. S. (2020). Navigating the clean energy transition in the COVID-19 Crisis. Joule.
- Teufel, B., Sushama, L., Poitras, V., Dukhan, T., Bélair, S., Miranda-Moreno, L., Sun, L., Sasmito, A. P., & Bitsuamlak, G. (2021). Impact of COVID-19-related traffic slowdown on urban heat characteristics. Atmosphere, 12(2), 243.
- Vautard, R., van Oldenborgh, G. J., Otto, F. E. L., Vogel, M. M., Soubeyroux, J. M., Kreienkamp, F., & van Aalst, M. (2019). Human contribution to the recordbreaking July 2019 heatwave in Western Europe. World Weather Attribution. www.worldweatherattribution.org/wp-content/uploads/July2019heatwave.pdf [Consulté le: 7 août 2019].
- Wang, Q., & Su, M. (2020). A preliminary assessment of the impact of COVID-19 on environment – A case study of China. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 728, 138915.
- Wang, K., Davies, E. G., & Liu, J. (2019). Integrated water resources management and modeling: A case study of Bow river basin, Canada. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 240, 118242.
- Wang, Y., Wang, A., Zhai, J., Tao, H., Jiang, T., Su, B., Yang, J., Wang, Gao, C., Kundzewicz, Z. W., Zhan, M., Feng, Z., & Fischer, T. (20)

- thousands additional deaths annually in cities of China between 1.5°C and 2.0°C warming. *Nature Communications*, 10, 3376.
- Watts, N., Amann, M., Arnell, N., Ayeb-Karlsson, C. Berry, H., Bouley, T., Boykoff, M., Byass, P., Cai, W., Campbe endrum, D., bers, J., Daly, M., Dasandi, N., Davies, M., Depoux, Jominguez-Salas, Prummond, P., Ebi, K. L., . . . Costello, A. (2018). 2018 report of the Lance on health and climate change: Shape the health of nations for come. Lancet, 392, 2479–2514.
- Willis, M. J., Zheng, W., Durkin, W. J. Pritchard, Ramage, J. M. wdeswell, J. A., Benham, T. J., Bassford P., Stearns, L. N. zovsky, Macheret, Y. Y., & Porter, C. C. (2018 Assive destabilization And Planetary Science Lett. 302, 146–15
- World Health Organization J20). R&D print: Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) R&D. Author
- Wu, J. T., Leung, K., & L. G. M. (? ). Nowcasting a forecasting the potential domestic and internation of the 2019- Voutbreak originating in Wuhan, Chin modelling Lancet, 395(25), 689–697.
- Yang, Z., & Zhang, J. (2020). Data high inperature extremes over the major lar leas of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar leas of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major lar least of the Belt and Kanana high inperature extremes over the major large extremes over the major large extreme high inperature extremes over the major large extreme high inperature extreme high inperature
- You, S., W., H., M., Song, H., Xu, X., & Lai, Y. (2020). Assessment of money economic in Wuhan under the lockdown against COVID-19.

  Humanities and Social Science Communications, 7(1), 1–12.
- Zaki, A. M., van Boheemen, S., Der, T. M., Osterhaus, A. D. M., & Fouchier, M. (2012). Isolation of a neell coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. New England Journal of Medicine, 367(19), 1814–1820.
- ambrano-Monserrate M. A., Ruano, M. A., & Sanchez-Alcalde, L. (2020). Indirect effects of COV.
   9 on the environment. The Science of the Total Environment, 728, 138813.
- Zhao, D., Yao, F., Wang , Zheng, L., Gao, Y., Ye, J., Guo, F., Zhao, H., & Gao, R. (2020). A comp ive study on the clinical features of Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) p nonia with other pneumonias. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 71,
- Živkovic, J. (Leaf). Human Settlements and Climate Change. In W. Leal Filho, U. Azeiteiro, A. M. Azul, L. Brandli, P. G. Özuyar, & T. Wall (Eds.), *Climate Leon* (pp. 1–11). Springer International Publishing.

### Appendix

The surface temperature of the Earth.

